Air Quality Statement

13-15 John's Mews, Camden

Report Ref: AQ2047

August 2021

Union House | 111 New Union Street | Coventry | CV1 2NT | **T:** 0800 689 4329 | **E:** info@gemairquality.co.uk | **www.gemairquality.co.uk**

DOCUMENT CONTROL & DISCLAIMER

Title:

13-15 John's Mews, Camden:
Air Quality Statement

Report Ref:

AQ2047

Date Issued:

August 2021

Client Details:

JM13 Ltd
Collingham House
10-12 Gladstone Road
Wimbledon
London
SW19 1QT

Produced By:

GEM Air Quality Ltd
Union House
111 New Union Street
Coventry, CV1 2NT

Tel: 0800 689 4329
Email: info@gemairquality.co.uk
www.gemairquality.co.uk

Disclaimer:

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Scope

GEM Air Quality Ltd has been commissioned to undertake an air quality statement in support of a residential development located at 13-15 John's Mews in Camden, London.

It has not been possible to undertake a detailed air quality exposure assessment across the proposed development as there is no local roadside air quality monitoring data in the vicinity of the site. Furthermore, there is no traffic data available for the nearest roads, with data only available for the A401 Theobalds Road and A5200 Grays Inn Road, which are located approximately 150 metres to the south and east of the proposed development, respectively. Modelling these links would not be worthwhile as their impact across the proposed development would be negligible due to the distances involved. Notwithstanding this, the impact of local air quality across the proposed development is considered significant for the following reasons:

- Background NOx, NO_2 and PM concentrations have been obtained from Defra[1] and are provided in Table 1. These 1 km x 1 km grid resolution maps are derived from a base year of 2018, which have been adjusted to the relevant baseline year (2019). This corresponds with the latest monitoring data available from the Council. Background NO_2 concentrations approach the air quality objective of 40 µg/m^3 across the proposed development site.

Table 1 – Background NOx, NO_2, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ Concentrations

Pollutant	X	Y	2019
NO_2	530500	182500	39.3
NOx			69.5
PM_{10}			20.3
$PM_{2.5}$			12.9

- Pollution maps derived from the London Air website indicate that the annual mean concentrations for PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ across the proposed development would be below the relevant air quality objectives. The annual mean concentration for NO_2 would be above the relevant air quality objective. These maps are shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3. These concentrations are worst case as they are based on 2016 LAEI emissions data. However, they would appear to be consistent with the Defra 2019 background

[1] http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-maps?year=2018

concentrations when also taking into account the impact of vehicle emissions across the proposed development.

Based on this evidence the impact of local air quality across the proposed development is considered significant and mitigation measures will need to be adopted to protect the future inhabitants from poor air quality. These measures are discussed further in Section 4.2.

The remainder of this air quality statement has focused on the impacts during construction.

Figure 1 – Modelled Nitrogen Dioxide (NO_2) Concentrations, LAEI 2016



Figure 2 – Modelled Particulate (PM_{10}) Concentrations, LAEI 2016



PM10 Particulates (µg/m³) - Camden, WC1N 2PA

Figure 3 – Modelled Particulate ($PM_{2.5}$) Concentrations, LAEI 2016



PM2.5 Particulates (µg/m³) - Camden, WC1N 2PA

2 PLANNING POLICY & GUIDANCE

2.1 Construction Phase

The Greater London Authority (GLA) released the "*Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition*" SPG in July 2014[2]. Based on this guidance, the main air quality impacts that may arise during construction activities are:

- Dust deposition, resulting in the soiling of surfaces;
- Visible dust plumes, which are evidence of dust emissions;
- Elevated PM_{10} concentrations, as a result of dust generating activities on site; and
- An increase in concentrations or airborne particles and nitrogen dioxide due to exhaust emissions from diesel powered vehicles and equipment on site.

In relation to the most likely impacts, the guidance states the following:

"*The most common impacts are dust soiling and increased ambient PM_{10} concentrations due to dust arising from activities on the site. Dust soiling will arise from the deposition of particulate matter in all size fractions.*

Experience of assessing the exhaust emissions from on-site plant (also known as non-road mobile machinery or NRMM) and site traffic suggests that they are unlikely to make a significant impact on local air quality, and in the vast majority of cases they will not need to be quantitatively assessed".

The guidance continues by providing an assessment procedure. This includes sub-dividing construction activities into four types (demolition, earthworks, construction and track out) to reflect their different potential impacts.

[2] The Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition SPG. Greater London Authority, July 2014

3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE

3.1 Methodology

Using the guidance published by the GLA the potential for dust emissions to be generated during the construction phase has been assessed for each activity that is likely to take place.

The conditions with no mitigation thus form the baseline or "do-nothing" situation for a construction site. The assessment procedure uses the steps provided in the guidance and summarised in Figure 4.

Figure 4 – Dust Assessment Procedure



The risk of dust arising in sufficient quantities to cause annoyance and/or health and/or ecological impacts should be determined using four risk categories: negligible, low, medium and high risk. A development is allocated to a risk category based on two factors:

- the scale and nature of the works, which determines the potential dust emission magnitude as small, medium or large (see Table 2); and
- the sensitivity of the area to dust impacts, which is defined as low, medium or high sensitivity (see Table 3 and 4).

These two factors are combined to determine the risk of dust impacts with no mitigation applied (see Table 5). The risk category assigned to the development can be different for each of the four potential activities (demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout).

Table 2 – Dust Emission Magnitude

Activity	Dust Emission Class		
	Large	Medium	Small
Demolition	Total building volume >50,000 m^3, potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), on-site crushing and screening, demolition activities >20 m above ground level	Total building volume 20,000 – 50 000m^3, potentially dusty construction material, demolition activities 10-20 m above ground level	Total building volume <20,000 m^3, construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber), demolition activities <10m above ground, demolition during wetter months
Earthworks	Total site area >10,000 m^2, potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay, which will be prone to suspension when dry due to small particle size), >10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds >8 m in height, total material moved >100,000 tonnes	Total site area 2,500 – 10,000 m^2, moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt), 5-10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds 4 m - 8 m in height, total material moved 20,000 tonnes – 100,000 tonnes	Total site area <2,500 m^2, soil type with large grain size (e.g. sand), <5 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds <4 m in height, total material moved <10,000 tonnes, earthworks during wetter months
Construction	Total building volume >100,000 m^3, piling, on site concrete batching; sandblasting	Total building volume 25,000 m3 – 100,000 m^3, potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), piling, on site concrete batching	Total building volume <25,000 m^3, construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber)
Track out	>50 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length >100 m	10 – 50 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length 50m – 100 m;	<10 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, surface material with low potential for dust release, unpaved road length <50 m.

Table 3 – Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property

Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects					
Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)			
		<20	<50	<100	<350
High	>100	High	High	Medium	Low
	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Medium	>1	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Low	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low

Table 4 – Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts

Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Effects							
Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM_{10} Concentration	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)				
			<20	<50	<100	<200	<350
High	>32 µg/m^3	>100	High	High	High	Medium	Low
		10-100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	28-32 µg/m^3	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	24-28 µg/m^3	>100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<24 µg/m^3	>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Medium	-	>10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	-	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

Table 5 – Risk of Dust Impacts

Construction Activity	Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
		Large	Medium	Small
Demolition	High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
	Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Earthworks	High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Construction	High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Track out	High	High Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk
	Medium	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
	Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

3.2 Construction Impact Assessment

The assessment of construction activities has focused on demolition, earthworks, construction and track out activities at the site. Using the criteria provided in Table 3 the dust emission magnitude for each activity is as follows:

- Demolition = N/A;
- Earthworks = Small;
- Construction = Small; and
- Track out = Small.

The sensitivity of the surrounding area to dust soiling and human health (Table 12) is then defined based on the criteria in Table 8 and 9, which includes the number of highly sensitive receptors that fall within a certain distance of the proposed construction phase (see Figure 5). The Saint George the Martyr C of E Primary School is located immediately opposite the proposed development. As such, in accordance with the relevant guidance a school should be treated as being in the >100 highly sensitive category.

Table 6 – Overall Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area

Potential Impact	Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area			
	Demolition	Earthworks	Construction	Trackout
Dust Soiling	N/A	High	High	High
Human Health	N/A	Medium	Medium	Medium

The dust emission magnitudes and sensitivity of the surrounding area are combined to determine the risk of dust impacts with no mitigation applied. These are summarised in Table 7.

Table 7 – Summary of Dust Risk

Potential Impact	Risk			
	Demolition	Earthworks	Construction	Trackout
Dust Soiling	N/A	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk
Human Health	N/A	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

It should also be noted that the likelihood of an adverse impact occurring is correlated to wind speed and wind direction. As such, unfavourable wind speeds and wind directions must occur at the same time as a dust generating activity to generate an adverse impact. The overall impacts also assume that the dust generating activities are occurring over the entirety of the site meaning that as an activity moves further away from a potential receptor the magnitude and significance of the impact will be further reduced.

Figure 5 – Distance from the Proposed Development



4 MITIGATION MEASURES

4.1 Construction Phase

A qualitative assessment of dust levels associated with the proposed development has been carried out. The impact of dust soiling and PM_{10} can be reduced to negligible through appropriate mitigation measures, which are listed in Table 8 and are applicable to a low risk site. Implementation of these Best Practice Measures will help reduce the impact of the construction activities.

With these mitigation measures enforced, the likelihood of nuisance dust episodes occurring at those receptors adjacent to the development are considered low. Notwithstanding this, the developer should take into account the potential impact of air quality and dust on occupational exposure standards (in order to minimise worker exposure) and breaches of air quality objectives that may occur outside the site boundary. Monitoring is not recommended at this stage, however, continuous visual assessment of the site should be undertaken and a complaints log maintained in order to determine the origin of a particular dust nuisance. Keeping an accurate and up to date complaints log will isolate particular site activities to a nuisance dust episode and help prevent it from reoccurring in the future.

Table 8 – Mitigation of Construction Activities

Construction Activity	Mitigation Measures
Site Management	Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality pollutant emissions and dust issues on the site boundary.
	Display the head or regional office contact information.
	Record and respond to all dust and air quality pollutant emissions complaints.
	Make a complaints log available to the local authority when asked.
	Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with air quality and dust control procedures, record inspection results, and make an inspection log available to the local authority when asked.
	Increase the frequency of site inspections by those accountable for dust and air quality pollutant emissions issues when activities with a high potential to produce dust and emissions and dust are being carried out, and during prolonged dry or windy conditions.
	Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and air quality pollutant emissions, either on or off the site, and the action taken to resolve the situation is recorded in the log book.
Preparing and Maintaining the Site	Plan site layout: machinery and dust causing activities should be located away from receptors.
	Erect solid screens or barriers around dust activities or the site boundary that are, at least, as high as any stockpiles on site.
	Avoid site runoff of water or mud.
Operating Vehicle/Machinery and Sustainable Travel	Ensure all on-road vehicles comply with the requirements of the London Low Emission Zone.
	Ensure all non-road mobile machinery (NRMM) comply with the relevant standards.
	Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary – no idling vehicles.
	Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where possible.
	Implement a Travel Plan that supports and encourages sustainable travel (public transport, cycling, walking, and car-sharing).
Operations	Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, e.g. suitable local exhaust ventilation systems.
	Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter mitigation (using recycled water where possible).
	Use enclosed chutes, conveyors and covered skips.
	Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate.
Waste Management	Reuse and recycle waste to reduce dust from waste materials
	Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials.

4.2 Operational Phase

4.2.1 Building Mitigation

Calibre Precision Climate Control Ltd have produced a ventilation strategy for the proposed development. To protect the future occupants from poor air quality they have specified that a Vectaire WHHR-MIDI BY 'AT' mechanical heat-recovery ventilation unit (MVHR) will be installed in each apartment and commissioned to deliver the air volumes shown in their design drawings. Each MVHR system will incorporate an NOx filter, to be supplied by Filtrex. These NOx filters will be installed onto the room side supply duct immediately after the MVHR, ensuring that all incoming air has passed through the MVHR filters prior to reaching the NOx filters and all air supplied into the apartments has passed through the NOx filters. The MVHR will also have an ePM1 filter, which will capture particles smaller than $PM_{2.5}$ or PM_{10}. This will be installed before the NOx filter.

The MVHR systems will be regularly maintained by a suitably trained person, to ensure efficient operation. The NOx and PM filters will be replaced in line with the manufacturer recommendations.

4.2.2 Building Emissions

The Greater London Authority (GLA) released the "*Sustainable Design and Construction*" SPG in July 2014[3]. The SPG aims to support developers, local planning authorities and neighbourhoods to achieve sustainable development. In accordance with this guidance, the developer will install boilers with NOx emissions <40mg/kwh, such as the Intergas Xclusive boiler.

[3] Sustainable Design and Construction SPG. Greater London Authority, July 2014