Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 29/10/2021 09:10:03 Response:
2021/3105/P	Karen Ackerman	27/10/2021 11:57:02	SUPPRT	I am writing in support of this proposal. This Eruv will be something that will make a real difference to observant families in the area but will be hardly noticed by other residents. There are Eruvim in other areas across London and they are based around existing poles, and other street furniture. For those who have young children and wish to push a buggy on the shabbat or those who are ill and need to carry medicine this will make an enormous difference.
2021/3105/P	Hugo Raine	18/10/2021 23:49:33	SUPPRT	This project is vital to ensure that Orthodox Jews can practice their religion freely and easily, in the manner that a decent society should guarantee as a basic right. Though it does not affect me directly, as a less strict Jew I would still feel affronted and upset on behalf of many others if it were turned down.
2021/3105/P	Tony Roth	25/10/2021 11:50:20	OBJ	I object to the erection of an Eruv in the Dartmouth Park area. Whatever the claimed religious merits and benefits of this proposal, it would constitute unacceptable street clutter in the form of poles and wires, and this goes against a long-term policy of removing or reducing such clutter.
				Turning to the arguments put forward by its proposers, I appreciate that this is a sensitive issue, but not one to which the Equalities Act is relevant. In the absence of an Eruv observant Jews can still practice their religion, albeit with the restriction that to be strictly observant they cannot use any form of transport on the Sabbath. But the emphasis here is on ¿strictly observant¿, as this restriction is widely flouted by the majority of Jewish people. I note that allowing access to a hospital is also cited as an argument in favour of an Eruv, but this is specious; if an observant Jew needs to travel for hospital treatment on the Sabbath this exemption is already permissible under relevant Jewish law. This also assumes that the relevant hospital is within the Eruv, which is only occasionally going to be the case. In summary this is a proposal which will benefit only a very small number of individuals.