

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/4063/P	Craig Douglas	18/11/2021 15:33:09	COMMNT	As much as we need the housing, we don't need to cram people in expensive shoe boxes with the loo and kitchen in the same room as the bedroom with little natural light at worst and no room to swing a cat at best. Individuals , companies and corporations should not be allowed to profiteer at private individuals' express. There would be uproar if a local council were to build what is proposed in this application!
2021/4063/P	Craig Douglas	18/11/2021 15:33:04	COMMNT	As much as we need the housing, we don't need to cram people in expensive shoe boxes with the loo and kitchen in the same room as the bedroom with little natural light at worst and no room to swing a cat at best. Individuals , companies and corporations should not be allowed to profiteer at private individuals' express. There would be uproar if a local council were to build what is proposed in this application!
2021/4063/P	Craig Douglas	18/11/2021 15:33:07	COMMNT	As much as we need the housing, we don't need to cram people in expensive shoe boxes with the loo and kitchen in the same room as the bedroom with little natural light at worst and no room to swing a cat at best. Individuals , companies and corporations should not be allowed to profiteer at private individuals' express. There would be uproar if a local council were to build what is proposed in this application!

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
-----------------	------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

2021/4063/P	Shirley Jones	18/11/2021 19:40:59	INT	
-------------	---------------	---------------------	-----	--

I live at Flat 2, 52 Haverstock Hill, next door to 50A Haverstock Hill.

Re the conversion of 4 x 1-bedroom flats into 4 x flats on first and second floors, as per above description, this does not seem to conform to what has actually taken place, according to letters dated January and June 2021, received from Camden Council, in which neighbouring properties were informed that Flats 5,6,7 and 8 had been converted from 2 x self-contained flats at first and second floor levels to 4 x self-contained flats, in breach of planning permission. According to a letter I received from Camden Council, an enforcement notice had been made which subsequently had to be withdrawn.

Regarding the further request for planning permission of a part two storey and part four storey rear extension, this will, I believe, dramatically impact my building as natural light will be blocked and there will be a loss of privacy. Further, by creating so many new units, in addition to the ones that have already been created without planning permission, 50A will house a large number of people in a building that already appears to be overcrowded and to which either little or no maintenance of the building, either by owners or tenants, appears to take place. The building has, at the front, very bad mould on windowsills (the view from my front room window) which has been there for many years. Further, I do not believe owners/landlords enforce normal tenancy agreement clauses (as noisy parties sometimes take place well into the morning hours). With more units and more people, I fear that the quality of life in neighbouring properties will be badly affected.

The owners of this building have, in the past, tried to bypass planning laws and regulations. In January 2018, without any planning permission, the front courtyard was converted to a car park. This involved a great deal of building works being carried out over a period of around two months, causing distress, due to the amount of noise and also dirt coming into neighbouring homes. Once Camden Council were made aware of this, the courtyard was eventually put back to something similar to the original - again this involved a great deal of building work and disruption to neighbours and the work was never actually finished (bare cement structures have been left at the front of the building). I am bringing this to your attention as I believe it again shows little or no regard for either neighbouring properties or the surrounding community.

For all the above, I strongly object to planning permission being given for any part of this project and would hope that the planning breach, which has already taken place, will be subject to the enforcement notice which had to be withdrawn.

Kindly note that the neighbouring property on the other side of 50A is a dental practice and so might not suffer in the same way that a residential property will suffer. Also, please note that in my building (52), I am the only permanent resident and this is my permanent home. Other flats in the building are let to tenants and there has usually been changes of tenancies after six months or one year - they, therefore, would not raise objections as they have no interest in the building.

Finally, I would respectfully request that my name is not shown on the form - I have no objection to Camden Council having all and any of my details but if my name appears on a public website, I fear there may be consequences. I hope this request will be considered so that by objecting to this proposal, I am not put at any risk.

I look forward to seeing the final decision and very much hope that residents in neighbouring properties are not subjected to further distress.