

Parnjit Singh

From: Miriam Baptist
Sent: 22 October 2021 10:22
To: Planning Planning
Subject: FW: Comments on 2021/3725/P have been received by the council.

[REDACTED]

From: Joanne O'Brien <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 19 October 2021 15:58
To: Miriam Baptist <Miriam.Baptist@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: Fwd: Comments on 2021/3725/P have been received by the council.

[REDACTED]

Dear Miriam,

Good to speak with you earlier. Please find below my acknowledgement and the text of my objections to the application.

In case it is a help, I also attach two photos of the café shop front which shows how it is made of timber.

Thank you for your help.

Best wishes,
Joanne





Joanne O'Brien
Photography
www.joanneobrien.co.uk
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: planning@camden.gov.uk
Date: 17 October 2021 at 22:21:39 BST
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Comments on 2021/3725/P have been received by the council.

Firstly, a general comment on the application: I am concerned that it appears to be so short on details.

1. Front elevation: The drawings do not correspond with the details of the existing front elevation. The existing windows are in keeping with the character and period of the building and they should be kept in their current design, as is the case with other buildings in the terrace.
2. The shop-front is not aluminium, it is timber. I am at a loss to understand why the applicants have described it thus. Why have they supplied no photographs of the shop-front? The proposed aluminium shop front is not suitable for this building. The original 19th design of the shop -front should be preserved as it was when the corner shop, Greens Grocery at 143/5 Highgate Rd was refurbished. Any changes to the shop-front at 147 would be harmful to the historic character of the building and mean a reduction in the quality of the local environment in this conservation area.
3. Shop-front sign: The proposed sign is too big for this type of building. And it appears that the proposed three lights are positioned in order to illuminate it. No other shop on this row has such inappropriate lighting. The applicants should be specifically directed not to have an illuminated sign.
4. Where will the bins be sited? The drawings submitted do not contain any information about this important point. There is no door shown for access at the back. There is already a problem with the large bins of Greens Grocery, and those of number 1b and number 1c Wesleyan Place blocking the pavement at the top of Wesleyan place, so that the pavement is impassable at the that point.
5. There is no information on the proposed plan about the ground floor. It is not clear what are the arrangements for the kitchen. There is no information about the duct for cooking fumes on the ground floor. Currently the cooking fumes can be most unpleasant and intrusive.
6. It also appears that the applicants wish to extend the first-floor bedroom. The proposed window at the back of the extension would mean a significant loss of privacy for the immediate neighbours.

Comments made by Joanne O'Brien of 2 Wesleyan Place
Phone [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Objection