

---

**From:** Stephen coe [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 24 September 2021 20:23  
**To:** Kate Henry  
**Subject:** Re: IS - Extension for Comments - on 2021/3674/P ENABLED ? for 17 Railey Mews Details.?

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Kate,

Many thanks for your kind reply to my own email from las week, I was becoming anxious with the delay.

Thank you for this 'de facto' easement of the 'perceived' - injustice over the dates for submission to the early deadline - extending the period for comment - most reasonably under the circumstances, and fine.

I do hope that does not preclude other neighbours, who have also been rather confused over dates. Etc.

I am surprised at the lack of more serious scrutiny in this critical category of detail, in such a most unusual application by re-introducing this example of "timber cladding"- however warmly now described as "fins".

I have suggested to the applicant, that a modestly sized mock-up stage / as a visible model at true scale - would be a better way of refining and testing in situ - the unknown visual aspect, before going ahead - full steam ahead UNSEEN on an unwary experiment, with an avowedly 'subversive' architectural technique of attaching - purely decorative wooden features - contrary to many centuries of not doing so - in London.

I realise that the Council is not routinely accustomed to requiring such scrutiny of 'more common' Details. This exact format proposed - which we have been 'kept in the dark' about - until the very last moment - is very far from routine building practice - and deserves to be introduced only after a chance to see it first.

Great design is seldom too shy - to be transparent & not covertly afraid - of a test in day-light.!

I hope you may ultimately agree, with this point - and not merely 'that design' - from Offenbach.!

With Best Regards ..

Stephen Coe

---

**From:** Kate Henry <Kate.Henry@camden.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 24 September 2021 11:08  
**To:** Stephen coe [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Kristina Smith <Kristina.Smith@camden.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** RE: IS - Extension for Comments - on 2021/3674/P ENABLED ? for 17 Railey Mews Details.?

Dear Stephen,

Thank you for your email. The Council does not consult on approval of details applications (except for listed buildings) and therefore there is no 21-day period as such. (The website may show the earliest

decision date as being today because we consulted internally for 21 days). However, I am happy to give you a few more days to comment on the application if you would like. I am happy to wait until the end of next week to determine the application.

With regards to your request for the applicant to provide a 1:1 mock-up, this is not considered to be necessary as it is possible to understand the proposals without them doing this. And it goes beyond what the condition requested.

Kind regards

Kate Henry  
Principal Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 3794



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.

---

**From:** Stephen coe <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 16 September 2021 12:45  
**To:** Kate Henry <Kate.Henry@camden.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** Kristina Smith <Kristina.Smith@camden.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** IS - Extension for Comments - on 2021/3674/P ENABLED ? for 17 Railey Mews Details.?

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Kate - (& Kristina..)

I ASK - if you are now minded to extend the truncated period for Comments - to enable the 21 days period for those Comments.. to be restored forthwith, as I requested yesterday - see text below. - This will enable me to edit my draft comments & raise the specific issues.  
A timely response would be much appreciated on this time critical matter.. Thank-you..

With Best Regards.. Stephen Coe

<<<- May it please the Council.. ( TEXT DRAFT from yesterday - 15th September '21)

In view of the fact - that - ALL of These Plans & Details for Comment - were ONLY uploaded to this site's Comments Section at around 12.40 Tuesday morning - the 14th September '21 - ( THAT being some 11 days later than the date registered on 03.09.'21.. and especially following the Planning Alert from Saturday 7th September & That Comments period was set 21 days from 3rd Sept. - this is clearly wrong.?

I must request that the period for Comments - be EXTENDED for at least 21 days from today 15th September .. to allow for any relevant comments - to be submitted - on this most unusual building design & set of specifications, almost unprecedented in U.K. + A further specific comment to follow:- Evaluation is not possible from the file.! - (SIZE.)->>

P.S. There is no record of the Number of 'FINS' - with new arrangement of this 'cladding'..  
This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected.  
This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.