


**Parnjit Singh**

---

**From:** William Leefe [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 02 December 2021 14:46  
**To:** Planning Planning  
**Subject:** Planning Application No 2021/4927/P Re 112 King Henry's Road NW3



For Attention of Planning Officer : Ms Amy Ly

Dear Madam,

We are long standing residents of King Henry's Road and live at No 145.

We write to lodge our objections to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- The proposed additional storey would be entirely out of keeping with the location. It is a prominent end of terrace location and would introduce an ugly and inappropriate break into the streetscape and neighbourhood generally.
- It would depart from the uniformity of the Chalcots Park Estate which has a harmonious design and respects height.
- The bulk and mass of the additional height of unbroken brickwork on the flank walls is clumsy and ugly architecture unworthy of this location.
- The proposed additional floor would be out of step with the original design concepts of the Estate which so far have been well preserved and ensured that the area retains its unique and special feeling ~ to allow this proposal would set a wrong precedent and lead to incremental erosion of that concept to the detriment of the neighbourhood.
- The additional height would adversely affect the outlook and daylight of neighbouring properties in Lyttleton Close.
- In our opinion we do not agree at all with the sentiments expressed in the design and access statement and consider that the proposed additional floor is ugly, does not respect the height of neighbouring properties and the additional space will not add anything tangible in terms of benefit to the current local housing stock.
- We also do not agree that this is truly permitted development under the provisions of the Governments PDR Scheme. The proposal is in our view controversial because it has a deleterious effect on the neighbourhood and indeed neighbouring properties and therefore, as anticipated by the government in these situations, should be the subject of control and proper scrutiny by the local planning authority.

Yours sincerely

William and Angela Leefe  
Telephone [REDACTED]