

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/4981/P	Paul Lewis	24/12/2021 16:27:11	OBJ	I object to the application to replace the wooden fence between 12 Gainsborough Gardens and Christchurch Hill with a brick wall. The wooden fence is in keeping with the rest of Christchurch Hill below it. It represents a harmonious front with the lower part of the street and is in keeping with conservation area policy. In addition any brick wall would require foundations to be built. This would damage the three protected lime trees.
2021/4981/P	Christopher Bell	24/12/2021 16:17:42	OBJ	<p>I object strongly to these proposals because of the detrimental effect they will have on the character and appearance of what is a conservation area.</p> <p>The side of Christchurch Hill concerned at present consists largely of low level wooden fencing which leads down to the open green space of Preacher's Hill. The proposed higher, red brick wall would have a negative visual impact on that side of the road. In short, it would be ugly.</p> <p>If this proposal is permitted it will lead inevitably, in time, for further applications from other properties to build brick walls along that side of the road, transforming the nature of the road.</p> <p>Reinforcing the insular nature of a gated community can only be done at a damaging cost to the surrounding community and area.</p>
2021/4981/P	William Lyons	23/12/2021 20:08:09	OBJ	<p>I am writing as chair of the Lower Christchurch Hill Residents association. We object strongly to the proposal for a brick wall at the rear of the Gainsborough Gardens property.</p> <p>The current rear garden structures are all wooden fencing, save for 1 property. This means that Christchurch Hill has a consistent and attractive border along that side of the road leading harmoniously onto the green area of Preachers Hill. This is entirely within the spirit and look of the Hampstead Conservation area.</p> <p>If the rear garden walls of Gainsborough Gardens are allowed, one by one, to replace the attractive wooden fencing with prison-like brick walls it will be to detriment of the whole street and conservation area, having an ugly brick structure harming the whole community.</p> <p>We recognise that one house managed to secure planning permission for a brick wall but we submit that is an exception and should not be seen as a precedent. Indeed when another of the Gainsborough Gardens houses wanted to replace the wooden structure at the rear and install an ugly metal garage door, planning permission was not granted and they are replacing in wood. This proposed brick wall is at least as bad for the look and amenity of the street as that metal garage door would have been.</p> <p>Further, in our view, the planning application itself is misleading. The heights quoted for the brick wall are from the Gainsborough Garden side. They are much higher from the Christchurch Hill side, so we would end up with a horribly tall, ugly brick structure, entirely out of place on Christchurch Hill and the Conservation area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/4981/P	Gabrielle	23/12/2021 22:53:09	COMMNT	<p>I object to this planning application.</p> <p>The current rear garden structures are all wooden fencing, save for 1 property along the rear of Gainsborough Gardens. This means that Christchurch Hill has a consistent and attractive border along that side of the road in keeping with the Conservation Area.</p> <p>If the rear garden walls of Gainsborough Gardens are allowed to be replaced from the attractive wooden fencing with brick walls it will be to detriment of the whole street and conservation area.</p> <p>The wall will be extremely high and imposing from the Christchurch Hill view as we are lower than Gainsborough Gardens. It will be unsightly, unnecessary and a detriment to the conservation area.</p>
2021/4981/P	Robert Maclean	23/12/2021 22:56:54	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to the proposal to replace the wooden fencing at the rear of 12 Gainsborough Gardens (backing on to Christchurch Hill) with a brick wall. That side of Christchurch Hill on to which the Gainsborough Gardens properties back has a rustic character appropriate to a road that goes on down to form a boundary with the Heath. A brick wall would be completely out of character and would seriously detract from the street's existing character. The boundary at the rear of 12 Gainsborough Gardens should consist of a fence.</p>
2021/4981/P	Michael Nickson	23/12/2021 21:16:54	OBJ	<p>We object to the replacement of the existing wooden fence by a brick wall as it is incongruous with the current look and feel of the road. Additionally we are concerned about the impact on the lime trees growing there from the construction work.</p>
2021/4981/P	J H A Tusa	24/12/2021 11:56:40	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this application for a brick wall at the rear of the Gainsborough Gardens property.</p> <p>The current rear garden structures that face Christchurch Hill are all wooden fencing, save for 1 property. Christchurch Hill therefore has a consistent and attractive border along that side of the road leading harmoniously onto the green area of Preachers Hill: entirely within the spirit and look of the Hampstead Conservation area.</p> <p>If the rear garden walls of Gainsborough Gardens are allowed, even if one by one, to replace the attractive wooden fencing with prison-like brick walls it will be to detriment of the whole street and conservation area, having an ugly brick structure harming the whole community.</p> <p>Regrettably, one house managed to secure planning permission for a brick wall but I would request that you recognise that was an (unfortunate) exception and must not be seen as a precedent. I highlight that, when another of the Gainsborough Gardens houses whose rear face onto Christchurch Hill wanted to replace the wooden structure at the rear and install an ugly metal garage door, planning permission was NOT granted, and they are replacing in wood. This proposed brick wall is at least as bad for the look and amenity of the street as that metal garage door would have been.</p> <p>I strongly request that you review the planning application, and recognise that it is misleading. The heights quoted for the brick wall are from the Gainsborough Garden side. They are much higher from the Christchurch Hill side - we in Christchurch Hill would end up with an unacceptably tall and ugly brick structure, entirely out of place on Christchurch Hill and the Conservation area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/4981/P	Lucy Tusa	24/12/2021 11:34:05	OBJ	<p>I live at 21 Christchurch Hill. I object strongly to the proposal for a brick wall at the rear of the Gainsborough Gardens property.</p> <p>The current rear garden structures are all wooden fencing, save for 1 property. This means that Christchurch Hill has a consistent and attractive border along that side of the road leading harmoniously onto the green area of Preachers Hill. This is entirely within the spirit and look of the Hampstead Conservation area.</p> <p>If the rear garden walls of Gainsborough Gardens are allowed, one by one, to replace the attractive wooden fencing with prison-like brick walls it will be to detriment of the whole street and conservation area, having an ugly brick structure harming the whole community.</p> <p>I recognise that one house managed to secure planning permission for a brick wall but we submit that is an exception and should not be seen as a precedent. Indeed when another of the Gainsborough Gardens houses wanted to replace the wooden structure at the rear and install an ugly metal garage door, planning permission was not granted and they are replacing in wood. This proposed brick wall is at least as bad for the look and amenity of the street as that metal garage door would have been.</p> <p>Further, in my view, the planning application itself is misleading. The heights quoted for the brick wall are from the Gainsborough Garden side. They are much higher from the Christchurch Hill side, so we would end up with a horribly tall, ugly brick structure, entirely out of place on Christchurch Hill and the Conservation area. This planning application should be rejected</p>
