

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3857/P	Jenny Anderson	27/10/2021 22:09:01	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this planning application on various grounds.</p> <p>I have neither been consulted, nor even been informed, that there will be antennas on the roof of the block next door to mine. I happened to have been told by a neighbour.</p> <p>The application confirms that an email was sent to the following schools on 31st July 2019. Christchurch Primary School Christchurch Hill, New End Primary School Streatley Place, Heathside Preparatory School, 16 New End, Hampstead Activity Nursery, Christchurch, Hampstead Square. Kidsoona New End, Streatley Place. This would have been during the school holidays and during the recent lockdowns, how could all the parents of the children in attendance make any comments and were the parents consulted? I see no evidence of this. In my opinion the nearby schools should be properly consulted and the parents of the children who attend these schools should also be consulted.</p> <p>There is no evidence on the Camden planning site showing the outcome of consultations with “organisations with an interest in the proposed development”. This would include, any Conservation Area Advisory Committees, neighbourhood forums or residents’ associations in the area.</p> <p>The proposed development, by reason of its scale, design and siting, at high level in a prominent location, would be both ugly and obtrusive and the resultant visual clutter would be detrimental to the character and appearance of Carnegie House, the street scene generally and the Hampstead Conservation Area as a whole as well as being contrary to policies D1 and D2 of the Camden Local Plan 2017.</p> <p>It is out of keeping with Grade I and Grade II listed buildings in New End square and surrounding area. New End School is also listed building and is close by.</p> <p>The masts will be seen when walking up New End from Streatley Place or Bodes Mews and will be truly ugly.</p> <p>The cabinets proposed for the pavements will cause obstruction for people with disabilities. The pavements around here are difficult to negotiate at the best of time. There are two cafes situated nearby with outside seating where people visit on their walks to the heath.</p> <p>There are Grade II listed building opposite Carnegie House including Ye Olde White Bear Pub, a historic building dating back to 1704, which is soon to be opened again. The customers and residents of the area will have the overbearing unsightly masts looming above them. It will spoil their outlook completely.</p> <p>It is difficult to see the plans properly because they are not very clear. One of the pages in the documents of the planning application showing the proposed drawing from side on Grove Place doesn’t even open.</p> <p>“RF levels are exponentially higher when located closer to the mobile phone base station antenna. Therefore, proper safety measures must be applied when protecting public from the excess RF radiation. One of the main safety principles is creating sufficient distance between the public and the RF sources. This requirement may not be met in certain housing conditions. Mobile phone base stations installed on rooftops may become very close to people in nearby apartments.”</p> <p>As L.B.C. will be aware, there have been extensive roadworks around Hampstead High Street and in Hampstead more generally, including wiring Camden Council’s properties, such as Wells House, Christchurch Hill & Grove Place and Carnegie House with the installation of fibre broadband. With this improved infrastructure and the resulting Wi-Fi availability, I would question whether the proposed masts are actually necessary.</p> <p>I feel that this will be just the start of antennas and transmitters on this particular roof, and that once a president has been set there will be no way to pose further objections.</p> <p>ICNIRP Guidelines are outdated and inadequate for general populous. Makes no allowances for people with</p>

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

electrical sensitivity, like myself.
According to the organization which advises the government, Public Health England, microwaves are not dangerous if they do not have enough power to heat you, and so they set a high limit on public exposure known as the ICNIRP guideline. Some other European countries do not go along with this and many have now set far lower precautionary levels for the mobile phone operators to work within. Many very eminent scientists across the world believe that there are biological effects, leading to adverse health effects on people, animals and plants at far lower levels of microwaves than the high ICNIRP limit. Khurana (2010) stated “We found that eight of the 10 studies reported increased prevalence of adverse neurobehavioral symptoms or cancer in populations living at distances of less than 500 meters from base stations. Until there has been proper research into the long term affects on the health of people, particularly children, in the very local and quite densely populated area, Camden must refuse this application now.
