From: Laurie McFadden Sent: 07 June 2021 15:17

To: Nathaniel Young; Richard Limbrick; Planning Planning; Elizabeth Beaumont

Subject: Proposed 5G Mast in Primrose Hill - Application number 2021/1813/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear All,

I am a resident of Primrose Hill and live at 9 St Mark's Square.

Myself and my family strongly object to the proposed mast.

- Primrose Hill and Primrose Hill Park are wonderful and have been carefully preserved to
 retain their charm and beauty. The mast will clearly be an eye sore. If you go to Albany
 Street there is an example of what this mast will look like. The argument about it being
 surrounded by mature trees does not stand up. It will be a blight on a beautiful park and
 area.
- There is no need for a 5G mast in the area to serve its residents or visitors to the local business etc community. Telefonica (owner of O2 and Virgin Media) has the neighbourhood covered by Virgin Media. Primrose Hill has recently been wired for fibre optics. This will give the community ultra-high broadband. The work has been done by G-Networks. This will compete with 5G. BT has committed to covering the country with Fibre by 2025, They own the pipes to get us all wired. They have a mobile deal with EE. Bottom line everyone living in Primrose Hill will have access to high bandwidth connectivity and local businesses etc can offer that to customers.
- The mast is being proposed by Hutchison 3G, owned and controlled by the Hong Kong Hutchinson Whampoa group. They don't have a content play so I assume they need the 5G coverage for streaming, video and voice services. I also assume Hutchison's primary business case for launching this 5G services is to provide ultra-high broadband services to people visiting Primrose Hill Royal Park (i.e., the park) as it is not needed by residents or visitors to local businesses etc. With Covid, Regents Parks and Primrose Hill have been rediscovered by large numbers of people many travelling from outside the area, as witness the crowds we have seen and the associated issues. Surely people do not need to be encouraged to sit in the park and stream services through %G.

In summary, there is no need in the area for this 5G mast and certainly no justification for creating a blight on our beautiful Primrose Hill Park and the area. To do so to allow a business to profit by encouraging people to sit and spend their time in the park streaming videos and other services would be outrageous. Please reject this application.

Yours faithfully,

Laurie McFadden