

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3105/P	C D	21/09/2021 17:27:47	COMMNT	<p>I object to the proposal.</p> <p>1. Pavement access: The borough has made a commitment to improve accessibility of walking and active travel across the borough. I refer you to policy 1b of Camden Council own Transport Strategy:</p> <p>"The Council will remove barriers to walking and cycling, ensuring that every transport, placeshaping and other relevant schemes improve conditions for pedestrians and cyclists, to create high quality environments that enable modal shift and increase active, healthy travel"</p> <p>The proposed posts present pavement incursions ranging from 91 mm to 226mm. This presents a significant barrier to movement, including for those with mobility aids.</p> <p>Examples of unsuitable locations with narrow footpaths &amp; high foot traffic include: 12A, 12B, 13A Churchill Rise 10A,10B Highgate Bridge 9A &amp; 9B Gordon House Road</p> <p>2. Wildlife: Nylon line hung between poles is dangerous to wildlife, specifically bats and birds. With Hampstead Heath being a vital nature hub, nylon lines in the area to be discouraged.</p> <p>3. Conservation areas: The addition of street clutter does not adhere to the conservation status of many of the proposed locations, nor the listed status of many buildings where posts are proposed.</p> <p>For example Location 11A &amp; 11B propose the addition of a 6000mm x 1000m piece of plastic to an historic bridge. This is entire not in keeping with the protected characteristics of the area.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3105/P	Sophie Silocchi	21/09/2021 21:48:32	COMMNT	<p>I write to object to the planning application for the erection of ERUV street furniture in the borough of Camden. The proposed poles nos 1-13 run through the Highgate Conservation Area which, according to the Planning Act of 1990, exists to preserve and enhance areas of special interest. I believe that the erection of these poles (each site requires 2 poles so approximately 26 in total) and over 200 metres of wire criss-crossing through our neighbourhood through trees, on lamp-posts and on newly erected 5.5 metre poles should not be approved on the grounds of it being unsightly, unwieldy and undesirable in this very special conservation area, which is appreciated not only by those who live and work here but by the thousands of Londoners who come to visit the Heath every week to enjoy it's outstanding natural and historical beauty. The proposal states that the ERUV will benefit the very elderly members of it's religious community on mobility scooters and those with pushchairs on the Sabbath. The proposal also places much emphasis on the importance of access to the Royal Free Hospital for those members, however the proposed ERUV does not indicate how the enclosure links to the hospital and therefore fails to justify this. The benefits to this small minority (2,000 in total compared to 11,000 residents in Highgate alone) will be greatly outweighed by the negative impact for this area. The application does not provide any specific detail as to how the wire will pass from pillar to post, crossing over entrances and gates and is therefore very deceptive. I would encourage the planning department to scrutinise the exact path of the wire along with the erection of the poles to assess its true impact. On a personal level, pole 5 will be erected outside my home, Millfield House on Millfield Lane, a grade II listed building of historic importance and the photograph illustrating how the pole would look is inaccurate as it will be highly visible from the lane and our gardens. It is not clear whether the wire will pass over my entrance which, if is the case, I would strongly object to. The application also states that there is no risk of harm to birds and bats but there is no evidence to support this and should require further investigation by Hampstead Heath, Natural England and the Bat Conservation Trust. I would also emphasise that Camden Council has done outstanding work in improving and investing in the area through Millfield Lane and Highgate West Hill in the 15 years I have lived here. Our council tax has been invested in the improvement of road layouts, pedestrian crossings, sympathetic street lighting and removal of street furniture, with new pavements being laid to improve access to the Heath for all and the installation of the ERUV to the benefit of so few will diminish the efforts by the Council to improve and implement its own planning strategy for this area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3105/P	Sophie Silocchi	21/09/2021 21:47:31	COMMNT	<p>I write to object to the planning application for the erection of ERUV street furniture in the borough of Camden. The proposed poles nos 1-13 run through the Highgate Conservation Area which, according to the Planning Act of 1990, exists to preserve and enhance areas of special interest. I believe that the erection of these poles (each site requires 2 poles so approximately 26 in total) and over 200 metres of wire criss-crossing through our neighbourhood through trees, on lamp-posts and on newly erected 5.5 metre poles should not be approved on the grounds of it being unsightly, unwieldy and undesirable in this very special conservation area, which is appreciated not only by those who live and work here but by the thousands of Londoners who come to visit the Heath every week to enjoy it's outstanding natural and historical beauty. The proposal states that the ERUV will benefit the very elderly members of it's religious community on mobility scooters and those with pushchairs on the Sabbath. The proposal also places much emphasis on the importance of access to the Royal Free Hospital for those members, however the proposed ERUV does not indicate how the enclosure links to the hospital and therefore fails to justify this. The benefits to this small minority (2,000 in total compared to 11,000 residents in Highgate alone) will be greatly outweighed by the negative impact for this area. The application does not provide any specific detail as to how the wire will pass from pillar to post, crossing over entrances and gates and is therefore very deceptive. I would encourage the planning department to scrutinise the exact path of the wire along with the erection of the poles to assess its true impact. On a personal level, pole 5 will be erected outside my home, Millfield House on Millfield Lane, a grade II listed building of historic importance and the photograph illustrating how the pole would look is inaccurate as it will be highly visible from the lane and our gardens. It is not clear whether the wire will pass over my entrance which, if is the case, I would strongly object to. The application also states that there is no risk of harm to birds and bats but there is no evidence to support this and should require further investigation by Hampstead Heath, Natural England and the Bat Conservation Trust. I would also emphasise that Camden Council has done outstanding work in improving and investing in the area through Millfield Lane and Highgate West Hill in the 15 years I have lived here. Our council tax has been invested in the improvement of road layouts, pedestrian crossings, sympathetic street lighting and removal of street furniture, with new pavements being laid to improve access to the Heath for all and the installation of the ERUV to the benefit of so few will diminish the efforts by the Council to improve and implement its own planning strategy for this area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3105/P	C D	21/09/2021 17:05:03	OBJ	<p>1. Wildlife: Nylon fishing line hung between poles is dangerous to wildlife, specifically bats and birds. With Hampstead Heath being a vital nature hub, nylon lines are to be discouraged.</p> <p>2. Pavement access. The borough has made a commitment to improve accessibility of walking and active travel across the borough. I refer you to policy 1b of Camden Council own Transport Strategy:</p> <p>"The Council will remove barriers to walking and cycling, ensuring that every transport, placeshaping and other relevant schemes improve conditions for pedestrians and cyclists, to create high quality environments that enable modal shift and increase active, healthy travel"</p> <p>The proposed posts present pavement incursions ranging from 91 mm to 226mm. This presents a significant barrier to movement, including for those with mobility aids.</p> <p>Examples of unsuitable locations with narrow footpaths &amp; high foot traffic include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>12A, 12B, 13A Churchill Rise</li><li>10A,10B Highgate Bridge</li><li>9A &amp; 9B Gordon House Road</li></ul> <p>3. Conservation areas: The addition of street clutter does not adhere to the conservation status of many of the proposed locations, nor the listed status of many buildings where posts are proposed. For example Location 11A &amp; 11B propose the addition of a 6000mm x 1000m piece of plastic to an historic bridge. This is entire not in keeping with the protected characteristics of the area.</p>

---

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2021/3105/P	Sophie Silocchi	21/09/2021 12:33:05	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application for the erection of ERUV street furniture in the borough of Camden. The proposed poles nos 1-13 run through the Highgate Conservation Area which, according the Planning Act of 1990, exists to preserve and enhance areas of special interest. I believe that the erection of these poles (each site requires 2 poles so approximately 26 in total) and over 200 metres of wire criss-crossing through our neighbourhood through trees, on lamp-posts and on newly erected 5.5 metre poles should not be approved on the grounds of it being unsightly, unwieldy and undesirable in this very special conservation area, which is appreciated not only by those who live and work here but by the thousands of Londoners who come to visit the Heath every week to enjoy it's outstanding natural and historical beauty. The proposal states that the ERUV will benefit the very elderly members of it's community on mobility scooters and those with pushchairs on the Sabbath. The proposal also places much emphasis on the importance of access to the Royal Free Hospital for those members, however the proposed ERUV is not near the hospital and therefore fails to justify this. The benefits to this small minority (2,000 in total compared to 11,000 residents in Highgate alone) will greatly outweigh the negative impact for this area. There is not nearly enough specific detail on the application as to how the wire will pass from pillar to post, crossing over entrances and gates and therefore is very deceptive. I would encourage the planning department to scrutinise the exact path of the wire along with the erection of the poles to assess its true impact. On a personal level, pole 5A will be erected outside my home, Millfield House on Millfield Lane, a grade II listed building of historic importance and the photograph illustrating how the pole would look is inaccurate as it will be highly visible from the lane and our gardens. It is not clear whether the wire will pass over my entrance which if the case I would strongly object to. The application also states that there is no risk of harm to birds and bats but there is no evidence to support this and should require further investigation by Hampstead Heath, Natural England and the Bat Conservation Trust. I would also emphasise that Camden Council has done outstanding work in improving and investing in the area through Millfield Lane and Highgate West Hill in the 15 years I have lived here. Our council tax has been invested wisely in the improvement of road layouts, pedestrian crossings, sympathetic street lighting and removal of street furniture, with new pavements being laid to improve access to the Heath for all and the installation of the ERUV to the benefit of so few will diminish the efforts by the Council to improve and implement its own planning strategy for this area.</p>

---

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2021/3105/P	Sophie Silocchi	21/09/2021 12:33:09	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application for the erection of ERUV street furniture in the borough of Camden. The proposed poles nos 1-13 run through the Highgate Conservation Area which, according the Planning Act of 1990, exists to preserve and enhance areas of special interest. I believe that the erection of these poles (each site requires 2 poles so approximately 26 in total) and over 200 metres of wire criss-crossing through our neighbourhood through trees, on lamp-posts and on newly erected 5.5 metre poles should not be approved on the grounds of it being unsightly, unwieldy and undesirable in this very special conservation area, which is appreciated not only by those who live and work here but by the thousands of Londoners who come to visit the Heath every week to enjoy it's outstanding natural and historical beauty. The proposal states that the ERUV will benefit the very elderly members of it's community on mobility scooters and those with pushchairs on the Sabbath. The proposal also places much emphasis on the importance of access to the Royal Free Hospital for those members, however the proposed ERUV is not near the hospital and therefore fails to justify this. The benefits to this small minority (2,000 in total compared to 11,000 residents in Highgate alone) will greatly outweigh the negative impact for this area. There is not nearly enough specific detail on the application as to how the wire will pass from pillar to post, crossing over entrances and gates and therefore is very deceptive. I would encourage the planning department to scrutinise the exact path of the wire along with the erection of the poles to assess its true impact. On a personal level, pole 5A will be erected outside my home, Millfield House on Millfield Lane, a grade II listed building of historic importance and the photograph illustrating how the pole would look is inaccurate as it will be highly visible from the lane and our gardens. It is not clear whether the wire will pass over my entrance which if the case I would strongly object to. The application also states that there is no risk of harm to birds and bats but there is no evidence to support this and should require further investigation by Hampstead Heath, Natural England and the Bat Conservation Trust. I would also emphasise that Camden Council has done outstanding work in improving and investing in the area through Millfield Lane and Highgate West Hill in the 15 years I have lived here. Our council tax has been invested wisely in the improvement of road layouts, pedestrian crossings, sympathetic street lighting and removal of street furniture, with new pavements being laid to improve access to the Heath for all and the installation of the ERUV to the benefit of so few will diminish the efforts by the Council to improve and implement its own planning strategy for this area.</p>

---