

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	Heidi Spencer	22/05/2021 11:12:10	OBJ	<p>Dear Sirs - considering that primrose hill is known for its beautiful views why would this be allowed at the edge of the park. Is there no way to put it at the end of Fitzroy road by the rail tracks or further away from the sight line of the park? I understand that this is a conservative area and simply should not be allowed. There must be a better location to tuck this away so that it does not ruin the perspective of the park and the beautifully painted houses that make our neighbourhood so special.</p> <p>As a loyal devoted tax payer I ask you to please consider alternate sites away from the park edge.</p>
2021/1813/P	Matthew Moreton	21/05/2021 11:06:43	OBJ	<p>I can't believe that a proposal to put an 18 metre high 5G mast will be positioned not only directly outside my bedroom window, but also in a conservation area directly on the park's perimeter. This is an unsightly thing and far too high to be positioned anywhere near the park's perimeter. You will even be able to see this ugly fixture from the viewpoint on top of the hill, spoiling one of London's most prized vistas. This thing should be positioned well away from park, either on waste land, (ideally near to the railway tracks and HS2 tunnels), or in a more industrial part of the area where this eyesore will look less out of place. I am honestly in shock that this location has even been suggested.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1813/P	John Cottrell	22/05/2021 12:17:30	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this proposal. A mast erected at this location, on the boundary between the Primrose Hill Conservation area and the Royal Park, would be very prominent, and harm views from much of the park and adjacent streets. The Camden Conservation Area statement specifically notes the view from Regent's Park Road towards Primrose Hill and the houses in Regent's Park Road from 38-70 even.</p> <p>The proposal is contrary to policies A2 (Open space), D1 (Design) and D2 (Heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Plan 2017. In particular:</p> <p>A2 c. resist development which would be detrimental to the setting of designated open spaces;</p> <p>A2 g. give strong protection to maintaining the openness and character of Metropolitan Open Land (MOL);</p> <p>7.29 The Council will also seek to protect locally important views that contribute to the interest and character of the borough. These include: views of and from large public parks and open spaces, such as ... Primrose Hill ... views into and from conservation areas ...</p> <p>7.46 ... The Council will therefore only grant planning permission for development in Camden's conservation areas that preserves or enhances the special character or appearance of the area.</p> <p>7.48 ... The Council will therefore not permit development in locations outside conservation areas that it considers would cause harm to the character, appearance or setting of such an area.</p> <p>The Planning Justification Statement submitted with the proposal is misleading:</p> <p>On page 5, it says "it is anticipated the adjacent trees will provide a significant level of screening" while on page 10 it contradicts this: "To operate efficiently, the radio antennas must be clear of obstructions such as buildings and trees".</p> <p>Figures 1 to 3 are highly deceptive, as they omit the top of the mast and the street level cabinets.</p> <p>Why no discussion of siting the antennae on the roof of a tall building or on the railway land?</p>