Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/4142/P	Sonia Cohen	19/10/2021 12:17:08	OBJ	As noted by other neighbours in their objections to this application, the proposal to raise the roof ridge line of no. 34 Meadowbank has previously been rejected.  I am in agreement with our neighbours that this application should again be rejected because:  The planned extension would be extremely bulky and would overshadow the communal gardens  Raising the roof line ruins the architectural integrity of the terrace, contrary to Camden¿s planning policies  The extension goes against previous planning decisions (ref: 2009/3691/P and 2008/4876/P)  Such extensive building work at no.34 poses a very real threat of structural damage to nos. 33 and 35 Meadowbank.  In addition, as an elderly retired person, I spend most of my time at home. The proposed building works will be hugely disruptive and will greatly affect my quality of life.  I hope you will reject this application.
2021/4142/P	Sonia Cohen	19/10/2021 12:17:06	ОВЈ	As noted by other neighbours in their objections to this application, the proposal to raise the roof ridge line of no. 34 Meadowbank has previously been rejected.  I am in agreement with our neighbours that this application should again be rejected because:  The planned extension would be extremely bulky and would overshadow the communal gardens  Raising the roof line ruins the architectural integrity of the terrace, contrary to Camden¿s planning policies  The extension goes against previous planning decisions (ref: 2009/3691/P and 2008/4876/P)  Such extensive building work at no.34 poses a very real threat of structural damage to nos. 33 and 35 Meadowbank.  In addition, as an elderly retired person, I spend most of my time at home. The proposed building works will be hugely disruptive and will greatly affect my quality of life.  I hope you will reject this application.

Printed on: 21/10/2021

09:10:04