## **Parnjit Singh**

From: kati isse <

**Sent:** 22 October 2021 13:04

**To:** east3@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Cc: Awale Olad (Cllr); Julian Fulbrook (Cllr); keir.starmer.mp@parliament.uk; Residents

Committee; Max Shilo; Planning Planning

**Subject:** Fwd: Reference: APP/X5210/W/21/3279455



Dear Planning,

Further to my email below for objecting the planning reference above.

We would like to to add one more important reason for objecting the plan.

We would like to have solar panels on our roof for our green energy property improvement. As you know UK is publishing Environment bill next week. Here in Matilda apartments resident we are well aware our future green energy improvements needs using our roof for providing our energy needs. Camden also should be planning ahead for these home improvement changes in every home.

In Italy they have already started last year legislation called Relaunch Degree.

Every home is entitled to new tax credit for improvement to Italian energy efficiency and structural improvements. This tax credit is called Superbonus. This also ensures tax compliance in local building industry. It covers 110% of the cost of energy efficiency. This is about 100,000 Euros per every home.

For this reason we are objecting above planning reference on our roof.

I am also coping this email to our councilors and our MP so that in Camden we can start talking about similar schemes to help us transit to green energy using our roof solar panel.

Thank you.

Kati

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: kati isse < Date: 11 October 2021 at 21:49:06 BST To: east3@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Cc: Residents Committee <

<pre><awale.olad@camden.gov.uk>, Julian Fulbrook <julian.fulbrook@camden.gov.uk> Subject: Reference: APP/X5210/W/21/3279455</julian.fulbrook@camden.gov.uk></awale.olad@camden.gov.uk></pre>
Dear planning,
I am writing to object the planning reference above.
My address is:
Matilda apartments <u>4 Earnshaw Street</u> <u>London WC2H 8AJ</u>
These are the reasons for our rejection:
1) as a residential building, it is not appropriate for us to have this on our roof when there are office buildings with flat roofs in the area, (2) there is no evidence that this apparatus is safe, (3) we do not want additional reason for people to need to be on the roof, (4) the apparatus will be unsightly.
Thank you Kati
Sent from my iPhone