

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:
2021/2517/P	annabel belilty	23/07/2021 22:15:06	COMMNT

Response:

Dear Sir/Madam,

We are living at no 24a netherhall gardens
And as the immediate neighbour of no 24, within a conservation area
we have serious concerns about this application.

We believe it will, have a detrimental effect on our amenity - contravening policies A1 (A and E)
Its a bulky mass - too big - and unnecessary. The original building was beautifully designed - all the
proportions perfectly laid out and possibly this extension is an unnecessary addition to what is currently a large
and beautiful home.
The main considerations are:

There is no daylight and sunlight report on the application demonstrating the impact this extension will have on
my living space and garden .to prove this proposal will have no impact on my living space and garden.there is
currently no proof and evidence this would be the case. A report is needed.Contravenes policy A1 (F)

2. The normal height of a garden fence is 1.8 metres high. however we have trellis on the boundary.The
height of the trellis from our ground level is 3.3m high.
This trellis lets light filter through from the other side of the boundary, offers visibility and creates a sense of
space.

If this extension is built the side wall will be this height of 3.3 m
Its high and will contribute to a sense of enclosure on the boundary, blocking light and visibility
Our garden is very narrow before fanning out to a larger space at the back of the garden (around 30 ft from
the house) so we will consequently feel hemmed in and have a detrimental affect on our sense of well being
and mental health - contravene policy D1 (H)

3. The proposal drawings are illustrated but not to scale. The scale bar is a reference but not drawn to scale
so therefore is not accurately representing how the final building will be. More information is required please.

4. The proposal states the ground level at no 24a is higher than at no 24. However, no measurements were
taken on our side of the boundary to confirm this and it is in fact highly unlikely this is the case. As no 24 is the
original building on the site and no 24a an extension built in the 1950s on the plot and therefore not logical the
ground was built up to allow no 24a to be built.currently there is no evidence this is the case.

5. The orientation of No 24 and no 24a are that both properties are east facing The sun rises in the east and
swings round to the right of our gardens through the day. As no 24 is situated to our right on the south side we
will loose sunlight as the sun moves over and will cast shadows to my living room into our garden and living
spaces as a consequence.

6. Concerned about light pollution into the upstairs bedroom from the large overhead roof lights of the
extension. This needs further investigation.

Contravening policy A1 (G).

We use the immediate area in the bedroom from the balcony door as a reading and work space and will have
to look out over the extension roof and bulky mass.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>I invite the planning officer to make a site visit to see for yourself the site and how the proposal will sit within.</p> <p>Many Thanks,</p>
2021/2517/P	zelda bacal	23/07/2021 22:36:15	COMMNT	<p>Dear camden,</p> <p>i am a frequent visitor to no 24a to visit my family.</p> <p>im concerned by plans for the immediate neighbour at no 24 to build this large extension.</p> <p>it does seem quite large and bulky and the main reason to write in is i think it will cause some loss of light and overshadowing on the boundary as no 24 is on the south boundary and there potentially will be overshadowing to the garden and the downstairs living room.</p> <p>i did not see a light report on the application. i think this is important to do.</p> <p>the garden currently enjoys trellis on the boundary not a solid wall so light filters through and a sense of space is created. if a wall is built all this will be lost. also it seems from what i understand of the plans it will be quite high - so creating a sense of enclosure which is not a good idea as the garden area by the garden doors is pretty narrow. all in all im not at all in favour of this particular design . its definately too high. and too much wall will replace the trellis. as my family's home is in a conservation area i ask the council to consider all these points very seriously.</p> <p>regards</p> <p>z.bacal</p>
2021/2517/P	Simon Bacal	24/07/2021 11:52:25	OBJ	<p>I am the co-owner 24a Netherhall Gardens NW3 5TH. I enjoy the amenity of the house and the garden and this extension is too large and bulky and will add sense enclosure. I am also concerned about possible loss of light on the property.</p>
2021/2517/P	zelda bacal	23/07/2021 22:36:13	COMMNT	<p>Dear camden,</p> <p>i am a frequent visitor to no 24a to visit my family.</p> <p>im concerned by plans for the immediate neighbour at no 24 to build this large extension.</p> <p>it does seem quite large and bulky and the main reason to write in is i think it will cause some loss of light and overshadowing on the boundary as no 24 is on the south boundary and there potentially will be overshadowing to the garden and the downstairs living room.</p> <p>i did not see a light report on the application. i think this is important to do.</p> <p>the garden currently enjoys trellis on the boundary not a solid wall so light filters through and a sense of space is created. if a wall is built all this will be lost. also it seems from what i understand of the plans it will be quite high - so creating a sense of enclosure which is not a good idea as the garden area by the garden doors is pretty narrow. all in all im not at all in favour of this particular design . its definately too high. and too much wall will replace the trellis. as my family's home is in a conservation area i ask the council to consider all these points very seriously.</p> <p>regards</p> <p>z.bacal</p>