

**Mohammed Ahmed**

---

**From:** Gary Lane [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 31 August 2021 22:30  
**To:** Planning Planning  
**Subject:** Planning application: 2020/5913/P OBJECTION

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

The planning website is unable to receive my objection so I am submitting it here via email and expect it to be recorded.

This is an OBJECTION to application **2020/5913/P** from

Gary Lane



-----

I repeat my original objection below for the simple reason that there is nothing in the new application which assuages the concerns represented below.

Furthermore, it is noted that the applicant will not be the end user of the premises which makes enforcement of promises in the planning application even more difficult for Camden to police.

In short, putting a warehouse facility to receive HGVs and promote the running of many vans and smaller vehicles through a residential area with two schools flies in the face of logic, Camden's planning and traffic objectives. It is completely at odds with the introduction of LTNs which have inconvenienced local residents only to increase traffic pollution and road danger even more significantly for commercial interests.

<<original objection, repeated, like the application>>

It is hard to conceive why there is an application for a distribution depot in the middle of a residential area. Lorries and vans trundling along residential streets at all times of the day and night cannot make sense. It is particularly inappropriate given the site's proximity to two schools one or other or both of which lorries and vans must pass close to in order to access the site. That

is, unless there is a proposal to use the access via Gillies street which requires passing close to the community centre via an incredibly tight and awkward corner for traffic.

The applicant's comparison of traffic patterns between vans/lorries and private hire passenger vehicles is disingenuous at best and intentionally misleading.

Concomitant with the traffic is the noise generated by an operation running 24/7, again in a residential area. The whole point of the application is for a 24/7 operation and I fear that any proposals to limit the hours of operation will be either ignored or subjected to piecemeal planning applications at a later date.

The residential streets between Grafton Road and Kentish Town Road are not appropriate for B8 usage and I object strongly to this application.

I have no objections to the proposed refurbishment of the building itself but the change of use would seem to fly in the face of Camden's preservation of the residential nature of the Inkerman Area Conservation Area

Comments made by Gary Lane [REDACTED]

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Objection

--

Kind regards,

**Gary Lane**

[REDACTED]