Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 06/12/2021 09:10:04 Response:
2021/4585/P	Professor E Brooks FRCM Hon FBC	05/12/2021 16:49:52	OBJ	I write to repeat the objections I submitted to application 2019/4089/P made by this applicant in 2020, who is applying yet again to build his reviled car-lift in the front garden of his property at 111 Canfield Gardens. This resident caused his neighbours considerable trouble when he dug out a basement flat at this property, thereby causing flooding and damp problems which have continued till today. There would seem to be no way in which this application could possibly comply with Camden's stated aim of increasing the green aspect of this Conservation Area and reducing the number of cars parked in the area. Since the applicant already parks at least two cars on the concreted-over front garden this second application appears to be no less selfish and excessive than the first. If he, at this time of danger for the environment, feels the need to increase, rather than reduce, the number of vehicles he owns, he should be move out of a Conservation Area to more suitable surroundings where his actions will have less of a detrimental effect on his neighbours. This application, if approved, will open the floodgates to further such applications which can only have disastrous consequences for the entire area. I respectfully request that Camden again refuses this monstrous application.
2021/4585/P	The Reverend Lyndon van der Pump FRCM	05/12/2021 16:28:58	OBJ	I wrote in February 2020 to object to Application 2019/4089/P, and I write again now to object even more strongly to this latest application (2021/4585/P) for what I consider to be an outrageous development. As I said before, this resident has already caused his immediate neighbour considerable trouble and distress by digging out a basement flat which caused damage to the party wall, flooding and ongoing damp problems. It is quite obvious that any further excavating will be catastrophic for those same neighbours and must not be allowed. Camden must surely be aware of the nature of the ground in this area which is soft clay and which has been notoriously vulnerable to the damage caused by developers extending their properties by digging out basements. I know there has been a move on the part of the council to increase the floral and green aspect of this Conservation Area by not allowing front gardens to be concreted over for the parking of cars. The inadequate concession made in the current application to re-green part of the concreted front garden, where at least two cars are already regularly parked, will do little if anything to improve the depressing aspect of the property. The prospect of allowing further cars to be driven into the area and parked in no way to complies with Camden's commitment to reduce cars in the area and is nothing short of excessive. One more aspect to be taken into account is the example approval of this application would set to other would-be developers who, inevitably, will follow and apply to build car-lifts in their front gardens - a prospect too vile even to consider! Camden rightly refused the earlier application I hope most fervently that you will refuse this latest application

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 06/12/2021 09:10:04 Response:
2021/4585/P	Peter symonds	05/12/2021 17:27:33	OBJ	I write to repeat the objections I submitted to application 2019/4089/P made by this applicant in 2020, who is applying yet again to build his reviled car-lift in the front garden of his property at 111 Canfield Gardens. This resident caused his neighbours considerable trouble when he dug out a basement flat at this property, thereby causing flooding and damp problems which have continued till today. There would seem to be no way in which this application could possibly comply with Camden's stated aim of increasing the green aspect of this Conservation Area and reducing the number of cars parked in the area. Since the applicant already parks at least two cars on the concreted-over front garden this second application appears to be no less selfish and excessive than the first. If he, at this time of danger for the environment, feels the need to increase, rather than reduce, the number of vehicles he owns, he should move out of a Conservation Area to more suitable surroundings where his actions will have less of a detrimental effect on his neighbours. This application, if approved, will open the floodgates to further such applications which can only have disastrous consequences for the entire area. I respectfully request that Camden again refuses this monstrous application.
2021/4585/P	Professor E Brooks FRCM Hon FBC	05/12/2021 17:33:43	OBJ	I write to repeat the objections I submitted to application 2019/4089/P made by this applicant in 2020, who is applying yet again to build his reviled car-lift in the front garden of his property at 111 Canfield Gardens. This resident caused his neighbours considerable trouble when he dug out a basement flat at this property, thereby causing flooding and damp problems which have continued till today. There would seem to be no way in which this application could possibly comply with Camden's stated aim of increasing the green aspect of this Conservation Area and reducing the number of cars parked in the area. Since the applicant already parks at least two cars on the concreted-over front garden this second application appears to be no less selfish and excessive than the first. If he, at this time of danger for the environment, feels the need to increase, rather than reduce, the number of vehicles he owns, he should move out of a Conservation Area to more suitable surroundings where his actions will have less of a detrimental effect on his neighbours. This application, if approved, will open the floodgates to further such applications which can only have disastrous consequences for the entire area. I respectfully request that Camden again refuses this monstrous application.