

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	CUB SIOUX	02/06/2021 19:01:20	INT	<p>Many PH residents are deeply concerned re the knowledge of a proposed 18m 5G mast without proper local public residents consultation. Most residents knew nothing re the pre-planning application consultation. This Three 5G mast is not necessary for the reasons quoted; the area does NOT need an 5G boost for Fitzroy or Chalcot Road, or increased internet speeds for the "widest breadth of coverage". A 25G rollout is NOT vital for residents and businesses in Primrose Hill. PH does not want a prominent, visually intrusive mast antennae with cabinets in a historical Crown property, Grade II listed public park, conservation area. It will be located in an area of significant open space, comprising both historic landscape, a designated heritage asset and close to residential housing, an outside children's playground and gym. The height of the proposed mast is unparalleled in the area and will be highly prominent and visually intrusive. The high increase level EMF frequency and radiation that these 5G masts emit is the subject of great debate and concern globally - Prolonged exposure and 5G effects have not been fully tested re potential dangers, on many levels to human and animal health, the dangers re children, pets, wild life, insects/bees, trees and plants are now well documented. There is evidence re ill health in people who live close to 5G masts. We would like to stop the unnecessary installation completely. The tall Three mast proposed is a commercial venture, it will be an incongruous ugly eye sore, a health danger and would certainly affect property prices, established local estate agents businesses and local shops. Approval should be refused.</p>

2021/1813/P	EM Karcn	02/06/2021 23:33:11	OBJ	<p>This project should not be allowed. The environment around Primrose Hill Park is the area's biggest selling point and should not be sullied by this mast. The view from the Hill itself is famous and a major draw for people to visit the area; it should not be sullied by this mast. There must be plenty of alternative locations to place the mast that are not directly next to the park.</p>
2021/1813/P	James Croock	02/06/2021 20:56:10	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the proposed erection of a phone mast as envisaged in planning application 2021/1813/P.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The location of the proposed mast falls within a conservation area and will detract from the appeal of the area both at street level and above. An 18m mast would be completely out of character with the adjacent residential buildings - The siting is on the edge of one of the most important and beautiful green spaces in London. The views from the top of the hill are some of the best views of London. A phone mast would compromise the view of the park and its surroundings from within the park and outside - There are a number of tall buildings and semi industrial and commercial areas in Camden which would provide a far more appropriate place for a mast.
2021/1813/P	Carolina Ambida	02/06/2021 10:43:28	OBJ	<p>I object to this planning application. It will impact greatly to this area and is proven dangerous to wildlife and on the local community.</p>
2021/1813/P	Richard Ward	02/06/2021 20:40:47	OBJ	<p>The siting of this 18 metre mast in this location is absolutely unacceptable to residents and community at large. This is a conservation area of character and adjacent to the Royal Park. An 18 metre mast would undermine the character of the area and destroy views to and from the Park. There are many far less sensitive sites available in the area. The application should be rejected.</p>

Printed on: 03/06/2021 09:10:07

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813P	Andrew Moor	02/06/2021 17:47:41	COMMNT	This application could not be in a more unsuitable spot, visible from as far as possible. This is a conservation area. This is adjacent to a park - open of the beauty spot of London. This mast should be on top of an existing building - probably cheaper and definitely less visible.
