

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3504/P	Philip Kelly	23/08/2021 20:38:05	OBJ	<p>Myself and my partner would like to object to the plans for a rooftop extension to 65 Maygrove Road as residents of the building.</p> <p>Given we both work from home and expect to be working from home for the long term future, the building work would cause a tremendous amount of disruption and stress. It would also render our balcony useless given the noise pollution and mess the work would cause. Additionally the refuse area, communal area, and gym barely have capacity for the current tenants in the building. Any additional rooms would render these basic facilities even less available than they already are.</p> <p>Kind Regards,</p> <p>Philip Kelly</p>
2021/3504/P	Dawn Christy	23/08/2021 10:59:43	OBJ	<p>Dear All,</p> <p>As as resident of the 61 Maygrove Road, next door to this building I strongly object to this planning permission request. My flat is on the 4th floor of 61 Maygrove Road and would then be completely overlooked by this extension in height. My privacy will be completely destroyed. It was agreed on the erection of Beaufort Court, that a height restriction of no higher than that of 61 Maygrove was agreed to enable planning permission. You therefore cannot change a height restriction after the building's completion. It will be a huge impact on my privacy as well as the privacy of other flats in this building as well as opposite. The building is already at the maximum height allowed to match the area. Also Beaufort Court doesn't have an EWS1 Certificate as far as I'm aware. So how can you even consider planning permission on a building that doesn't even comply with cladding rules. As I repeat I very strongly object to this and my privacy being invaded. There is no need for extra flats on this street. Nor a need to completely disregard the heights of the surrounding buildings both next door and opposite.</p>
2021/3504/P	Dawn Christy	23/08/2021 10:57:14	OBJ	<p>Dear All,</p> <p>As as resident of the 61 Maygrove Road, next door to this building I strongly object to this planning permission request. My flat is on the 4th floor of 61 Maygrove Road and would then be completely overlooked by this extension in height. My privacy will be completely destroyed. It was agreed on the erection of Beaufort Court, that a height restriction of no higher than that of 61 Maygrove was agreed to enable planning permission. You therefore cannot change a height restriction after the building's completion. It will be a huge impact on my privacy as well as the privacy of other flats in this building as well as opposite. The building is already at the maximum height allowed to match the area. Also Beaufort Court doesn't have an EWS1 Certificate. So how can you even consider planning permission on a building that doesn't even comply with cladding rules. As I repeat I very strongly object to this and my privacy being invaded. There is no need for extra flats on this street. Nor a need to completely disregard the heights of the surrounding building both next door and opposite.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3504/P	Robert Alan	23/08/2021 15:20:48	OBJ	<p>I object to this application in the strongest possible terms.</p> <p>Other objections have already noted that technical failings in the application render it invalid and it must be rejected as a matter of law.</p> <p>Even if these failings were rectified, the application should still be rejected:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The building does not have sufficient arrangements in place for waste management to serve existing households, let alone additional ones. Bins are frequently overflowing, and the building has a widespread and ongoing pest control problem as a result.2. It would put additional pressure on local parking, which is already insufficient & not helped by the dedicated car park being unusable as a result of failings on the freeholder's behalf.3. It would excessively effect existing residents during the construction period, including noise (at a time when many residents are working from home during the day) and health concerns from dust and debris.4. It would have obvious and unacceptable fire safety impact, as already noted by other objections.
