
From: Stephen coe [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 October 2021 00:05
To: Kate Henry
Subject: Re: IS - Extension for Comments - on 2021/3674/P ENABLED ? for 17 Railey Mews Details.?

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Kate..

In preparation for my 3674/P submission on The Approval of Details - I have examined the photo-illustration visuals - showing proposed cladding shown on the flank and front elevations - most carefully - only to be more certain - that it would be premature to decide from the file - as you suggest (below) in your reply to my request for a mock-up * - "not considered necessary" etc.. (and I quote)..as follows..:-

Not necessary - " to provide a 1:1 mock-up, this is not considered to be necessary as * it is possible to understand the proposals without * them doing this." And it goes beyond what the condition requested."

Except with greatest respect, Kate.. the design of the cladding has changed - since the photo/visual - is of the "old" Louvred style of "FINS", all previously angled and overlapping (72 'FINS' on front and 98 'FINS' on the flank) drawn, ALL ANGLED as LOUVRES - not in "LATEST"

- 170 - 'FINS' before = 1,000 metres of timber.. in total !! (or 3,000 ft.= over half a mile of planks, laid end to end) of cladding as was.!

& NOW separated - as individual vertical 'struts' - in an entirely different outward appearance, "en masse". BUT how many, is not known .? yet: (& I did mention this before)
AS will be the appearance of the newly proposed, "outward facing 'RIBS': now spaced at 80mm.centres, between new "RIBS". - actually.

I believe - unusually for Details - Here it's very necessary - to see the new effect and mock-up 1:1 scale, as I suggested on 8' x 4' Ply, ideal.?

*I've prepared a colour rendering also - based on the photo/visual - provided under both 4756 in 2017 & 3409/P. * & I Can send to you for reference & It's more convincing too.
BUT it still shows the original angled louvres - which was how I realised - NOT A TRUE indication of the appearance of the new proposal:*

May I suggest a pause on the cladding issue - would be wiser.. Whereas the construction of the infill extension - could happily proceed .?

Best Regards... Stephen

From: Kate Henry <Kate.Henry@camden.gov.uk>
Sent: 24 September 2021 11:08

To: Stephen coe [REDACTED]
Cc: Kristina Smith <Kristina.Smith@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: IS - Extension for Comments - on 2021/3674/P ENABLED ? for 17 Railey Mews Details.?

Dear Stephen,

Thank you for your email. The Council does not consult on approval of details applications (except for listed buildings) and therefore there is no 21-day period as such. (The website may show the earliest decision date as being today because we consulted internally for 21 days). However, I am happy to give you a few more days to comment on the application if you would like. I am happy to wait until the end of next week to determine the application.

With regards to your request for the applicant to provide a 1:1 mock-up, this is not considered to be necessary as it is possible to understand the proposals without them doing this. And it goes beyond what the condition requested.

Kind regards

Kate Henry
Principal Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3794



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.

From: Stephen coe [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 September 2021 12:45
To: Kate Henry <Kate.Henry@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: Kristina Smith <Kristina.Smith@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: IS - Extension for Comments - on 2021/3674/P ENABLED ? for 17 Railey Mews Details.?

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Kate - (& Kristina..)

I ASK - if you are now minded to extend the truncated period for Comments - to enable the 21 days period for those Comments.. to be restored forthwith, as I requested yesterday - see text below. - This will enable me to edit my draft comments & raise the specific issues.
A timely response would be much appreciated on this time critical matter.. Thank-you..

With Best Regards.. Stephen Coe

<<< May it please the Council.. (TEXT DRAFT from yesterday - 15th September '21)

In view of the fact - that - ALL of These Plans & Details for Comment - were ONLY uploaded to this

site's Comments Section at around 12.40 Tuesday morning - the 14th September '21 - (THAT being some 11 days later than the date registered on 03.09.'21.. and especially following the Planning Alert from Saturday 7th September & That Comments period was set 21 days from 3rd Sept. - this is clearly wrong.?

I must request that the period for Comments - be EXTENDED for at least 21 days from today 15th September .. to allow for any relevant comments - to be submitted - on this most unusual building design & set of specifications, almost unprecedented in U.K. + A further specific comment to follow:- Evaluation is not possible from the file.! - (SIZE.)->>

P.S. There is no record of the Number of 'FINS' - with new arrangement of this 'cladding'..
This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected.
This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.