

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/2398/P	Mr Quentin Tyler	05/07/2021 14:41:22	OBJ	<p>Whilst we are saddened that the architect's proposal does not seem to have any merit to it whatsoever, we only wish to object to it on two particular grounds:</p> <p>Side Extension</p> <p>The proposed side extension would create a significant amount of infill between the two houses in question (that of ours and the applicant's) and in so doing would give the impression of there being a linked-detached relationship that was not previously existing, and is not in the spirit of the Holly Lodge Conservation Area. In this way the amenity of the neighbourhood would be damaged.</p> <p>It is also perplexing to understand why the architect has specified for the roof of this side extension to drain-off in the direction of our house (as opposed to front-to-back). This will very likely lead to problems of water ingress into our external wall, which would directly affect the amenity of our house and environment. NB There are not any details on the submitted drawings either in regard to how specifically this issue of drain-off would be addressed.</p> <p>Excess Windows on Rear Addition</p> <p>The addition of a side window looking onto our garden seems entirely superfluous to the overall design and would affect our privacy rights. NB That frosted glass is proposed for this window provides no reassurance in this regard because it could be replaced with a clear pane at any future date.</p> <p>This side window, together with the two proposed roof lights on the east pitch, would also create sight-lines directly into our bedroom windows which raise further privacy issues (the roof light furthest from the applicant's house particularly).</p> <p>All three of these windows would also create a light pollution problem that did not previously exist but would undoubtedly affect the overall residential amenity.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/2398/P	Gaynor Coltman	05/07/2021 14:42:45	OBJ	<p>Whilst we are saddened that the architect's proposal does not seem to have any merit to it whatsoever, we only wish to object to it on two particular grounds:</p> <p>Side Extension</p> <p>The proposed side extension would create a significant amount of infill between the two houses in question (that of ours and the applicant's) and in so doing would give the impression of there being a linked-detached relationship that was not previously existing, and is not in the spirit of the Holly Lodge Conservation Area. In this way the amenity of the neighbourhood would be damaged.</p> <p>It is also perplexing to understand why the architect has specified for the roof of this side extension to drain-off in the direction of our house (as opposed to front-to-back). This will very likely lead to problems of water ingress into our external wall, which would directly affect the amenity of our house and environment. NB There are not any details on the submitted drawings either in regard to how specifically this issue of drain-off would be addressed.</p> <p>Excess Windows on Rear Addition</p> <p>The addition of a side window looking onto our garden seems entirely superfluous to the overall design and would affect our privacy rights. NB That frosted glass is proposed for this window provides no reassurance in this regard because it could be replaced with a clear pane at any future date.</p> <p>This side window, together with the two proposed roof lights on the east pitch, would also create sightlines directly into our bedroom windows which raise further privacy issues (the rooflight furthest from the applicant's house particularly).</p> <p>All three of these windows would also create a light pollution problem that did not previously exist but would undoubtedly affect the overall residential amenity.</p>
