

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/4634/L	David Auger	24/10/2021 22:24:02	OBJ	<p>Objection to Listed Building Application 2021/4634/L in relation to 51A Mornington Terrace London NW1 7RT ("51A")</p> <p>The Objector lives at 52A Mornington Terrace, London NW1 7RT ("52A").</p> <p>This objection has been submitted on 24th October 2021 electronically both via the Camden Planning Website and via email to planning@camden.gov.uk. Readers attention is drawn to the document submitted via email as this includes formatting not possible on the website.</p> <p>Please also see comments in relation to the main application 2021/1693/P attached in email version.</p> <p>The proposal includes rearrangements within the existing external extension. The objector is concerned at the lack of specification of proposed ventilation and waste arrangements that may include holes through the wall between 51A and 52A overlooking the objectors lower patio opposite his back door.</p> <p>He objects to any proposals necessitating or proposing the piercing of this wall to make way for waste or ventilation, and the placing of pipes against that wall which would be inside the boundary of his property, possibly impede the use of the access to the garden as well as detracting from the views from his property.</p> <p>Since the current plans are insufficiently specific the development is objected to.</p> <p>He also objects to any work that could damage the existing building. He wishes to particularly draw attention to what happened when what the applicants describe as a similar project at 50A resulted in the entire extension having to be rebuilt due to damage to the foundations during the project and this should be avoided.</p> <p>He is also concerned that at 48 Mornington Terrace permission was obtained for one development but something quite different was constructed with a long running dispute regarding retrospective permission for the changes. The Objector therefore requests that conditions be attached to any permission that ensure what is actually built follows the permission, rather than applicants developers "running the risk" of retroactive amendments. An applicant committed to honouring the terms of the application should not have any issue with such conditions.</p>
