

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0902/P	Line Schjolden and Hans Jacob Feder	05/06/2021 12:26:55	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>As owners and residents of 13 Weech Road, we would like to add our objection to the current building project at 10 Weech Road. Number 13 forms part of a row of 5 houses (9-13) that were constructed at the same time and have hitherto had a uniform aspect, also with respect to rear extensions. The plans for the rear extension and accompanying brick fences at number 10 would completely shatter this uniform aspect and therefore impact us all. As pointed out in other comments, the planned extension is much too high, too large, and completely out of proportion to the surrounding houses and their extensions. This clearly goes against Camden's own planning guidelines, where in Clause 2.1.1. it states that any rear extension shall 'have a height, depth and width that respects the existing common pattern and rhythm of rear extensions at neighbouring sites,...'</p> <p>We are also concerned about and object to the proposal to construct brick walls of 3.5 metres tall alongside the extension. This is so much taller than the surrounding fences that it will seriously stand out and again ruin the uniform aspects of the properties, in addition to creating a feeling of enclosure. In addition, we have serious reservations about the consequences such a construction will have on the surrounding vegetation, such as the historical apple trees that stand in these gardens. On all of the above grounds, we are asking for this application to be rejected.</p>
2021/0902/P	Rosa Tollemache	04/06/2021 15:06:06	COMMNT	<p>miss Rosa Tollemache</p> <p>I would like to object to this application. this is a set of 5 house, that have been untouched. allowing a 1 story extension and demolishing most of the garden seems unacceptable. I live at the back and I do not want a glass fronted extension staring on to my property . this extension will cause a huge shadow over 11 weech road and building up to the boundary is invasive .if this is allowed to go ahead, it opens up other people building on these huge gardens, developing flats and totally destroying the reason why people like myself enjoy living in westhampsted for the privacy.</p>

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2021/0902/P	Anthony Dann	05/06/2021 15:08:56	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed extension to the ground floor flat at No.10 Weech Road.</p> <p>We have lived at No.11 Weech Road since 1968, soon after we got married and have raised our family here.</p> <p>The terrace of five houses ( Nos. 9 – 13 Weech Road) was built in the mid-1800s and all the houses are of the same design – three stories tall with a small scullery extending out from the rear of each house on the ground floor for about three metres.</p> <p>Some time prior to 1968 an agreement had been reached between the owners that Nos.10 – 13 would open up a strip at the end of each of their gardens to provide a common 'right of way' about 2m wide to allow quick and easy access to Fortune Green Road and the shops there. No.9 has a gate opening into this passage way. This arrangement remains in place today.</p> <p>Since 2000 various modifications have been made to the scullery areas of several of the houses but these do not extend beyond the original building line, thus ensuring that each house has retained a sense of openness and light. The houses are mainly bounded by wooden fences topped by small trellises which do not reduce this feeling of openness.</p> <p>The proposed extension at No. 10 is extremely large, almost doubling the footprint of the existing flat. Broadly speaking, the proposed new build will introduce walls on both boundaries about 8/9m long and 3.5m high. Not only will this be unsightly and oppressive but it goes against the existing dynamic of the properties in the terrace which have an open and non-industrial feel to both the houses and gardens.</p> <p>The size of the proposed extension will cut out light from our existing small conservatory (adjoining No.10) as well as from a considerable portion of the garden in the mornings with a similar effect on No.9 in the afternoons.</p> <p>By building a new brick wall on the boundary with our garden a mature, fruiting Bramley apple tree (said to be over a hundred years old and possibly a remnant of the orchard on the Kidderpore Estate) will be destroyed by excavation for the footings of the side wall of the proposed building.</p> <p>My wife and I are both retired and weather permitting, we spend a great deal of time in the garden. We grow vegetables and herbs and enjoy being in our garden with the feeling of calm and seclusion given by the trees and shrubs surrounding us. One of the reasons we stay in West Hampstead is that the majority of home owners and tenants around us seem to feel the same way.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0902/P	Richard Green	04/06/2021 10:53:59	OBJ	<p>I live in the first floor flat of 10 Weech Road and consider that this proposed development (to the flat below mine) does not comply with current Camden Planning Guidance and I wish to object to the application made for the reasons set out below.</p> <p>(i) The proposed extension is too large and out of scale with the proportion of the rest of the building and does not respect the pattern and rhythm of neighbouring sites. It seems to extend 8m from the rear wall of the original property (adjoining no 9) and over 9 meters from the line of the original building on the right hand side (adjoining no 11). It also extends the full width of the garden. This constitutes a very large increase in the property's footprint and with the proposed material increase in height it will result in an increase in mass and dimension which is out of character and not subordinate to the building being extended or to neighbouring properties.</p> <p>(ii) The extension exceeds the building line of neighbouring extensions. This would be noted on an inspection by the Planning Officer (but would not necessarily be apparent from the plans submitted).</p> <p>(iii) When viewed from the rear of my flat (and other neighbouring properties) the scale of the extension proposed will have a harmful impact on our outlook and will be detrimental to the character and appearance of the area. It will have a dominating effect that will cause a loss of enjoyment and amenity of our homes.</p> <p>(iv) the proposed extension would be an over development of the site involving loss of garden land and would be detrimental to the open aspect of the neighbourhood. The loss of the garden area will also bring about a loss of biodiversity which cannot be wholly replaced through the inclusion of a green roof, which will have less biodiverse value.</p> <p>(v) I am concerned that the size of the extension (and in particular its height in comparison to the height of the current kitchen extension) will reduce the nature and quality of the light enjoyed by the rear rooms of my flat and will accordingly affect my amenity and enjoyment of these rooms.</p> <p>(vi) The scale of the extension would also create a "closed in" feeling for the neighbouring gardens and would adversely affect the quality of space and light currently enjoyed.</p> <p>[NB - The above is a re-submission of comments first submitted on 1.6.21 which have not yet appeared on the Portal ( as at 4.6.21)]</p>

---