

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	M J Nichols	08/06/2021 21:43:17	OBJ	This application is a totally shocking invasion of a major beauty spot, and conservation area. 5G is known to pose risks to health and siting the mast next to a sheltered housing development is totally inappropriate.
2021/1813/P	M J Nichols	08/06/2021 21:43:19	OBJ	This application is a totally shocking invasion of a major beauty spot, and conservation area. 5G is known to pose risks to health and siting the mast next to a sheltered housing development is totally inappropriate.
2021/1813/P	M J Nichols	08/06/2021 21:43:20	OBJ	This application is a totally shocking invasion of a major beauty spot, and conservation area. 5G is known to pose risks to health and siting the mast next to a sheltered housing development is totally inappropriate.
2021/1813/P	NJ	08/06/2021 11:35:03	OBJ	<p>The mast and technology will act to serve only a small radius of the residential area, majority of the benefit of the upgraded signal strength would be utilised within the park.</p> <p>Erecting multiple masts across the area is not sustainable until the technology is discreet enough to not be an eye sore.</p>
2021/1813/P	Melody Salem	08/06/2021 13:19:22	OBJ	I live at number 70 Regent's Park Road and strongly object to this being erected. It will not only be an eyesore for residents and visitors but also poses a health and safety risk. Can't it go somewhere else where it does not obstruct the beautiful view?
2021/1813/P	V Khanna	07/06/2021 19:15:53	COMMNT	Disgusting to spoil this special area with an eyesore
2021/1813/P	GERALDINE Moloney	07/06/2021 22:38:12	OBJ	Given that this proposed installation would be in both a conservation area and one of the most iconic green spaces in the borough of Camden (let alone London as a whole) this application is both absurd and irrational. A freestanding pole of of 52 feet towering over trees and lamp-posts is preposterous.
2021/1813/P	Percy Sheppard	07/06/2021 16:30:02	OBJ	<p>I am concerned that if the original desired position of the mast was at the junction of Chalcot Road & Fitzroy Road, then the power of the mast may have to be boosted at some point in its life-time to reach the furthest parts of the area it is meant to cover, and this could force the people living close to the mast to be subjected to higher doses of radiation.</p> <p>Positioning a mast on a road that adjoins Primrose Hill Park, so that it will be seen by the thousands of visitors each year, would seem to be detrimental to the beauty & peace of the park.</p> <p>Also it will change the view onto the park that people living close by have come to expect.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Colin Ludlow	08/06/2021 11:09:37	COMMNT	<p>I wish to express the strongest possible objection to the above application. I find it staggering that a structure of this size and nature is even being proposed in the Primrose Hill Conservation Area. It will dwarf the surrounding houses, disfigure the world-famous view from Primrose Hill and destroy the character of the neighbourhood. I would strongly urge Camden Council to REFUSE the application.</p> <p>I find the proposal all the more surprising since a phone mast aerial was installed INSIDE the nearby tower of St Mark's Church some three or four years ago. Yet, as far as I am aware, it has never been put into use. Surely that should be utilized that (with an upgrade if necessary) to avert this hideous blot on one of London's most beautiful and celebrated places.</p>
2021/1813/P	Valerie St Johnston	07/06/2021 12:14:13	COMMNT	It is wholly inappropriate to put any mast on the edge of an important green area in London. For generations Primrose Hill has remained unsullied by intrusions, as in the defeat of the plan to put public toilets at the south eastern corner of the Hill. This proposed mast would be as obtrusive as those toilets and a repugnant gesture of contempt for the Hill, a famous London landmark.
2021/1813/P	James Higgins	07/06/2021 23:22:03	OBJ	<p>No No No.</p> <p>I strongly object to this planning application. Totally inappropriate.</p>
2021/1813/P	Jackie Beard	07/06/2021 14:44:52	OBJ	I cannot believe that anybody would think this was a suitable place for a 18m 5g mast in the Primrose Hill Conservation Area, if one is even desirable or necessary? I strongly object to this proposal, for all the very valid reasons already detailed by multiple objectors.
2021/1813/P	Lucy Kelsey	08/06/2021 11:59:23	OBJ	It will be an eyesore - not appropriate for the area and it is also not necessary.
2021/1813/P	Conall Macfarlane	07/06/2021 13:41:49	COMMNT	<p>I wish to object most strongly to the siting of this mast and its associated ancillary installations in a Conservation Area next to Primrose Hill. The Applicant appears to be arguing against himself when he states that "to operate efficiently, the antenna must be clear of obstructions such as buildings and trees", while also claiming that "the equipment will benefit from screening in respect of the adjacent trees". When it is proved that trees interfere with efficiency of the mast will they be cut? How would the Camden Planning Department be able to show their face subsequently?</p> <p>This is a totally unsuitable location for this installation of the mast and boxes. Might I suggest as an alternative Dumpton Place at the far end of Fitzroy Road next to the railway lines? It would have the advantage of being nearer the centre of the area to be covered and much less of an eyesore.</p>
2021/1813/P	Plumelia Tarrant	07/06/2021 23:17:24	COMMNT	<p>Please refuse this application. It is an awful idea and a totally inappropriate location. Not at all in keeping with the lovely open space and buildings. If there must be a mast at all I think the idea of putting it on railway land is good, more in keeping. Somewhere out of the way of people where it won't ruin the view. There may be a future risk to the trees if they are deemed in the way. Anyway isn't it in a conservation area? There are enough eyesores and clutter around the pavements already. It is already well known that there are health hazards associated with 5G masts and this is a residential area and also has a huge quantity (millions?) of visitors - plus visitors come here because it is an area of beauty with a lovely view into which an ugly construction such as this should not be allowed.</p> <p>I strongly oppose this application and urge you to protect this area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	TIMOTHY HILL	08/06/2021 14:24:09	COMMNT	<p>I do not object to the installation.</p> <p>I strongly object to the site selected and hence this development.</p> <p>So often these days, complete disregard is had for the amenity areas , open spaces, place of beauty and historic interest by the cowboys of culture and beauty. please think again.</p>
2021/1813/P	E Sturdy	08/06/2021 11:43:40	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to the erection of the 18m mast opposite Flat A, 68 Regents Park Road on two grounds - firstly, the area is well served by fixed broadband and does not require this mast in order to achieve domestic broadband speed.</p> <p>If the application is for capacity to stream music and entertainment in the Park by phone users, this is against the Royal Parks rules and does not have local support since noise and anti-social behaviour associated with parties in the Park are already causing great difficulty for residents and business owners. The Royal Parks by-laws prohibit amplified music in the Park and should be enforced much more rigorously. No license for outdoor events has been granted for this Park.</p> <p>Secondly, I object on grounds of appearance as the mast will be out of place in the streetscape which is a Conservation Area and the Park is a Grade 2 listed park.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to object to this unnecessary and unsightly development.</p>
2021/1813/P	Kate Hulme	08/06/2021 14:29:20	COMMNT	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposed 5G phone mast on the edges of Primrose Hill, by Regents Park Road and Fitzroy Road. Mocked up images who it to be a real eyesore. If such a mast needs to be installed, why not instal it in an already industrial area, the railway for example? But I honestly can't understand why it is needed at all. Fibre optic cable is currently being installed all through the area. So is the purpose is to ensure an ultra high network for park users? I really don't think that this would help the peaceful and respectful use of the green space that The Royal Parks would like to achieve. There are already huge problems with sound systems in the park. This would surely only cause more problems. I therefore strongly object to the proposal for multiple reasons.</p> <p>Kate Hulme.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Martin Nelson	07/06/2021 12:02:45	COMMNT	<p>I consider the proposed 18m mast to be entirely out of keeping with the environment of a celebrated royal park. It can and should be sited elsewhere, and there are omissions and illogical conclusions in Dot Surveying's justifications of this location to support this view.</p> <p>The 5G SITE SPECIFIC SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION AND PLANNING JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT states that this location is sub-optimal - ideally it should be sited at the junction of Fitzroy and Chalcot Roads (CMN 13532) - and lists the reasons why these and adjacent streets are unsatisfactory. However the short Dumpton Place extension to Fitzroy Road is omitted. This abuts railway land, and a mast of a reasonable height at its foot would not compromise an urban/industrial landscape there. Furthermore, such a siting is significantly closer to CMN 13532, so it may be less sub-optimal.</p> <p>Secondly, there is a directly contradictory sentence in the document (foot of P.10). If the central clauses are redacted it reads: "To operate efficiently, the radio antennas must be clear of obstructions such as buildings and trees; while the equipment will benefit from screening in respect of the adjacent trees." Trees cannot be simultaneously an obstruction and a benefit, surely.</p> <p>Reading the comments already posted, I could not find one in favour of this crass and insensitive proposal. I understand there is a small but significant group of objectors to 5G who believe profoundly irrational rumours (it spreads Covid-19, or with microchips inserted we will be controlled and manipulated etc etc). I hope and believe such people are very rare in this neighbourhood; rather, this proposal seems to unite in opposition a community wanting to protect a conservation area and a famously attractive park from unnecessary desecration.</p>
2021/1813/P	Nadia Crandall	08/06/2021 14:39:05	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the proposed erection of an 18m monopole outside 68 Regents Park Road.</p> <p>As the Council is well aware, that part of Primrose Hill was designated as a conservation area in 1971 and a pole of this kind will have a very negative effect on the streetscape. Moreover, if erected, it will set a precedent for further intrusions into the conservation area. Given the limited reach of this 5G provision that seems very likely. While fast broadband is essential infrastructure, it is not clear to me why the provision needs to be available to Primrose Hill Park. It is an area that residents treasure for its peacefulness and beauty. This would not be enhanced by the ability of park users to stream video and audio at potentially high volume.</p>
2021/1813/P	Nadia Crandall	08/06/2021 14:39:06	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the proposed erection of an 18m monopole outside 68 Regents Park Road.</p> <p>As the Council is well aware, that part of Primrose Hill was designated as a conservation area in 1971 and a pole of this kind will have a very negative effect on the streetscape. Moreover, if erected, it will set a precedent for further intrusions into the conservation area. Given the limited reach of this 5G provision that seems very likely. While fast broadband is essential infrastructure, it is not clear to me why the provision needs to be available to Primrose Hill Park. It is an area that residents treasure for its peacefulness and beauty. This would not be enhanced by the ability of park users to stream video and audio at potentially high volume.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Kate Waites	07/06/2021 21:53:29	OBJ	I strongly object to the proposed 18m mast on Regents Park Rd. It's intrusive, ugly and unnecessary. I live on Fitzroy Road and would supposedly benefit from the mast but I would rather have bad mobile reception than be responsible for this eye sore.
2021/1813/P	Adrian Richardson	08/06/2021 16:28:45	OBJ	The erection of this mast in this location is totally inappropriate and unnecessary. Also, it would be visually out of place and detrimental to the character of Primrose Hill.
2021/1813/P	Jackie Stanger	07/06/2021 11:49:05	OBJ	I object to the erection of an 18m Phase 8 Monopole and cabinets proposed for the site on the edge of Primrose Hill between Fitzroy Road and Albert Terrace on the grounds that the application is inappropriate for a Conservation Area. The negative impact of these amenities on the trees and wildlife of the Park is also a major consideration. Other less obtrusive locations must be possible within a suitable distance from Chalcot and Fitzroy Roads. The faster broadband speeds promised would be welcome but not at the expense of this environmental cost. I urge the Council to reject this application.
2021/1813/P	M J Nichols	08/06/2021 21:43:12	OBJ	This application is a totally shocking invasion of a major beauty spot, and conservation area. 5G is known to pose risks to health and siting the mast next to a sheltered housing development is totally inappropriate.
2021/1813/P	M J Nichols	08/06/2021 21:43:14	OBJ	This application is a totally shocking invasion of a major beauty spot, and conservation area. 5G is known to pose risks to health and siting the mast next to a sheltered housing development is totally inappropriate.
2021/1813/P	M J Nichols	08/06/2021 21:43:15	OBJ	This application is a totally shocking invasion of a major beauty spot, and conservation area. 5G is known to pose risks to health and siting the mast next to a sheltered housing development is totally inappropriate.
2021/1813/P	M J Nichols	08/06/2021 21:43:16	OBJ	This application is a totally shocking invasion of a major beauty spot, and conservation area. 5G is known to pose risks to health and siting the mast next to a sheltered housing development is totally inappropriate.