Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5620/P	Philip Argent	13/12/2021 15:05:31	OBJ	I have now objected to the large window which would overlook my property on two previous planning applications.
				Those applications have now expired and/or been withdrawn. Any previous planning decisions should be ignored.
				I object to it again. When the building was originally erected the architects responded to my original objections to windows overlooking me with a "Statement of intention" (Nov 1997) it said: Relationship with Adjoining buildings: 2.2 No windows are to be located in the flank walls of the proposed house to prevent any loss of privacy to the rear properties in Lupton Street. Other sections of the agreement reinforce this. I am happy to supply you with a copy of the document.
				Even if there are to be timber fins in front of the window it will still be a window. Is the original statement to be ignored and if so why? Contravening the original intention sets a worrying precedent. I assume the fins do not project over the land of 1 and 1A Lupton Street? It is hard to tell from the plans. If they do it would constitute trespass.
				The new design has a high wall running the full width of the gardens of 1 and 1A Lupton Street. It is currently set back by the property's courtyard. The new design would be oppressive and overly dominant for the space.
				This application should be looked at again, taking into account the original statement of intention and with a more sympathetic eye and the impact it will have on our privacy.