

Parnjit Singh

From: Adam Greenhalgh
Sent: 11 October 2021 13:29
To: Planning Planning
Subject: FW: ERUVs




Adam Greenhalgh
Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 6341



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.

From: John Slater [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 October 2021 13:25
To: Adam Greenhalgh <Adam.Greenhalgh@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: Jim Beggs [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: ERUVs



Dear Adam, Please see this response. John

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jim beggs <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, 11 Oct 2021 at 12:18
Subject: Re: ERUVs
To: John Slater <[REDACTED]>

John,

Thanks for the DPCAAC enclosure re application 2021/3105/P..please forward my comments to the appropriate officers in Camden.

I have re-read the application which contains much that is superfluous to the application requirements, despite being well researched.

I assume that the background information has been 'lifted' from previous applications, for other locations and may not be applicable.

My comments are based on my previous role as chair of the DPCAAC and as the current street rep for Little Green Street, and as a resident of Little Green Street.

1 On the face of it, the application appears to request a specific group to detract from their own regulations on one day of the week only, and to mark the extent of this behaviour by utilising, adapting or installing new public realm street furniture. The basis of the

need for the demarcation is a relatively recent group regulation, S149 of the Equality Act of 2010. Advising Camden on the removal of unnecessary street furniture (clutter) has been a desirable and established function of the DPCAAC for many years prior to 2010.

2 The demarcation of the area is, in places, so subtle as to be almost invisible, suggesting that the use of digitised information would be

much more appropriate and practical. Denying the use of technology, flies in the face of progress. Why not display the enclosed area on a mobile phone app, allowing the applicant group to go about abiding by their rules in peace, without drawing undue attention to themselves.

If every social/religious group copied this behaviour, the town would be a forest of poles and wires.

3 Despite its obvious sincerity, this is a poorly crafted planning application and by adding clutter to the streetscape, sets a dreadful precedent.

For these reasons, the application should be rejected

Jim Beggs RIBA

On Mon, Oct 11, 2021 at 9:28 AM John Slater <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jim see attached