

Parnjit Singh

From: Sofie Fieldsend
Sent: 20 October 2021 16:44
To: Planning Planning
Subject: FW: Application Ref 2020/5974/P - Objection



-----Original Message-----

From: Lesley Willoughby [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 October 2021 16:42
To: Sofie Fieldsend <Sofie.Fieldsend@camden.gov.uk>; Alex Bushell <Alex.Bushell@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: carole Berman [REDACTED]; ian Trehearne [REDACTED]
Subject: Application Ref 2020/5974/P



Dear Ms Fieldsend

Application ref 2020/5974/P Demolition of existing dwelling and construction of replacement dwelling with basement and landscaping.

i am writing to you to endorse the concerns raised by Carole Berman, owner of Flat 2, Prince Arthur Road, and the detailed and strongly presented letter of objection sent to you by Ian Trehearne, who is representing the Mulberry Tree House Association in its efforts to prevent approval being granted for the above mentioned planning application for 5b Prince Arthur Road.

You already have on file a separate document from my husband Keith Willoughby, owner of Flat 1, 5 Prince Arthur Road, strongly objecting to the original planning application. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I am therefore now continuing to pursue the ongoing objection to the proposals detailed in the application.

My concern is particularly heightened by the fact that concessions appear to have been made to resolve the objections raised by the owners of number 7 Prince Arthur Road, but nothing has addressed the key concerns raised by the owners of 5 Prince Arthur Road, regarding right to light and the loss of privacy in the rear garden.

The suggestion of planting on the terrace to address the privacy issue is unacceptable, as it should not be considered a viable option. There can be no guarantee provided regarding the maintenance, sustainability or permanence of the planting. Moreover, the attempt to address the lack of privacy issue caused by the rear roof terrace in this way merely exacerbates the loss of light already caused by the height and length of the building itself. The roof terrace is an unnecessary and inconsiderate addition to the design being proposed. I repeat the comment in my husband's original objection - 'As pre- application advice was not to create a roof terrace in this location, it is surprising to still see it in place on the final plan'.

Having endured the impact of the major building development of the retirement dwellings on the corner of Prince Arthur Road, I also have grave concerns about the impact of the construction of such a large basement. This will undoubtedly affect the stability of the surrounding infrastructure and buildings.

It seems that a planning application that was considered to be 'acceptable in principle' has developed into an aggressive, over developed design, which would have significant impact on the residents of 5 Prince Arthur Road and the surrounding community.

I would therefore request that this letter is presented as part of the evidence presented to the Planning Committee for discussion.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely

Lesley Willoughby