

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Dick Bird	27/05/2021 19:25:53	COMMNT	I wish to oppose permission for the installation of this mast and its associated street furniture on the grounds of its visual intrusion in a conservation area. I appreciate that it is not possible to question the need for an electronic communication system but it is surely not unreasonable to challenge a change to that system, when as here, it does not achieve the "well-measured balance between the need to extend practical coverage with the risk of increasing visual intrusion" that it claims. Dot Surveying assert that the mast is "essential for people and business" but that is an assertion rather than a truth. There may well be gains from G5 but there will be costs too, and choosing it implies the gains outweigh the costs. This is a remarkable time to be concluding that when so many of us have reasonably satisfactorily been working and communicating from home. I note too that the radio antennas must be clear of obstructions such as buildings and trees; might it only be a matter of time before our beloved trees are lopped or felled because they are obstructing the mast? Primrose Hill is a lovely place to live because previous generations have treasured it and fought for it, and we should also weigh up the benefits and costs of change. I for one oppose the case for the mast in this site.
2021/1813/P	Maggie Chambers	27/05/2021 16:32:30	COMMNT	It is shocking that anyone would consider building an 18m mast in a conservation area right by one of London's most beautiful natural landmarks. Thousands of people, locals and tourists come to Primrose Hill for the view, so why ruin it with such an ugly eyesore. I realise there is controversy about the effects of these masts, but this is right by a park where people go for health benefits, and a zoo, where the animals don't get to have a say on developments which may affect them. Development in conservation areas is supposed to preserve or enhance the special character or appearance of the area, and this would entirely dominate and ruin the special character of our area.
2021/1813/P	Maggie Chambers	27/05/2021 16:32:28	COMMNT	It is shocking that anyone would consider building an 18m mast in a conservation area right by one of London's most beautiful natural landmarks. Thousands of people, locals and tourists come to Primrose Hill for the view, so why ruin it with such an ugly eyesore. I realise there is controversy about the effects of these masts, but this is right by a park where people go for health benefits, and a zoo, where the animals don't get to have a say on developments which may affect them. Development in conservation areas is supposed to preserve or enhance the special character or appearance of the area, and this would entirely dominate and ruin the special character of our area.
2021/1813/P	Kim Gieske	27/05/2021 10:44:21	OBJ	It's entirely inappropriate for a 18m mast to be located within a conservation area and in sight of Primrose Hill which is a Royal Park. It would be far more appropriate to locate the mast in an area of industrial railway land, I believe there are options nearby.
2021/1813/P	Colin St Johnston	27/05/2021 18:48:03	OBJ	This is the wrong place to erect a single 18m high pole where it would spoil the first view of Primrose Hill for all those coming from the centre of Camden Town to Regent's Park Road. The pole, which is as high as the beautiful Plane trees, would undermine an elegant area of Camden where there are fine houses overlooking pretty corner of the distinctive Primrose Hill. Such a diminishing artefact should be unobtrusive, perhaps on the top of a tall building.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Humphrey Kelsey	26/05/2021 11:17:13	OBJ	<p>The erection of a 18m mast as proposed, on the verge of Primrose Hill Park, is wholly detrimental to the character and appearance of the conservation area, which by it's very nature aims to preserve the environment. There is no precedent within this conservation area for such a structure being approved. In addition I object for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. it is in close proximity to Primrose Hill Park which is a Grade 2 listed public park 2. it is metres away from the Drinking Fountain at the junction with Albert Terrace which is also Grade 2 listed 3. it is in close proximity to numerous listed buildings 4. it would compromise some of the 'significant views' within the conservation area. 5. this mast will not enhance the visual amenity of the thousands of Londoners and tourists who benefit from the use of the park.
2021/1813/P	K. Sofer	26/05/2021 11:23:05	COMMNT	<p>This location overlooks a conservation area and royal park.</p> <p>There are other suitable locations nearby, for example the train line running behind Gloucester Avenue.</p>