

Martyn Hunter Craig,  
Flat 11  
59 Christchurch Hill,  
London NW3 1JJ

Leela Muthoora,  
London Borough of Camden,  
Planning Department.

14th October, 2021

Dear Leela Muthoora,

**Re: Planning Application Number: 2021/3857/P: Carnegie House New End London NW3 1JE  
Installation of 3x antennas, 1 x 300mm transmission dish at roof level and 2x equipment cabinets and  
meter cabinet at ground level, and associated ancillary works.**

I strongly object to this planning proposal. Carnegie House is a low rise building which dates back to 1937.

There will be a negative impact on views, vistas and skylines. Especially for anyone walking down New End from Heath Street, the antennas will be visible and spoil the aspects of form which this building has. It has clean clear horizontal lines; this strong horizontal emphasis will be lost forever if the antennas and other related paraphernalia are erected. The metal railings and the masts will be a complete eyesore. These masts and metal rooftop railings will also be visible to anyone walking to New End from Streatley Place or Boades Mews.

I live within close proximity to this proposal and I am very concerned for my health should these masts be installed. I fully accept that it is not the role of the planning officers or the councillors on the planning committees to consider the health risks, nor to consider the validity of health issues or their personal beliefs in those issues. However, it is their responsibility to consider the vast amounts of new research pointing to serious health implications affecting the lives of residents near to mobile phone masts. It is clear that worrying about the implications to one's health from mobile phone masts, especially if the mast is 5G which has caused many concerns lately and it is going to be situated on top of or close to one's home.

There are residents for whom, just by reason of the proposal of a base station on their roof, will suffer from extreme anxiety. It is the perceived health risk which will cause loss of amenity irrespective of whether the danger is perceived or real. These ill effects will be intensified if the application was to be approved.

Camden has a duty of care for all its citizens.

The proposed development, by reason of its scale, design and siting, at high level in a prominent location, would result in visual clutter which would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the host building, the street scene and the Hampstead Conservation Area contrary to policies D1 and D2 of the Camden Local Plan 2017.

Some of the cabinets on New End on the street, will restrict the ability of people to move round the area, including carers of young children, older people, people with mobility or sensory impairments.

If this application is passed then any local councillor who has approved of the plans does not understand the local area or the history of such a place with its shapes, forms, colours and elements. There are Grade II listed buildings here and the masts on Carnegie House will be totally incongruous with the heritage of this neighbourhood.

Camden must reject this application.

Yours sincerely,

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of Martyn Hunter Craig.

Martyn Hunter Craig