

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Sue Byrne	06/06/2021 18:49:05	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to the Planning Application 2021/1813/P</p> <p>Taken as a whole, the size of the Phase 8 Monopole at 60 feet it is clearly out of proportion to the landscape around despite there being one tree the same height. It is to be sited in a Conservation Area which local residents and the many visitors to the area value highly. It is an intrusive new installation visually for people who live nearby as well as those visiting the park. Furthermore, the proposed site on a busy pavement, near a busy road junction and one of the most used entrances to Primrose Hill. It could well impact on access to the park for disabled people.</p> <p>Back to the drawing board. Developers will always argue they have considered all the alternatives, but a case in point with which I am involved, has shown that sufficiently strong and pertinent objections can lead to a revised and more acceptable proposal. I suggest Camden request the applicants of the 2021/1813/P application to look again for a site that will not offend so many people as this one clearly does. The idea of installing a telecommunications installation in the steeple of St Marks Church seems a good one notwithstanding the suitability of this location for an 18m Phase 8 Monopole.</p>
2021/1813/P	Jonny Bucknell	06/06/2021 23:27:23	WREP	<p>I would like to object to this application.</p> <p>The applicant is completely out of touch with the modern approach to phone masts. Gone are the days when the BBC installed a huge mast on Alexander Palace.</p> <p>Modern phone masts should either be so small that they are not noticed on lampstands; or hidden inside buildings.</p> <p>This phone mast cannot be sited in a conservation area.</p> <p>I use 3 broadband a lot and the reception is great world wide. Since lockdown the organisation seems to have been completely robotised. They have full on announcements telling you how wonderful they are while their systems do not seem to work.</p> <p>It is an organisation that has lost touch with reality; hence this application.</p> <p>I trust that that this will be rejected.</p>
2021/1813/P	Maureen Betts	05/06/2021 09:44:55	OBJ	<p>I object strongly to this application. An 18m Mast with various Cabinets outside Primrose Hill Park in a Conservation area is a tragedy. It will be very visible and spoil what is now a unique view of the park from the street.</p>
2021/1813/P	Graham Burns	04/06/2021 15:12:20	OBJ	<p>We wish to oppose this application. Like others, we could not believe that it had been made. This is a completely inappropriate location and, as others have noted, to approve it would seem to drive a coach and horses through planning law and guidelines in a conservation area. We do not object to the erection of a pole per se - but erection in this location would be seriously detrimental to the visual environment of both the Primrose Hill area and the royal park itself.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	David Yeo	04/06/2021 09:13:23	OBJ	We strongly object to the installation of this ugly mast right in front of Primrose Hill. It is totally unacceptable for a conservation area. This mast is not in keeping with the immediate surrounding. Surely there are better and more appropriate places for this mast? For example right at the end of Fitzroy Road, past the Lansdowne Pub next to the railway lines?
2021/1813/P	David Yeo	04/06/2021 09:13:27	OBJ	We strongly object to the installation of this ugly mast right in front of Primrose Hill. It is totally unacceptable for a conservation area. This mast is not in keeping with the immediate surrounding. Surely there are better and more appropriate places for this mast? For example right at the end of Fitzroy Road, past the Lansdowne Pub next to the railway lines?
2021/1813/P	Anne Robinson	04/06/2021 15:43:31	OBJ	I live on Regent's Park Road close to the site of the proposed mast. Primrose Hill is an area of outstanding beauty which is enjoyed by locals and a great many tourists. The proposed mast would be a blight on the landscape in one of the most attractive parts of the village. The effects of the 5G radiation on human health and flora and fauna are not known. Many people live near and a greater number use Primrose Hill and Regents Park and these people as well as wildlife in the parks and in London Zoo could be at risk from this exposure. Consent should not be given to this planning application.
2021/1813/P	Jane	04/06/2021 11:05:26	OBJ	I am objecting to the planning application for the erection of this mast on the following grounds. The proposal is contrary to policies A2 (Open space), D1 (Design) and D2 (Heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Plan 2017. In particular: A2 c. resist development which would be detrimental to the setting of designated open spaces; A2 g. give strong protection to maintaining the openness and character of Metropolitan Open Land (MOL); 7.29 The Council will also seek to protect locally important views that contribute to the interest and character of the borough. These include: views of and from large public parks and open spaces, such as ... Primrose Hill ... views into and from conservation areas ... 7.46 ... The Council will therefore only grant planning permission for development in Camden's conservation areas that preserves or enhances the special character or appearance of the area. 7.48 ... The Council will therefore not permit development in locations outside conservation areas that it considers would cause harm to the character, appearance or setting of such an area.
2021/1813/P	Bernard Jolles	06/06/2021 10:46:45	OBJ	I strongly object to the proposed 5G mast and associated equipment on the grounds that its location adjacent to Primrose Hill and in a conservation area is entirely inappropriate. I agree with many of the objections and suggestions for relocation (eg to the railway lands) already submitted to Camden by other objectors. The Site Specific Statement prepared by DOT Surveying appears to be misleading and incomplete. For example, it states that "it is anticipated the adjacent trees will provide a significant level of screening, thus minimising any visual impact upon the surrounding residential dwellings" as shown in the photos (Figure 1 and 3) of leafy trees behind a short vertical blue arrow marking the spot. The Figure 2 photo shows that the plane trees are deciduous; they would not provide screening during the winter.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Caroline Cooper	07/06/2021 00:02:00	OBJ	<p>My family has been lucky to live here at 68 Regent's Park Road since 1946, when my father bought this house as a war-time wreck. I am here again now, with a large mixed household. The mast and its associated bulky cabinets would be right opposite.</p> <p>As, probably, the most concerned resident, I have read all the (so-far) 77 objections posted on your website; I understand that more residents have emailed you direct.</p> <p>I need not repeat the excellent arguments made by my neighbours. They make clear that the proposed siting of the mast contravenes many policies designed to protect the qualities of this neighbourhood: policies of the Royal Parks and policies of Camden's own Primrose Hill Conservation Area.</p> <p>For these reasons I am confident that the Council will not hesitate to reject this application and will continue to protect, as it has done for many decades, this handsome part of Camden.</p> <p>Thank you,</p>
2021/1813/P	Andrew Quick	04/06/2021 11:19:53	OBJ	<p>This feels a poorly thought through application which does not take into account any of the impact it will have on what is one of the most superb parks in London. Surely the applicants and the council can be a little more creative in where the mast will go. This would be an astonishing approval if it goes through. As a Primrose Hill Resident I would like to join others in their objections.</p>
2021/1813/P	Meriel Serjeant	06/06/2021 09:09:11	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to the proposal to erect a telecommunications mast, and cabinets at base of the mast on Regents Park Road. Planning Application number : 2021/1813/P</p> <p>This is a completely unsuitable location for the 18m tall mast, and connected cabinets. The objects are industrial in design and materials. They are very large compared to other manufactured objects on the pavement. The proposed installation is contrary in form and nature to the trees and railings that mark this part of the edge of Primrose Hill. This sort of installation should not occur on the boundaries, or inside the parks of London. These are places of significant escape, allowing Londoners to be physically distanced from vehicle movements, and to be in another category of space in the city. The Primrose Hill and Regents Park provide important vista and views across open spaces that are rarely possible in the streets of central London. This is important for the well-being of park users.</p>
2021/1813/P	Dan Clague	04/06/2021 15:49:21	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed siting of the new telecom mast opposite 68 Regent Park Road. The position is unsuitable and the roof of a neighbouring block of flats would be much better.</p>
2021/1813/P	Faezeh Leela EMADI	06/06/2021 14:46:06	COMMNT	<p>Primrose Hill is a unique environment and indeed the view from the top is one of the few views in London that is protected by statute. The proposed 18m mast is entirely inappropriate and should be sited elsewhere.</p>
2021/1813/P	Julie A Lasso	04/06/2021 11:44:07	COMMNT	<p>This proposed mast is totally inappropriate - this is a conservation area! The proposed location is horrible and will ruin the views in and of the park. Such an insult to the beautiful nature of the area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Lutz harnisch	04/06/2021 09:38:37	OBJ	Agree with objections, this mast should be on a more industrial site. Which there are plenty around. Given the purpose there is no need to put it on a public park, nor it's borders, or in close vicinity of people gathering. Considerations would be valued. Thanks
2021/1813/P	David Mackersey	04/06/2021 19:36:50	COMMNT	I wish to express in the strongest terms the plan to erect this 18m high mast on the very border of a beautiful grade 2 listed royal park in the heart of a perfect residential area, already besieged by HS2 destruction. It seems the creep of technology (which in itself is a good thing) has to be conscious and considerate of the physical effect of installations like this on the area and the residents and their amenity. It shouldn't always be about profit or the easy choice. In this case there HAS to be a more appropriate position, if at all. I trust you will reject this application. Thank you.
2021/1813/P	Jane Seal	06/06/2021 11:29:36	OBJ	The erection of this mast would be an eyesore in the proposed position. It would not visually blend into the trees from either in or outside the park, and the related boxes on the pavement would block the view of the hill which the railings do not do. Is there no other position in the area, closer to existing buildings, which would not be ruined by this, questionably necessary, construction?
2021/1813/P	Andrew Robins	06/06/2021 13:33:23	OBJ	This location is of cultural and historical importance. There is no need to place a mast at this location. It is intrusive both visually (by being inharmonious) and by polluting the built environment with even more electromagnetic fog. The council should refuse the application on this basis alone. However if telecom companies are going to compete for ownership of infrastructure the council has to consider the potential proliferation of these broadcast towers. All our roads have been dug up recently in the name of progress. However people are not being consulted as to whether we deem this to actually be progress. Clearly this is not in the benefit of the people of Camden.
2021/1813/P	J Willis	06/06/2021 23:19:55	OBJ	This construction is completely out of scale with the surroundings and unnecessarily placed in a beauty spot. There are also still issues with health surrounding these masts and to place it near housing for the elderly and a park full of children seems reckless and poor planning. There seems to have been little or no consultation.
2021/1813/P	Loulwa Al-Hizami	06/06/2021 17:10:54	OBJ	As a resident living directly opposite the park, I am writing to formally and wholeheartedly object to the proposed planning of an 18 metre tall 5g mast by a conservation area, adjoining a Royal Park. Such a proposal not only compromises the beautiful view that Primrose Hill has always been known for, it also impacts its natural beauty and special character. This is an inappropriate location to erect such a mast for many reasons, as others have also mentioned.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Jenny McCririck	04/06/2021 20:00:13	COMMNT	<p>I would like to object to the proposed site of the telecommunications mast in Regents Park Rd, NW1.</p> <p>Not only is this in a conservation area but next to Primrose Hill which is a Royal Park.</p> <p>One must worry about the adverse effect on people and wildlife in such a densely populated area.</p> <p>It is also very near to London Zoo and the children's playground in the park.</p> <p>Perhaps it could be sited next to the railway line where Volvo was sited - in Dumpton Place?</p>
2021/1813/P	Jenny McCririck	04/06/2021 20:00:15	COMMNT	<p>I would like to object to the proposed site of the telecommunications mast in Regents Park Rd, NW1.</p> <p>Not only is this in a conservation area but next to Primrose Hill which is a Royal Park.</p> <p>One must worry about the adverse effect on people and wildlife in such a densely populated area.</p> <p>It is also very near to London Zoo and the children's playground in the park.</p> <p>Perhaps it could be sited next to the railway line where Volvo was sited - in Dumpton Place?</p>
2021/1813/P	Jenny McCririck	04/06/2021 20:00:16	COMMNT	<p>I would like to object to the proposed site of the telecommunications mast in Regents Park Rd, NW1.</p> <p>Not only is this in a conservation area but next to Primrose Hill which is a Royal Park.</p> <p>One must worry about the adverse effect on people and wildlife in such a densely populated area.</p> <p>It is also very near to London Zoo and the children's playground in the park.</p> <p>Perhaps it could be sited next to the railway line where Volvo was sited - in Dumpton Place?</p>
2021/1813/P	Jenny McCririck	04/06/2021 20:00:17	COMMNT	<p>I would like to object to the proposed site of the telecommunications mast in Regents Park Rd, NW1.</p> <p>Not only is this in a conservation area but next to Primrose Hill which is a Royal Park.</p> <p>One must worry about the adverse effect on people and wildlife in such a densely populated area.</p> <p>It is also very near to London Zoo and the children's playground in the park.</p> <p>Perhaps it could be sited next to the railway line where Volvo was sited - in Dumpton Place?</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Jenny McCririck	04/06/2021 20:00:19	COMMNT	<p>I would like to object to the proposed site of the telecommunications mast in Regents Park Rd, NW1.</p> <p>Not only is this in a conservation area but next to Primrose Hill which is a Royal Park.</p> <p>One must worry about the adverse effect on people and wildlife in such a densely populated area.</p> <p>It is also very near to London Zoo and the children's playground in the park.</p> <p>Perhaps it could be sited next to the railway line where Volvo was sited - in Dumpton Place?</p>
2021/1813/P	Emma Grant	06/06/2021 21:40:29	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the proposed site of the unsightly 18M mast. Installation would have a considerable detrimental impact on both the local community and the millions of visitors that Primrose Hill attracts today.</p> <p>The view from the top of Primrose Hill is one of London's most iconic vistas. Installation of the mast would be highly visible from the Hill, especially given its scale which would stand at tree-level height. The drawings included within the plans do not accurately represent the mast's appearance during winter when there will be no foliage to hide it from view.</p> <p>Regent's Park Road and the eastern perimeter of Primrose Hill park is one of the capital's most picturesque promenades, that serves as a draw for locals and the millions of visitors that frequent the Hill and also a hub for commercial activity, namely as a film location. One of the main draws for film crews is that its appearance has largely remained untouched for hundreds of years. Erecting this mast would not only spoil this view for onlookers but also threaten its appeal as a destination for future filming activity. The view from Regent's Park Road residents facing the park would also be spoiled, namely for the residents within the Oldfield Estate which offers sheltered accommodation for the elderly. It is unlikely that for the residents within the Oldfield Estate have much of a share of voice to raise their complaints, given many might not have the ability to access the online platform.</p> <p>It seems the purpose of this mast is to optimise broadband connectivity throughout the Hill, particularly throughout the park. Surely the need for 5G connectivity within the park is misjudged. Perhaps this would be better positioned within the village, where connectivity for business use is more needed. Optimised broadband in the park should not be a priority.</p>
2021/1813/P	Dorothy Marden	06/06/2021 13:00:44	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object to an 18 meter mast being erected right on the edge of Primrose Hill. this will be visually intrusive, especially when there are no leaves on the trees.</p> <p>The planning application says that the mast must not be obstructed by trees, and yet it is placed very near 2 trees, one taller than the mast itself. What guarantee do we have that the trees will be given priority over the mast if there are problems?</p> <p>The installation of a mast at the edge of our park, and right in a conservation area, is not acceptable.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Angela Macfarlane	06/06/2021 19:10:43	OBJ	I cannot believe that it is seriously proposed to erect an enormous mast plus ancillary boxes opposite a much needed and valued green space and in a Conservation Area. Why is the mast not to be mounted on top of a building, which would be less obvious? Can it not be incorporated into the building site that is being erected in Swiss Cottage? At least it would be in an urban environment and not despoil parkland.
2021/1813/P	S. Neave	06/06/2021 18:33:00	COMMNT	The proposed site frames a beautiful & precious open space. What a rotten idea. I live in Primrose Hill & enjoy, like thousands of others, the open view of the grass land & the Hill. Awful street furniture & a pylon the height of a house will seriously detract from it. I oppose. Imagine Constable's famous view of the bend in the river Thames from Richmond Hill with this plonked in the foreground. It is the same.
2021/1813/P	Stuart	04/06/2021 16:39:08	OBJ	It's totally out of place. Primrose Hill park is going to be spoiled from this mast
2021/1813/P	Elaine Sullivan	04/06/2021 13:19:43	OBJ	I would strongly suggest this Application be considered unsuitable for the area. It seems bizarre that this application has managed to get this far. Directly in front of Primrose Hill, in the very heart of the Village, one of the most iconic spots in London it could only be an eyesore.
2021/1813/P	Leonie Baum	06/06/2021 09:58:18	OBJ	I am writing to object to the building of this mast. Is it really necessary to destroy this beautiful landscape enjoyed not just by local residents but many Londoners and tourists alike. In these difficult COVID times the beauty and tranquility of this park and view is a comfort for so many of us . Why does it have to be ruined by this ugly structure ? Surely there is somewhere else where it could be situated in a more unobtrusive position
2021/1813/P	Alessandro Ananias	04/06/2021 21:05:37	NOBJ	The addition of infrastructure for fast mobile connectivity is essential to the wellbeing of the residents, and a great improvement to their ability to work from home given emerging working circumstances. The benefits far outweighs any subjective aesthetics concerns.
2021/1813/P	JT and HR Bach	04/06/2021 11:51:43	COMMNT	As long term residents of Primrose Hill, and as members of the Friends of Regent's Park and Primrose Hill, we OBJECT strongly to the proposal submitted to Camden to erect an unsightly and intrusive mast in such an iconic area at the south east corner of Primrose Hill close to residential property and to the London zoo and within a Royal Park.