
From: Hugh Salimbeni [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 August 2021 10:42
To: Planning Planning
Subject: Re: Query about 2020/4949/P - please forward to Nathaniel Young

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Re: planning Application - 2021/2674/P

Dear Mr Young,

Further to my previous email I see a new application for the condenser unit, which appears to be applying retrospectively for what has already been built. It appears to me inconsistent that the original condensers were required to be in an acoustic enclosure, but the additional condenser is allowed to stand unenclosed. I would like to voice my objection to the current unenclosed condenser.

Best wishes,

Hugh

On Wed, Jun 9, 2021 at 11:05 AM Hugh Salimbeni [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Mr Young,

I notice that you are dealing with the application 2020/4949/P which has not yet been granted. The current installation work appears to be concluded, but the result seems to me unsatisfactory and not in accordance with either of the submitted plans. In particular, while the enclosure described in TWI 19136 PLN 06 C has been built along the north boundary, an additional condenser has been installed on a different site on the west boundary. The additional condenser is unenclosed. The site of the new condenser is consistent with the enclosure described in 19136 PLN 06 rev B, but there is no enclosure. Another set of pipework has been installed for the additional condenser, which is installed above ground level.

Additionally, yet another condenser has been installed at a different site against the wall of the building adjacent to the rear door. This is also unenclosed, and I am not aware of any planning application for this condenser.

I would appreciate any clarifications on this application, and in particular whether these new unenclosed condensers constitute a breach of planning.

Best wishes,

Hugh