

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3979/T	Mr S Morris	13/09/2021 23:15:40	OBJ	<p>This application should surely be rejected on many points:</p> <p>Contrary to the application form, no reason is given as to why these mature trees should be felled or re-pollarded. Neither has the field to suggest what would be planted in their place been filled in - this is a lazy, selfish application by someone who clearly cares nothing for our air nor environment.</p> <p>These trees provide much necessary green space at a highly-polluted junction. Furthermore, they are vital to our eco-system.</p> <p>I am astonished that these mature trees aren't already under a TPO as the majority of local mature trees were granted TPOs in the 1950's ahead of the construction of the Chalcots' Town Houses. Is the applicant really sure they don't have TPO designation as I would be shocked if they don't. I have the documents for my building which show a number of trees protected under 1950's TPOs as the trees should be.</p> <p>These trees are very public, provide clean air and a living space for nature in a prominent (yet polluted) area. Whilst visually appealing from the road, these are well set back and neither impede pedestrians nor traffic.</p> <p>If they don't already have TPO's then they clearly should have such protection. Also, they are to the North side of any property so would result in very little reduction to natural light. Recent flooding has shown us how vital it is we keep mature trees, sadly many mature trees on Adelaide Road have been cut down in the past couple of years - something that must be stopped immediately.</p> <p>If the neighbouring property has outrageously requested the removal of these trees then they should be reminded that trees such as this are vital - especially when properties such as there's has already built over most of the garden. Whilst no reason was given in the applications (contrary to guidelines) for the trees' removal, the residents should also be reminded that if they hadn't covered most of their garden with an ugly extension and then built a ridiculous height extension (without planning permission?) to their fence, then they wouldn't suffer such loss of light. Regardless, them building over their garden will create lots of local flooding, which trees such as these can help to combat.</p> <p>These trees are vital to our mental health (though greenery) and physical health (by absorbing some of the many pollutants at a busy junction).</p> <p>To allow these trees to be cut down would be contrary to Camden Council and the GLA's policies on our eco-systems and health and would be a devastating affront to the thousands of residents on Adelaide Road.</p> <p>It is hard to know which points to target when the householder cannot be bothered to provide reasons, nor which trees they would plant in their place. If they don't already have TPOs, these trees need them urgently to prevent further selfish applications from those who care nothing for our air nor the community in which they live.</p>