

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1782/P	Penelope Smith	01/06/2021 17:58:36	OBJ	<p>This will change the character of this street as the street is so narrow. House 131 on the Westminster side of Cleveland street will receive even less sunlight. It will mean we can no longer see the trees in Fitzroy Square which are so beneficial for combatting the claustrophobia of city living. Plus the attractive character of the present top floor will be lost.</p>
2021/1782/P	Journade	28/05/2021 09:49:22	OBJ	<p>Dear Nathaniel Young , Ref: 2021/1782/P</p> <p>I object to the plans submitted to add an extra floor to Glebe house. Glebe house is already taller than the other existing buildings along Cleveland street and Fitzroy mews. Adding an extra floor will make Glebe house stand out considerably more and will amount to an over development in a conservation area . The plans will also affect me in several concrete ways . As I live on the top floor, 2 skylights situated in my flat will disappear and my east facing will be covered, further contributing to loss of daylight. On a practical level, it will be impossible for me to live in the flat because of the construction noise directly above me and the lift will be out of action for many weeks while it is being replaced. This proposal has really given me great cause for concern and I hope it will be rejected by Camden .</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Jean Louis Journade</p>
2021/1782/P	Philip Smyth	01/06/2021 16:03:08	OBJ	<p>I object to the Planning Application 2021/1782/P. I live two floors below the proposed extra floor. The disruption will be considerable and all for the addition of one extra flat. The building is in a Conservation Area and the additional floor will result in the building being taller than the surrounding buildings, ruining the aesthetics of that side of the street. I am very concerned that the proposed extra floor will affect the structure of the entire building. Was it envisaged when the building was constructed that an additional floor would be added? Also, the floor below is currently two flats that are structurally different from the rest of the building. Were these two flats built to structurally support another floor? I fully support the letter sent to Camden Council by Frances Young of DLP Planning Limited and again want to state my objection to the planning application.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1782/P	Steve Oram	01/06/2021 17:41:57	OBJ	<p>Cleveland Street is one of those streets where one side (the proposed extension) is in Camden and the opposite side of the road is in Westminster. Therefore, while the flats opposite the proposed extension are not Camden residents, it would have considerable impact on them.</p> <p>The proposed additional floor would restrict the sunlight into the flats opposite on Cleveland Street, as the only sunlight to reach them on their Cleveland Street side comes in the early morning when the sun is relatively low in the sky. It would also block the only view of trees, which in a city is a vital contribution to good mental health. Cleveland Street is a narrow street that does not get much sunlight anyway and this would reduce it even further.</p> <p>The additional floor would also increase the amount the flats are overlooked, and again from a top floor flat point of view this is considerable. Especially as the street is so narrow.</p> <p>There is of course the impacts on the conservation area to be taken into account too.</p> <p>Therefore, and on these grounds, I object to planning permission being granted.</p>
