
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 May 2021 23:30
To: Planning
Cc: Tony Tugnutt
Subject: for Sofie Fieldsend from Bloomsbury CAAC re Gray's Inn application 2021/1310/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Sofie Fieldsend,

I am commenting on this application as a member of the Bloomsbury CAAC. I apologise for its lateness.

We strongly object to this application.

It is not the right solution to this tricky problem and we support the comments from the Bloomsbury Association.

Any gates need to be integrated properly into the present gateway or designed so that they are distanced from it and not read as part of it. We also agree with the Bloomsbury Association that maintaining pedestrian access is more important than making a one way route for vehicles.

A major hindrance to detailed comments is that the information currently provided is far from sufficient. For example, on the proposed gates, the railings with spearheads seem to be on a different scale and too flimsy compared to the railings already in situ, but it is hard to be certain. And even if these elements were of the right scale, the overall design of the gates would still be too suburban, and not of sufficient gravitas.

Until a better solution can be found, Gray's Inn should be given temporary permission for the present arrangement.

Bloomsbury CAAC would be happy to attend a site meeting with the applicants.

Yours sincerely, Hero Granger-Taylor