

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1543/P	Martine Garbacz	22/05/2021 18:16:33	OBJ	<p>We feel that the proposed building is far too big - both in height (2.8 m) and depth - for this very small garden. The fact that it would face the back of our house with big glass sliding doors at the front of it would have a considerable detrimental impact for us as it would allow users to see into our kitchen, dining room and sitting room, entailing a big loss of privacy, especially as the front of it would be only 8 ms away from the back wall of 85 Parkhill Road. It would also cause possible light and noise pollution, especially in the summer if it used with the doors open. It is also too close to our garden fence (within 1 m).</p> <p>The gardens of these houses are a precious green lung in this terrace and provide respite from the very busy road at the front. We feel a small garden such as this one should not be built on in such a drastic way for added residential use. There is a shed being used as a garden office in the back garden of 81 Parkhill Road but it is equivalent in surface area with the shed that was there before (it is in fact a smaller conversion of that shed) and as it is at the end of a long garden, it is not disruptive. This proposal is in a very different situation, much closer to the houses and in a much smaller garden.</p> <p>We think we could support this project if it was considerably scaled down and also if its orientation was changed so that the doors were smaller and opening North, not West, as they are in the plan at the moment. This would be less obtrusive as the opening would not be facing so close to the Parkhill Road houses and still be at a reasonable distance from the back of Garnett Road. It would impact less in terms of breach of privacy.</p>

---