

Dear Kristina,

We object to this application. The proposed structure would facilitate and encourage increased use of the terrace. What is proposed is more like a veranda than an awning, it would remain on a permanent basis

As you are already aware, residents remain deeply concerned about extension of hours of use of the terrace. Contrary to assertions made by the applicant, the increased use of the terrace during pandemic restrictions has caused noise and disturbance to neighbours.

The permitted closing hours were finally agreed because it was made clear that NO sound would emanate from the building due to the fact that windows could not be opened and all evening events would take place in the basement. This would certainly not be the case if the hours of use for the terrace were brought in line with closing hours that have been agreed for the main building. Houses on Eton Villas are Grade 2 listed, and therefore double glazing is not allowed. The bedrooms face the Synagogue at the same height as the terrace.

We consider that it would be premature for the current planning application to be determined. The pending application under the EMP, to extend the hours of use of the terrace, is at far too early a stage. The two applications are clearly inter-linked.

We note that your Pre-app Advice about the proposed structure included an Acoustic Report among the list of documents which should be submitted with the application; there is no such report. As you are aware, we are very dis-satisfied with the acoustic testing so far carried out in connection with the extension of hours. It simply does not meet the requirements specified in the EMP, i.e.

*" independent acoustic testing with Council Planning Officers and CWG representatives having been invited to attend the testing".*

We trust that now Covid restrictions are being relaxed, the acoustic testing will be re-run – and be in compliance with the EMP this time .

Regards,

Natalie Davidson