

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3857/P	David Saxby	05/10/2021 22:42:44	OBJ	<p>I wish to register my objection to these proposals due to the detrimental impact on the Hampstead Conservation Area. The conspicuous nature of these additions to a building that has previously been assessed as having a "neutral" impact on the CA, is likely to alter that to being one that "detracts". Carnegie House sits at a prominent location - visible at the end of many surrounding streets e.g. from Heath St down New End, from Boades Mews up New End, from Burgh House up New End Sq., along Well Rd and Grove Place. As such the placement seems detrimental to both the building, its immediate Listed neighbours, and its wider setting with the Conservation Area.</p> <p>If any such proposals were to be approved, it seems that the design could be done with much more sensitivity i.e. antennae inset, parapet barrier inset and collapsible when not in use, metal cable trays placed more discretely i.e. not on street facing facades, cabinets similarly located less prominently, etc. However to date, no such thought seems to have been given to the location of this infrastructure in an historic setting.</p>
2021/3857/P	M Patwl	07/10/2021 10:25:03	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application due to the location, the area surrounding has many listed buildings , to house masts and cabinets would take away the skyline and beauty surrounding the streets. The location of the cabinets which impact on pedestrians and take away a spot of joy and peace which many residents, parents and public benefit from. The area maintains a time of history which is still desired by many to see in person or used by the film industry. As someone who was born in the area and has lived here all my life , I feel compelled to protect it for further generations as the historic village within a city.</p>
2021/3857/P	M Patwl	07/10/2021 10:25:16	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application due to the location, the area surrounding has many listed buildings , to house masts and cabinets would take away the skyline and beauty surrounding the streets. The location of the cabinets which impact on pedestrians and take away a spot of joy and peace which many residents, parents and public benefit from. The area maintains a time of history which is still desired by many to see in person or used by the film industry. As someone who was born in the area and has lived here all my life , I feel compelled to protect it for further generations as the historic village within a city.</p>
2021/3857/P	M Patwl	07/10/2021 10:25:22	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application due to the location, the area surrounding has many listed buildings , to house masts and cabinets would take away the skyline and beauty surrounding the streets. The location of the cabinets which impact on pedestrians and take away a spot of joy and peace which many residents, parents and public benefit from. The area maintains a time of history which is still desired by many to see in person or used by the film industry. As someone who was born in the area and has lived here all my life , I feel compelled to protect it for further generations as the historic village within a city.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3857/P	Lesley Stevas	13/10/2021 12:25:48	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this proposal. I live only meters with the sight of the proposed masts. They will spoil mine and other residents' outlook of the skyline. One which John Constable the 19C English painter would have similarly enjoyed looking westwards from his Hampstead home. Carnegie house is a building which dates back to 1937 and is historical. It has clean symmetric lines; this classical symmetry will be ruined forever if these masts are installed. The pure clean lines will be broken with the masts sticking up. They will be ugly and obtrusive. Completely ruining the aestheticism of the purity of design.</p> <p>The proposed development, by reason of its scale, design and siting, at high level in a prominent location, would result in visual clutter which would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the host building, the street scene and the Hampstead Conservation Area contrary to policies D1 and D2 of the Camden Local Plan 2017.</p> <p>Out of keeping with Grade I and Grade II listed buildings in New End square and surrounding area. New End School is a listed building and close by.</p> <p>The masts will be an eye sore and will be seen for miles around especially when walking down along New End from Heath Street, looking towards the iconic view of central London and St. Pauls. All you will see are the masts on top of the building. The masts will be seen when walking up New End from Streatley Place or Boades Mews.</p> <p>The luxury flats called Novel House in New End boast "picture windows with panoramic views". The residents will now have views of 3 masts when looking Eastwards. I do not think that I would like to have bought a luxury apartment only to find out that 3 mobile masts are going to blight my "panoramic view" of London.</p> <p>The cabinets proposed for the pavements will cause obstruction for people with disabilities. The pavements around here are difficult to negotiate at the best of time. There are two cafes situated nearby with outside seating where people visit on their walks to the heath.</p> <p>There are Grade II listed building opposite Carnegie House including Ye Olde White Bear Pub, a historic building dating back to 1704, which is soon to be opened again. The customers and residents of the area will have the overbearing unsightly masts looming above them. It will spoil their outlook completely.</p> <p>It is difficult to see the plans properly because they are not very clear. One of the pages in the documents of the planning application showing the proposed drawing from side on Grove Place does not open. There are residents for whom, just by reason of the proposal of a base station on their roof, will suffer from extreme anxiety. It is the perceived health risk which will cause loss of amenity irrespective of whether the danger is perceived or real. These ill effects will be intensified if the application was to be approved.</p> <p>The application confirms that an email was sent to the following schools on 31st July 2019. Christchurch Primary School Christchurch Hill, New End Primary School Streatley Place, Heathside Preparatory School, 16 New End, Hampstead Activity Nursery, Christchurch, Hampstead Square. Kidsoona New End, Streatley Place. This would have been during the school holidays and during the recent lockdowns, how could all the parents of the children in attendance make any comments and were the parents consulted? I see no evidence of this. I received a consultation letter in the post and I know that I replied and made comments. So why was a similarly formal letter not sent to the schools and only an email which could have easily gone into a "junk mail" box.</p>

Application No: Consultees Name: Received:

Comment: Response:

In my opinion the nearby schools should be properly consulted and the parents of the children who attend these schools should also be consulted.

There is no evidence on the Camden planning site showing the outcome of consultations with "organisations with an interest in the proposed development". This would include, any Conservation Area Advisory Committees, neighbourhood forums or residents' associations in the area.

Thus, the siting and scale of the structure would detrimentally affect my outlook and similarly other residential properties looking towards the west.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6781513/>

"Therefore, installation of base stations to risky places cannot be justified using the good reception requirement argument".

My living room and kitchen and bathroom window will be level with the mobile masts on Carnegie House.

"RF levels are exponentially higher when located closer to the mobile phone base station antenna. Therefore, proper safety measures must be applied when protecting public from the excess RF radiation. One of the main safety principles is creating sufficient distance between the public and the RF sources. This requirement may not be met in certain housing conditions. Mobile phone base stations installed on rooftops may become very close to people in nearby apartments."

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31991167/>

"This article identifies adverse effects of non-ionizing non-visible radiation (hereafter called wireless radiation) reported in the premier biomedical literature. It emphasizes that most of the laboratory experiments conducted to date are not designed to identify the more severe adverse effects reflective of the real-life operating environment in which wireless radiation systems operate. Many experiments do not include pulsing and modulation of the carrier signal. The vast majority do not account for synergistic adverse effects of other toxic stimuli (such as chemical and biological) acting in concert with the wireless radiation. This article also presents evidence that the nascent 5G mobile networking technology will affect not only the skin and eyes, as commonly believed, but will have adverse systemic effects as well."

As LBC will be aware, there have been extensive roadworks around Hampstead High Street and in Hampstead more generally, including wiring Camden Council's properties, such as Wells House, Christchurch Hill & Grove Place and Carnegie House with the installation of fibre broadband. With this improved infrastructure and the resulting Wi-Fi availability, I would question whether the proposed masts are actually necessary.

Whilst Local Planning Authorities (LPA) are instructed not to question need, the Ofcom Electronic Communications Code of Practice states that telecoms companies are expected to demonstrate need. I live in the area near Carnegie House and the phone coverage I receive from EE is perfectly adequate and does not need upgrading. I don't want videos I can download in a few seconds, The Internet of Things, driverless cars or any of the purported benefits it's claimed 5G will bring.

ICNIRP Guidelines are outdated and inadequate for general populous. Makes no allowances for people with electrical sensitivity, like myself.

According to the organization which advises the government, Public Health England, microwaves are not dangerous if they do not have enough power to heat you, and so they set a high limit on public exposure known as the ICNIRP guideline. Some other European countries do not go along with this and many have now set far lower precautionary levels for the mobile phone operators to work within, which they do in Europe. Many very eminent scientists across the world believe that there are biological effects, leading to adverse health effects on people, animals and plants at far lower levels of microwaves than the high ICNIRP limit. Khurana (2010) stated "We found that eight of the 10 studies reported increased prevalence of adverse

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3857/P	David Saxby	05/10/2021 22:42:45	OBJ	<p>neurobehavioral symptoms or cancer in populations living at distances of less than 500 meters from base stations. None of the studies reported exposure above accepted international guidelines, suggesting that current guidelines may be inadequate in protecting the health of human populations.”</p> <p>This is a landgrab and I think that it is clear that more antennae will be added or changed over time, making the initial application just the start. This omission prevents the public from making a meaningful representation now.</p> <p>Camden must refuse this application.</p>
				<p>I wish to register my objection to these proposals due to the detrimental impact on the Hampstead Conservation Area. The conspicuous nature of these additions to a building that has previously been assessed as having a "neutral" impact on the CA, is likely to alter that to being one that "detracts". Carnegie House sits at a prominent location - visible at the end of many surrounding streets e.g. from Heath St down New End, from Boades Mews up New End, from Burgh House up New End Sq., along Well Rd and Grove Place. As such the placement seems detrimental to both the building, its immediate Listed neighbours, and its wider setting with the Conservation Area.</p> <p>If any such proposals were to be approved, it seems that the design could be done with much more sensitivity i.e. antennae inset, parapet barrier inset and collapsible when not in use, metal cable trays placed more discretely i.e. not on street facing facades, cabinets similarly located less prominently, etc. However to date, no such thought seems to have been given to the location of this infrastructure in an historic setting.</p>