

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3105/P	S E Sanbar	20/09/2021 18:44:15	COMMNT	<p>I have lived in the area for over 30 years. I believe a large number of locals are agnostic or atheist like myself. The application for ERUV is totally unnecessary given the small number of religious Jews who live around here. By imposing the ERUV on the area, it will change its easy going feel and character.</p> <p>I object strongly to such an application and I feel it has been sneeked in without much publicity. Do it properly by sticking leaflets though the doors and provide locals with a breakdown of how many such an application will benefit. And let us see the local response.</p> <p>It is a wrong application on so many levels and should be rejected out of hand.</p>
2021/3105/P	stephen Ainger	20/09/2021 16:51:39	OBJ	<p>With reference to the ERUV application I object on the basis of increased street clutter which will adversely impact the public amenity of residents in the area. We have enough street clutter. I also object on the use of public land in a way that will restrict its future use for example for car charging points etc as we all face the impact of climate change. Pavements are a scarce resource as we face the challenge of climate change and should be treated accordingly.</p> <p>If the poles need moving at some future date to use the pavement for public use such as a charging point for example then the applicant should be liable for any costs in addition to maintenance costs.</p>