Delegated Report	An	Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	Date: 09/08/2021	021
Officer	N/A		Consult Expiry I	Consultation Expiry Date: mber(s)	Itation 22/08/2020 Date:	020
Matthew Dempsey			2021/2889/P			
Application Address			Drawing Numbers	ers		
London NW8 0RH			Refer to draft decision notice.	cision r	notice.	
Proposal(s)						
Alterations to shopfront, including new doors and matching fanlight detail	including new do	oors and r	natching fanlight de	ťaii		
Recommendation(s):	Refuse Planning Permission	ng Permi	ssion			
Application Type:	Full Planning Permission	Permissio	on			
Consultations						
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00
Summary of consultation	A site notice was displayed on 23/07 notice was published on 29/07/2021	as display lished on	A site notice was displayed on 23/07/2021 for 21 days. A press notice was published on 29/07/2021.	or 21 d <i>a</i>	ıys. A press	
responses:	No comments v	vere recei	No comments were received during public consultation.	onsulta	tion.	
CAAC/Amenity group comments:	There is no St . The Kilburn Nei received.	John's Wc	There is no St John's Wood Conservation Area Advisory Com The Kilburn Neighbourhood Forum were consulted but no respreceived.	rea Adv	isory Committee. but no response was	ິສ

## Site Description

in appearance, with the upper floors being a mixture of offices and residential storey buildings, some with basement. The ground floor of the terrace is largely commercial and retail The site is located on the north-western side of Boundary Road and forms part of a terrace of 3 and 4

of buildings nos. 98-132.) positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area, (part of a group The site is not listed but is in the St John's Wood Conservation Area and is identified as making a

**Boundary Road** The boundary with the City of Westminster runs immediately to the south-east on the opposite side of

The property is currently under construction / renovation as a medical facility

## Relevant History

#### 2019/0116/P

39sqm additional D1 floorspace. Formation of front facing roof terrace at third floor level, and Erection of single storey rear extension at ground floor level and erection of roof extension to create replacement of existing uPVC window frames with timber

Granted subject to Section 106 legal agreement, 05/02/2019

#### 2019/0155/P

Appeal dismissed 07/02/2019 Erection of part single, part two-storey rear extension at ground and first floor level.

#### 2019/5870/P

Installation of replacement shopfront. Withdrawn 28/01/2020.

#### 2021/2888/P

Approved 10/11/2021. Alterations to shopfront, including new doors and matching fanlight detail.

## Relevant policies

**National Planning Policy Framework 2021** 

# Camden Local Plan 2017

- A1 Managing the impact of development
- D1 Design
- D2 Heritage
- D3 Shopfront

Camden Planning Guidance CPG Design - Section 6 (Shopfronts) (March 2019)

# St John's Wood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy (Adopted 14 July 2009)

and Brent Councils approved the designation of the Neighbourhood Area and Neighbourhood Forum. years. There is no draft Neighbourhood Plan in place. As the Forum was established in 2016 it has now re-applied for formal designated for a further five The site is in the Kilburn Neighbourhood Forum area. On 3 June 2016 the London Borough of Camden

## Assessment

- <u>1</u> documents and site photos that the existing heritage shopfront has been removed in order to facilitate structural works to the ground floor. Planning permission is sought for alterations to the shopfront. It is observed from the application
- 1.2 The principal considerations material to the determination of this application are
- Design & heritage
- ii. Access, Amenity, the impact on neighbours

## Design and Heritage:

- 2.1 context is needed in order to achieve high quality development which integrates into its surroundings. The Council will seek to secure high quality design in development and will consideration of the characteristics of a site, features of local distinctiveness and the wider Policy D1 (Design) of the Camden Local Plan 2017 states that 'Good design takes account of its historic environment and heritage assets.' require that development respects local context and character, preserves or enhances the surroundings and preserves what is distinctive and valued about the local area. Careful
- 2.2 development to contribute positively to this.' possible, enhances the character or appearance of the area and will seek to manage change in a way that retains the distinctive characters of our conservation areas and will expect new assets. The Council will require that development within conservation areas preserves or, where importance on preserving the historic environment. Conservation areas are designated heritage Policy D2 (Heritage) of the Camden Local Plan 2017 states that 'The Council places great
- 2.3 proposals for shopfront development the Council will consider the design of the shopfront or standard of design in new and altered shopfronts and other features. When determining Policy D3 (Shopfronts) of the Camden Local Plan 2017 also states that 'Shopfronts contribute and design of the building and its shopfront. feature, including its details and materials, the existing character, architectural and historic merit greatly to the character of centres and their distinctiveness. The Council will expect a high
- 2.4 The St Johns Wood Conservation Area Appraisal (para 3.13) identifies the background and context of the site as:
- high quality Victorian embellishments worthy of preservation and typically enhancing the character and appearance of the Conservation Area." useful local services and small independent retailers and restaurants...They often retain commercial activity, they boast several well preserved shopfronts, and are home to Road form a focal point for goods and services....Reflecting historically a growth in West area. Here the mid-Victorian terraces along the north-eastern end of Boundary "Shops and small scale commercial businesses feature in part of the St John's Wood
- 2.5 And further (para 4.12):
- renovations have been much less sympathetic and inappropriate designs, materials and signage negatively impact on the character and appearance of the Conservation Area, particularly by interrupting the rhythm and continuity of the street." in which occupation and activity within the area has evolved. Later additions and late 19th and early 20th century complement the original detailing and illustrate the way "Historic shopfronts have survived relatively well in places. Earlier modifications of the
- 2.6 The proposal is to replace the existing shopfront with a more contemporary shopfront, with a plain unadorned appearance. Policy D3 of the Local Plan states that 'where an original shopfront of architectural or historic value survives, in whole or in substantial part, there will be

shopfront of merit it contributes significantly to the character of the area and loss through presumption in favour of its retention'. The CA Statement identifies the existing shopfront at 126 therefore any retention of its heritage features would require reinstatement. ground level. As noted above the shopfront has already been removed from the property, and attrition would have a significant impact on the quality and appearance of the frontages at (para 7.6) as having historic interest and retaining traditional elements. It notes that as a

- 2.7 and in the main glazed element would be replaced by single glazed panes. The scalloped detailing to the top of the central element would also be lost. The interest and heritage detailing flanked by a pair of doors with fanlights. However the existing glazing bar detail in the fanlights similar proportions and layout to the existing, with a central glazed element and stallriser, The proposals would replace the fascia and pilasters as existing. The shopfront would have would no longer remain.
- 2.8 retained. The current proposals would provide a plain appearance with limited contribute to the erosion of detail which is resisted by the Conservation Area Statement, Design contribution to the character and richness of the retail parade (CPG para 6.1) and would acknowledgement of the character of the existing shopfront or the rest of the terrace and limited detailing and the fenestration pattern of small-scale glazing in the shopfronts has generally been the character and appearance of the host property, the terrace and the St Johns Wood Conservation Area, contrary to Local Plan policies D2 and D3. CPG and policy. It is considered therefore that the replacement shopfront would cause harm to them with contemporary components, in general there is a prevalence of characteristic heritage Although a number of shopfronts along on the terrace have been modified in the past, some of
- 2.9 that Area. Paragraph 202 of the NPPF sets out that 'where a development proposal will this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal. lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of a The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 ("the Listed Buildings Act") Section 72(1) of the Act requires that special attention shall be paid to Conservation Area when considering applications relating to land or buildings within
- 2.10 The development would lead to less than substantial harm to the St Johns Wood benefits to outweigh the presumption against harm to the designated heritage asset, and therefore the application is refused Conservation Area. The alterations to the shopfront would not provide sufficient public

### Other matters

- The proposals raise no concern in respect of providing level access to the premises, as required by policies D1 (Design) and D6 (Access) of the Camden Local Plan 2017.
- 2.12 Due to the nature of the proposal, replacing an existing arrangement in the same amenity of neighbouring residential occupiers in terms of loss of light, privacy or location, this proposed development is not considered to have any impact on the

Recommendation: Refuse Planning Permission.