				Printed on: 24/05/2021 09:10:07
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1293/P	Nick Gowing	21/05/2021 12:52:08	OBJ	We object to this application as the noise report is flawed and should be deemed as invalid. The recording equipment must be located where the proposal ASHP is to be sited. This was not be done, and therefore gives an inaccurate level of the background noise. Any condition place on this decision must ensure that the plant noise level, at any boundary, is 10db below an accurate measure of the background noise level.
2021/1293/P	Nicholas White	22/05/2021 15:40:13	OBJ	I am the owner and occupier of 17 Pond Street. My garden is adjacent to the garden of 13A Pond Street. I believe I am the residential neighbour most immediately impacted by the redevelopment of 13A Pond Street.
				I have concerns for the planning application 2021/1293/P of an ASHP to be installed in the proposed location on the eastern side of 13A Pond street rear garden at the boundary party walls of 2 Connaught Mews, 17 Pond street, 33 Hampstead hill Gardens.
				I have read and reviewed the email submission by Tsambika Anastasas of 2 Connaught Mews and dated 17 May. Rather than repeat the arguments set out at length in the submission by Ms Anastasas I would only confirm my agreement with those arguments.
				I request that the application for installation of an ASHP requires further technical investigation of the impact on neighbours, clarification of inconsistencies in the report and examination of the most appropriate positioning of the ASHP to minimise impact on neighbours. In particular, I wish to object to the proposed location of the ASHP on the boundary of the property and away from the subject dwelling at 13A Pond thereby increasing the impact on neighbours for the benefit of 13A Pond Street.
2021/1293/P	Adrien istrael	23/05/2021 14:26:12	OBJ	I object as living very close buy I am concerned about the disturbance coming from the noise. It could be placed elsewhere to avoid disturbing the neighbours who get no benefit from this only problems. I am worried as well of any potential consequences in terms of pollution or risk for children. Please make sure this is happening in a different location Thanks

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 24/05/2021 09:10 Response:
2021/1293/P	Roderick Cordara	23/05/2021 15:10:27	OBJ	I am Roderick Cordara, of 24 Lincolns Inn Fields, WC2A 3EG
2021/1293/1	Roueller Coluaia	25/05/2021 15:10:27	OLV	I object to this rather ill-considered and unpersuasive application on the grounds that the noise report is flawed and should be set aside in its entirety. T In particular, I not that the recording equipment must be placed on the exact siting of the proposed ASHP. (Air source heat pump) This did not happen, and therefore an inaccurate reading has been recorded. The noise assessment needs to be redone. Any condition placed on the decision must insist that the noise levels emitted by the ASHP, when taken at any boundary, are a minimum than 10db less than the accurate background noise level. The applicants Acoustics report by KP Acoustics also have the wrong location for the proposed ASHP to that shown in the architects drawings.
				The applicants design team have not demonstrated they have considered other locations for this ASHP. Building regulation advise not to put ASHP near a boundary. It's advised to place the ASHP near or on the wall of the home it is serving as that is energy efficient. Placing an ASHP on a boundary of less than 1 metre from neighbouring garden amenities is not only contrary to building regulations but it is likely to have an adverse impact on the enjoyment of these outdoor spaces as this mechanical machinery is noisy.
				The sustainability report states that an ASHP is not considered appropriate for this property. The carbon emissions are inferior to that of an efficient condensing boiler for this application - so why is the design team pursing this option?
				Accordingly, I request that the application for installation of an ASHP requires further technical investigation of the impact on neighbours, clarification of inconsistencies in the report and examination of the most appropriate positioning of the ASHP to minimise impact on neighbours. In particular, I wish to object to the proposed location of the ASHP on the boundary of the property and away from the subject dwelling at 13A Pond thereby increasing the impact on neighbours for the benefit of 13A Pond Street.
2021/1293/P	Stephen Wright	22/05/2021 07:43:18	ОВЈ	I am concerned about the noise from the appliance. The measurements in the sound report were taken in a location other than where the appliance will be located - for reasons which seem not to be specified in the report and where it could reasonably be expected that the ambient noise is greater. The proposed location of the appliance directly borders my garden which is a peaceful and very quiet area. Any noise from the appliance will adversely impact our enjoyment of the garden.

						Printed on:	24/05/2021	09:10:07	
Application No:	Consultees Name	Received:	Comment	Resnonse:					

Application 10.	Consumes Manie.	Received.	Comment.
2021/1293/P	Jeffrey David Gold	23/05/2021 20:32:11	COMMNT

Response:

I write in support of the objection lodged by Tsambika Cordara shown below as well as a number of others as the proposed installation seems likely to cause disturbance to the occupants of neighbouring properties and the application has not given sufficient detail that neighbours can be assured that this will not be the case.

From Mrs Cordara

The application is to replace the PV photo panels on the roof and locate an Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP)at the boundaries of 17 pond street, 33 Hampstead Hill Gardens and 2 Connaught mews. This without doubt will affect all the surrounding amenities/gardens and outdoor spaces that provide peace and wellbeing away from the busyness and noisiness of Pond Street and the Roebuck Pub.

The proposal for the ASHP is ambiguous and has a lot of inconsistencies and gaps. The sound report is inadequate with many errors and the plans are also not easy to see properly. It seems they have given as little information as possible which makes it difficult to work out what the impact will be to our surrounding gardens.

With an ASHP there will be constant constant mechanical humming noise albeit green energy generated and its how the unit is enclosed and where it is location that is crucial and will impact adversely on our outdoor amenities if it is not carefully considered the reports and drawings in line with its location which they are not at the moment. It's a half baked application to put it bluntly.

Please read Paragon acoustics expert comments on this application which I have attached below. He will be lodging it with Camden directly before the 23rd May. Here are also my comments attached which is written in layman's terms which I have already lodged my comments on the website.

I object to the location of this ASHP and would encourage you if you agree once reading the information to lodge your comments before the 23 May.

The proposal is not well thought out and the noise report is inadequate and doesn't support the viability of the proposed location for Air Source Heat Pump.

In the noise reports, plans, and sustainability report undermines the viability of this energy option as a means of reducing the CO2 Emmissions and for these reasons this should be objected to until the necessary measures and careful consideration has been given to this to ensure it doesn't adversely affect surrounding amenities.

Please feel free to use all the points made or some if you agree:

- KP acoustics report is based on a different location of the ASHP to that of applicants the architects drawings. Deeming the noise calculations inaccurate for the report inaccurate and inconclusive.
- The ambient sound measurements are not representative of areas where the location of the plant is being proposed. Two measures were taken from pond street and the pub and only one taken from the back garden and that was not where the ASHP is being proposed! There were no sound receivers placed at the rear of 13a pond streets boundary and that of 2 Connaught mews, 17 Pond street, and 33 Hampstead hill gardens where the ASHP is being proposed.
- It is less than 1 metre from the boundaries of neighbours contrary to what Greenage advise.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
				https://www.thegreenage.co.uk/where-to-put-your-air-source-heat-pump/. Greenage also advise that the plant not be installed near neighbouring boundaries as these things have a humming frequency which can adversely affect outdoor amenities of neighbouring gardens. The applicant has not demonstrated to have taken other possible options for location of the ASHP. Greenage advise the best location is closer to the building it is serving and on the roof. KP acoustics the applicants Sound experts mentions a that if the ASHP is enclosed on the roof it will not adversely affect neighbours. This is confusing since they have made an application for the ASHP to be placed at the rear boundary away from the applicants house! If this is a viable option then by all means we would ask the applicant to consider this option that its own acoustics experts have advised. the application seems incomplete and ambiguous in terms of the above and also the sound report findings which are inaccurate and inadequate. Deeming the report not helpful for the purpose of viability of this application.	
				 The Sustainability report 2017 in the application clearly say that the choice of an ASHP as NOT viable on this for this property so why are the applicants design team pursing this option??? The size of the ASHP may exceed the total allowable cubic metres. Greenage advise the allowance is 0.6 cubic metres. It is unclear if this is with or without the enclosure. With the enclosure the total cubic meters is 2.42 for the ASHP which is being proposed for this 13A Pond street. 	
2021/1293/P	Peter Davey	23/05/2021 19:05:38	OBJ	I wish to object to the application for installation of an ASHP. As our bedroom is almost the closest room to the proposed location in the garden adjacent to the boundary wall of 17 Pond Street, I am particularly concerned about noise nuisance at night. Whilst Pond Street can be noisy during the day, the rears of the houses are surprisingly quiet, especially at night. The proposed location would seem to have been chosen to benefit the residents of 13a Pond Street at our expense. If there is to be an ASHP, the unit should be located next to the side of the house facing away from Pond Street so that the house helps to shield the nearest neighbours from the worst of the background noise and hum. At the very least, there should be further examination of the most appropriate positioning of the ASHP to minimise impact on neighbours.	

Printed on: 24/05/2021

09:10:07

				Printed on: 24/05/2021 09:10:07
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1293/P	Victor Kanellopoulos	23/05/2021 16:38:17	OBJ	'I have no alternative but to object to this proposal rather ill-considered and unpersuasive application on the grounds that the noise report is flawed and should be set aside in its entirety In particular, I not that the recording equipment must be placed on the exact siting of the proposed ASHP.(Air source heat pump) This did not happen, and therefore an inaccurate reading has been recorded. The noise assessment needs to be redone. Any condition placed on the decision must insist that the noise levels emitted by the ASHP, when taken at any boundary, are a minimum than 10db less than the accurate background noise level. The applicants Acoustics report by KP Acoustics also have the wrong location for the proposed ASHP to that shown in the architects drawings. The applicants design team have not demonstrated they have considered other locations for this ASHP. Building regulation advise not to put ASHP near a boundary. It's advised to place the ASHP near or on the wall of the home it is serving as that is energy efficient. Placing an ASHP on a boundary of less than 1 metre from neighbouring garden amenities is not only contrary to building regulations but it is likely to have an adverse impact on the enjoyment of these outdoor spaces as this mechanical machinery is noisy. The sustainability report states that an ASHP is not considered appropriate for this property. The carbon emissions are inferior to that of an efficient condensing boiler for this application - so why is the design team pursing this option? Accordingly, I request that the application for installation of an ASHP requires further technical investigation of the impact on neighbours, clarification of inconsistencies in the report and examination of the most appropriate positioning of the ASHP to minimise impact on neighbours. In particular, I wish to object to the proposed location of the ASHP on the boundary of the property and away from the subject dwelling at 13A Pond thereby increasing the impact on neighbours for the benefit of 13A Pon

				Printed on: 24/05/2021 0	09:10:07
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2021/1293/P	Eleanor Engle	21/05/2021 23:10:14	OBJ	I write as one of the Directors of 33 Hampstead Hill Gardens,on behalf of the seven owner occupants of the flats in the House.	
				We are alarmed at the newly changed proposal to install an Air Source Heat Pump at the boundaries of our communal back garden at 33 Hampstead Hill Gardens, as well as the boundaries of 2 other gardens.	
				These pumps have a bad reputation for making a continuous mechanical noise, antisocial, since with one of the main amenities of having a private back garden in a crowded urban area is to provide quiet and tranquility. One has to ask Camden permission to reduce a tree, even minimally. Surely new noise nuisance should be carefully monitored by Camden too.	
				We are puzzled why the pump is not going to be installed, enclosed, on the roof of the house, where the noise it makes will not be heard. If it is placed near the boundary of our garden, the noise will be just as much an irritation to the new owner of 17A as to us. In other words, it is a bad solution for EVERYONE concerned, and needs re-thinking.	
				Yours sincerely	
				Eleanor Engle	