

NB. Levels quoted in metres Ordnance Newlyn Datum. The value -9999.00 indicates that no survey information is available

101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101

Manhole Reference	Manhole Cover Level	Manhole Invert Level
321C	n/a	n/a
221B	n/a	n/a
321E	n/a	n/a
221A	n/a	n/a
421A	n/a	n/a
24CA	n/a	n/a
24CE	n/a	n/a
34BC	n/a	n/a
34BB	n/a	n/a
3403	30.31	27.29
24CD	n/a	n/a
24CC	n/a	n/a
24CB	n/a	n/a
24CH	n/a	n/a
251A	n/a	n/a
2501	31.9	29.5
231B	n/a	n/a
231C	n/a	n/a
2301	n/a	n/a
1302	30.77	26.84
231A	n/a	n/a
2401	30.19	n/a
2402	29.91	26.52
1401	31.06	27.24
14BC	n/a	n/a
14BB	n/a	n/a
34BF	n/a	n/a
3402	29.85	26.86
14BA	n/a	n/a
2403	30.82	28.25
24BJ	n/a	n/a
24BI	n/a	n/a
111A	n/a	n/a
The position of the apparatus shown on this plan shown but their presence should be anticipated. No	is given without obligation and warranty, and the acc liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames	uracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not Water for any error or omission. The actual position
or mains and services must be verified and establisi	ied on site before any works are undertaken.	

and surface





PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, DX 151280 Slough 13 T 0845 070 9148 E searches@thameswater.co.uk | www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Property Searches,

## Sewer Fittings

A feature in a sewer that does not affect the flow in the pipe. Example: a vent is a fitting as the function of a vent is to release excess gas.

### Air Valve

Dam Chase

Fitting

Meter

Μ

Vent Column

0

# **Operational Controls**

A feature in a sewer that changes or diverts the flow in the sewer. Example: A hydrobrake limits the flow passing downstream.

- Control Valve
  - Drop Pipe ÷
    - (m)
- Ancillary Weir

## End Items

End symbols appear at the start or end of a sewer pipe. Examples: an Undefined End at the start of a sewer indicates that Thames Water has no knowledge of the position of the sewer upstream of that symbol, Outfall on a surface water sewer indicates that the pipe discharges into a stream or river.

Outfall シ

- Undefined End Ţ
- net 6
- 6) The text appearing alongside a sewer line indicates the internal diameter of the pipe in milimetres. Text next to a manhole indicates the manhole reference number and should not be taken as a measurement. If you are unsure about any text or symbology present on the plan, please contact a member of Property Insight on 0845 070 9148.

## Other Symbols

Symbols used on maps which do not fall under other general categories

- Public/Private Pumping Station
- Change of characteristic indicator (C.O.C.I.) \*
- Invert Level Ø
  - Summit  $\nabla$
- Areas

Lines denoting areas of underground surveys, etc.

Agreement

|

- Operational Site
  - - Chamber Tunnel
- Conduit Bridge

# Other Sewer Types (Not Operated or Maintained by Thames Water)





Walsh Associates Ltd 32 Lafone Street London SW1 2LX  DS reference number DS6079781
 developer.services@thameswater.co.uk
 0800 009 3921 Mon-Fri 8am-5pm
 03 March 2021

### We've accepted your design

Dear Mr Wyatt,

RE: Sewer Diversion under Section 104/116 of the Water Industry Act 1991

### Site address: Camden Goods Yard, Chalk Farm Road, London, NW1 8AA.

Thank you for your diversion application for the above site. As the foul sewer and surface sewer you propose to divert is of a non-strategic nature, we would process your original S185 Sewer Diversion application under a Section 104/116 diversion Agreement. This will allow your own suitably qualified contractor, whose operatives are qualified for working in confined spaces, to undertake the diversion works which will be inspected by Thames Water and subject to a legal Agreement. Diversion of a sewer under Section 104/116 requires Thames Water to agree to adopt the new sewer in advance of construction (S104), and divest/abandon as a public sewer the old section (S116).

We're happy to confirm that we can accept your proposals in principle, as per drawing CGY00-WAL-XXX-ZZ-DR-CV-3010 Rev T02 subject to completion of a suitable Section 104/116 Agreement.

### Please note the above acceptance can't be used for the discharge of any drainage-related planning conditions.

### What to do next

Please fill out the enclosed legal pro-forma document carefully so that we can start preparing your agreement. Don't forget that any missing information could cause delays or add to your costs.

- Complete all sections marked 'Developer'
- Pay your inspection and project management fees of £12,275 this is for Thames Water engineers to inspect works periodically during construction, witness the sewer air tests, reviewing CCTV surveys & As Built drawings, to undertake maintenance inspections of all manholes and any associated remedials and the issuing of all appropriate legal certificates.
- Cash deposit for the amount of £245,500, Thames Water will require a cash deposit in lieu of the normal bond or surety.

We'll then instruct our solicitors to carry out the necessary land checks and prepare the Section 104/116 agreement for your site. The agreement needs to be signed by all parties before you can start work.

### Preparing your plans

Remember to include the following statement on all your plans: All adoptable drainage works to be constructed as detailed in the Code for Adoption Design and Construction Guidance and Thames Water's local practice.

Please don't show private drainage in colour on your proposed agreement plan.

### Starting work

Send the enclosed inspection request form to sewer.adoptions@thameswater.co.uk so that we can arrange any pre-start meetings or inspections as quickly as possible.

For the work itself, you can use any competent contractor of your choice as long as their workers are qualified to operate in confined spaces and have all relevant HSE requirements.

Please don't plant large trees/shrubs that have an overhanging canopy or moderate to high water demand within your sewer easement.

### Moving to maintenance

Before we can place your sewers onto a maintenance period, we'll need to:

- Undertake a satisfactory inspection of all the manholes and outfalls
- Receive satisfactory as-built drawings showing as-laid invert and cover levels of all manholes
- Confirm that at least 50% of the properties are occupied
- Receive a satisfactory CCTV survey of all adoptable sewers

If you're constructing sewers using thermoplastic pipework less than 400mm in diameter, please wait to carry out the CCTV survey at the <u>end</u> of the maintenance period to confirm that any high-pressure jetting hasn't damaged or deformed the pipework and the CCTV survey must be a light ring survey to measure the deflection or ovality within the pipes. For complex developments, we may also ask you to provide additional air testing to demonstrate compliance of your plastic pipework.

After we've issued a provisional certificate of completion and started your 12-month maintenance period, 80% value of the total cash deposit will be released.

### Adopting your sewer

After your 12-month maintenance period ends, we'll need to carry out a satisfactory inspection before we issue your final vesting certificate and refund the final 20% of the deposit to conclude the diversion.

If you need to discuss your development at any point during this process, please call or email us using the details at the top of this letter, quoting your DS application reference number.

Yours sincerely

Alan Dovey

Adoptions Engineer

**Enclosed with this letter:** Legal instruction proforma Inspection form Construction guide



Mr Yu Wu Aecom Aldgate Tower 2 Leman Street London E1 8FA



04 May 2020

### **Pre-planning enquiry: Confirmation of sufficient capacity**

Dear Mr Wu,

Thank you for providing information on your development:

Camden goods yard (Morrisons Supermarket), Chalk Farm Road, London, NW1 8AA.

Existing: Morrisons Supermarket (7240sqm) and car parking space (11351sqm).

Proposed: 700 residential units, Morrisons supermarket (3800sqm), and undercroft car parking (4562sqm and 4943sqm). Foul water discharging by gravity. 350 units to discharge to the combined water manhole 511A and 350 units and supermarket discharging to combined water manhole 421A. Surface water to discharge by gravity at greenfield rates (1Y=9.4I/s, 30Y=25I/s, 100Y=35.1I/s) 50:50 split tp manholes 511A and 421A.

We have completed the assessment of the foul water flows and surface water run-off based on the information submitted in your application with the purpose of assessing sewerage capacity within the existing Thames Water sewer network.

### Foul Water

If your proposals progress in line with the details you've provided, we're pleased to confirm that there will be sufficient sewerage capacity in the adjacent combined sewer network to serve your development.

This confirmation is valid for 12 months or for the life of any planning approval that this information is used to support, to a maximum of three years.

You'll need to keep us informed of any changes to your design – for example, an increase in the number or density of homes. Such changes could mean there is no longer sufficient capacity.

### **Surface Water**

Please note that discharging surface water to the public sewer network should only be considered after all other methods of disposal have been investigated and proven to not be viable. In accordance with the Building Act 2000 Clause H3.3, positive connection to a public sewer will only be consented when it can be demonstrated that the hierarchy of disposal methods have been examined and proven to be impracticable. The disposal hierarchy being: 1st Soakaways; 2nd Watercourses; 3rd Sewers.

Only when it can be proven that soakage into the ground or a connection into an adjacent watercourse is not possible would we consider a restricted discharge into the public combined sewer network.

If the peak surface water run-off discharge is then restricted to Greenfield run-off rates as your drainage strategy indicates, then we would have no objections to the proposals.

Thames Water Planning team would ask to see why it is not practicable on the site to restrict to Greenfield run-off rates if they are consulted as part of any planning application.

In considering your surface water needs, we support the use of sustainable drainage on development sites. You'll need to show the local authority and/or lead local flood authority how you've taken into account the surface water hierarchy that we've included.

Please see the attached 'Planning your wastewater' leaflet for additional information.

### What happens next?

Please make sure you submit your connection application, giving us at least 21 days' notice of the date you wish to make your new connection/s.

If you've any further questions, please contact me on 0203 577 9811

Yours sincerely

Siva Rajaratnam – Adoptions Engineer

Thames Water

Drainage Strategy (Detailed Part)

Ref: \\192.168.1.176\walsh\Projects\5359\Documents\Reports\Civils\001 Drainage Strategy\CGY00-WAL-ZZZ-ZZ-RP-CV-3001-Drainage Strategy P02.docx





### Appendix F **Aecom FRA**



### Camden Goods Yard

Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy

Safeway Stores Limited and BDW Trading Limited

Project Reference: 60493836

June 2017

### Quality information

### Prepared by

Ameen Nazir Graduate Engineer

### Checked by

Malcolm Crowther Principal Engineer

Approved by

Barry Pegg Technical Director

### **Revision History**

Revision	Revision date	Details	Authorized	Name	Position
1	2 June 2017	Draft for comment	MC	Barry Pegg	Technical Director
2	23 June 2017	2 <sup>nd</sup> Draft	MC	Barry Pegg	Technical Director
3	27 June 2017	3 <sup>rd</sup> Draft	MC	Barry Pegg	Technical Director
4	29 June 2017	4 <sup>th</sup> Draft	MC	Barry Pegg	Technical Director
5	29 June 2017	5 <sup>th</sup> Draft	MC	Barry Pegg	Technical Director
6	5 October 2017	Amended following Consultee Comments	MC	Barry Pegg	Technical Director

### Prepared for:

Safeway Stores Limited and BDW Trading Limited

### Prepared by:

Ameen Nazir Graduate Engineer T: 0207 963 9919 E: ameen.nazir@aecom.com

AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited St. George's House 5 St. George's Road London SW19 4DR UK

T: +44 (207) 963 9800 aecom.com

© 2017 AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited. All Rights Reserved.

This document has been prepared by AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited ("AECOM") for sole use of our client (the "Client") in accordance with generally accepted consultancy principles, the budget for fees and the terms of reference agreed between AECOM and the Client. Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein has not been checked or verified by AECOM, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document. No third party may rely upon this document without the prior and express written agreement of AECOM.

### **Table of Contents**

1.	Introduction					
	1.1	Study Aims and Objectives	6			
	1.2	Scope of Works	6			
	1.3	Data Collected	7			
2.	2. Site Description					
	2.1	Proposed Development	7			
	2.2	Site Location	7			
	2.3	Site Topography	9			
	2.4	Site Geology and Hydrogeology	9			
	2.5	Site Hydrology	9			
3. Plann		ing Policy	10			
	3.1	1 National Policies 1				
	3.2	Regional Policies				
	3.3	Local Policies	14			
4.	Existir	Existing Flood Risk				
	4.1	Fluvial and Tidal Flooding	16			
	4.2	Surface Water Flooding	16			
	4.3	Sewer Flooding	17			
	4.4	Groundwater Flooding	18			
	4.5	Flooding from Artificial Sources	18			
	4.6	Summary of Existing Flood Risk	18			
5. Future Flood Risk		19				
	5.1	Fluvial and Tidal Flooding	19			
	5.2	Surface Water Flooding	19			
	5.3	Sewer Flooding	20			
	5.4	Groundwater Flooding	20			
	5.5	Flooding from Artificial Sources	21			
	5.6	Summary of Future Flood Risk	21			
6. Drainage Strategy		age Strategy	21			
	6.1	Existing Drainage	21			
	6.2	Proposed Drainage Strategy	22			
	6.3	Drainage Strategy Summary	25			
7.	Concl	usions	26			
Apper	ndix A E	xisting Site Plan	27			
Apper	ndix B E	Borehole Plan And Results	28			
Apper	ndix C (	DA and LFRZ Map	29			
Apper	ndix D F	Proposed Development Plan	30			
Apper	ndix E T	WUL Asset Plan	31			
Apper	ndix F S	ewer Flooding Map	32			
Apper	ndix G (	Groundwater Flooding Map	33			
Apper	ndix H N	Aaintenance Regime	34			
Apper	ndix I P	roposed Drainage Plans	35			
Apper	ndix J S	urface Water Attenuation Calculations	36			
Apper	ndix K I	ondon Borough of Camden SuDS Proforma	37			
Appendix K London Borough of Camaen SuDS Proforma						

### 1. Introduction

AECOM Infrastructure & Environmental Limited (AECOM) was commissioned by Safeway Stores Ltd. and BDW Trading Limited ('the Applicant') to carry out a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and Drainage Strategy Report pertaining to the comprehensive redevelopment of the Morrisons supermarket and car park (MS parcel) and Petrol Filling Station (PFS parcel) (collectively known as 'the 'application site') situated off Chalk Farm Road in the London Borough of Camden (LBC).

The Applicant is seeking detailed planning permission for a residential led, mixed use development across the application site (hereafter referred to as the 'proposed development').

The overall proposed development would comprise residential units, a supermarket, petrol filling station, business & retail uses and associated landscaping and public realm improvements.

The quantum of proposed land uses is as follows:

### MS parcel

- 573 residential units (ranging from studio to 4-bed units within private, affordable and accessible tenures) including 20 wheelchair accessible residential parking bays;
- 4,867 m<sup>2</sup> gross internal area (GEA) of office (B1) floorspace;
- 767 m<sup>2</sup> GEA workshop (B1c) floorspace;
- 565 m<sup>2</sup> GEA affordable workspace (B1c) floorspace;
- 19,963 m<sup>2</sup> GEA supermarket (A1) floorspace, including 300 parking bays and servicing space;
- 787 m<sup>2</sup> GEA retail (A1 and A3) floorspace;
- 86 m<sup>2</sup> GEA community centre (D2) floorspace;
- 1,298 m<sup>2</sup> GEA urban farm (sui generis) floorspace;
- 755 m<sup>2</sup> GEA of ancillary residential floorspace (gymnasium, concierge, community room, plant and parking); and
- 1,084 cycle parking bays.

### PFS parcel

- 1,118 m<sup>2</sup> GEA petrol filling station (sui generis) floorspace;
- 1,627 m<sup>2</sup> GEA retail (A1, A3 and A4) floorspace inclusive of petrol filling station kiosk;
- 8,114 m<sup>2</sup> GEA office (B1) floorspace; and
- 329 m<sup>2</sup> GEA winter garden (sui generis) floorspace.

The application site covers an area of 3.26 hectares (ha) and is located in Flood Zone 1 and therefore has a low probability (less than 1 in 1000 year) of fluvial or tidal flooding.

As the application site is greater than 1 ha, a site specific FRA is required in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2012<sup>1</sup> and accompanying Technical Guidance<sup>2</sup>.

The FRA must assess all aspects of flood risk both to the proposed development itself and also in relation to the potential effect on people and property elsewhere within the surrounding catchment.

The proposed drainage strategy must assess the existing and proposed drainage at the application site and meet the requirements for surface run-off defined by the relevant legislation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Planning Policy Framework, Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Technical Guidance to the NPPF, Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), 2012

### 1.1 Study Aims and Objectives

The overall objective of this study was to carry out a FRA and produce a Drainage Strategy Report that meets the requirements of the NPPF. The study is required to assess all aspects of flood risk to the proposed development, the potential impacts of the proposed development on people and property elsewhere within the catchment area and to identify possible mitigation measures to ensure that the development is safe in the event of a flood.

The study also assess the existing drainage conditions, the potential impacts of the proposed development on the existing drainage conditions, and identify Sustainable Drainage (SuDS) methods.

To achieve these aims, the following key actions were undertaken:

- Review topographical and flood risk data to identify the existing flood risk posed to the site from all sources;
- Assess the residual flood risk post-development;
- Assess the safety of the route of access/egress from the site in the event of any flood event;
- Identify suitable mitigation measures to protect the development site against flooding;
- Identify the existing drainage conditions; and,
- Propose a drainage strategy which provides betterment to the existing drainage conditions.

### 1.2 Scope of Works

In order to meet the above objectives, the following scope of work and tasks were undertaken:

Task	Name	Description
1	Data Collection	AECOM collected relevant available information on the nature of flooding and drainage at the site. The Applicant and the Architect have provided information about the Proposed Development layout and design.
2	Identification of the Current and Post- Development Flood Risk and Drainage Strategy.	The flood risk at the Site was assessed from the data that was collected in Task 1. The assessment identified flood risk from all potential sources of flooding and considered the impact of climate change. The existing drainage on Site was evaluated and a strategy for the Proposed Development was created.
3	Assessment of Site Safety	AECOM considered whether flood resilience measures need to be undertaken, the safety of the route of access/egress from the site and the impacts of the drainage strategy.

### 1.3 Data Collected

Table 1 lists the data that has been collected as part of this assessment.

### Table 1: Collected Data

Purpose	Data and Source	Comments	
Identification of site location	Ordnance Survey Map	Identifies the position of the site and local hydrological features	
Identification of flood risk	Topographical Survey	Existing site levels and topography	
	Development details (Drawings for Existing and Proposed Buildings)	Information on the layout of the Proposed Development	
	Environment Agency Flood Maps	Risk of flood from tidal, fluvial and surface water sources	
	London Borough of Camden, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA), 2014 <sup>3</sup> London Borough of Camden, Flood Risk Management Strategy (FRMS), 2013 <sup>4</sup>	Reports that identify existing flood risk information within the borough and considerations for development.	
	Sirius Geotechnical and Environmental, Geoenvironmental Appraisal, June 2010 <sup>5</sup> Ramboll Environ, Preliminary Risk Assessment, November 2016 <sup>6</sup>	Site specific data including ground investigation	
Identification of existing drainage network	Thames Water Asset Plan	Asset plans identify public sewers nearest to the site.	
	The Survey Association, Topographical Survey, 2015 Tower Surveys, Topographical and Drainage Survey, 2010	Existing site levels and drainage network	

### 2. Site Description

### 2.1 Proposed Development

The proposed development comprises of the demolition of the existing building structures on both the MS and PFS parcel which are to be replaced with a residential led development (573residential units), mixed use scheme which includes a supermarket, petrol filling station, business and retail uses, part basement carpark (approximately providing 300 parking spaces) and associated landscaping and public realm improvements. A plan of the proposed development is included in Appendix D.

### 2.2 Site Location

The application site is located adjacent to Chalk Farm Road in the LBC and is formed of two interconnected parcels of land. . Both parcels are predominantly rectangular in shape and have an approximate total size of 3.26ha. The application site has an approximate National Grid Reference (NGR) of 528469E and 184160N.

The application site is spatially separated by an elevated railway track but connected via an access road which runs underneath the railway line. The northern parcel of the application site, which lies at a lower topography, is occupied by a Morrison's Petrol Filling Station (PFS) with easy access for vehicles and pedestrians.

The southern parcel of the application site is currently occupied by a large Morrisons supermarket (MS parcel) and servicing car park, with postcode NW1 8AA, with only one road access point to the north and three pedestrian access points.

The application site is situated on a raised platform that is at the same topographic level as the surrounding railway lines and Gilbeys Yard (see Appendix A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> London Borough of Camden, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> London Borough of Camden, Flood Risk Management Strategy, 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Sirius Geotechnical and Environmental Ltd., Geoenvironmental Appraisal, 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Morrisons Site Chalk Farm, Ground Contamination Interpretive Report, Ramboll Environ, 2016

Access to the application site is via Juniper Crescent, off Chalk Farm Road. This road passes underneath the railway line to the north east of the application site, under a bridge known as Southampton Bridge. The road then rises by approximately 6 meters (m) to reach the platform on which the proposed development would sit.



The site is bound to the north-east and south-east by railway lines servicing London Euston to the east. Juniper Crescent, the access road serving the site, runs along the northwest boundary. Finally, the rear gardens of the houses on Gilbeys Yard and the Interchange building are located along the south-east boundary.

In addition, the eastern corner of the site abuts the Horse Tunnel Market. This section of the market is below ground level in this area and the MS parcel's car park extends over the roof of the market in this area.

Direction	Summary		
North East	Railway lines on the Camden Road Station branch, sitting on top off the vaults forming part of the Stables Market.		
East Horse Tunnel Market (below ground).			
South East	Rear gardens to houses on Gilbeys Yard, plus the Interchange Building.		
South West	Main line railway lines into London Euston Station, together wind vaults under the railway lines at the southern end of this boundary.		
North West	Juniper Crescent, with residential development beyond		

### 2.3 Site Topography

The MS parcel is relatively flat with no clearly visible dips or hills. Elevations across the MS parcel ranges from approximately 32.82m to 34.21m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD) with a slope trending from the high point in the north-west to the low point in the south-east. The Juniper Crescent access road has an approximate elevation of 32.89m near the roundabout which falls down to approximately 27.15m near the railway overpass. This gives an approximate fall of 6m between the MS Parcel and the PFS.

The PFS parcel is relatively flat with no clearly visible dips or hills. Elevations range from 27.33m to 28.24m AOD with small gradient falling from the north-west to the south-east.

### 2.4 Site Geology and Hydrogeology

A Geoenvironmental Appraisal was undertaken in 2010 by Sirius Geotechnical and Environmental. A further investigation was undertaken by Ramboll Environ in 2016.

According to the Geoenvironmental Appraisal the application site lies above a layer of made ground with approximate depths of 1.1m - 8.1m below ground level (bgl). A layer of the London Clay formation was found under the layer of made ground with low to medium strength. This is confirmed by the Ramboll investigation which tested down to a maximum depth of 7m bgl and identified the made ground to be between 3m - 7m in thickness.

Sirius Geotechnical and Environmental supervised an intrusive ground investigation of the MS parcel for Wm Morrisons Supermarket Plc in 2010 and presented their results in the Geoenvironmental Appraisal. As part of the investigation, exploratory boreholes BH1, BH2 and BH3 where used to provide geotechnical parameters of the deeper soils. The locations and findings of these boreholes are presented in Appendix B.

The surface layer encountered during the intrusive investigation consisted of either hardstanding in the form of tarmac or concrete paving slabs to a maximum thickness of 0.4m. Underneath this surface layer, the remaining made ground consisted of a mixture of granular bricks, concrete, flint and slightly sandy slightly gravelly clay at depths of between 1.1m - 2.4m bgl at BH1 and between 6.75m - 8.1m bgl at BH2 and BH3.

Underlying this layer of made ground, the London Clay Formation was encountered of thickness greater than 35m. The London Clay consisted predominantly of stiff sandy clay with occasional gravel or flint. The London Clay formation is classed as a non-aquifer and as unproductive strata with low permeability. This layer is regarded as containing negligible amounts of groundwater.

These findings are confirmed by the borehole data provided by the British Geological Survey's (BGS) geology of Britain viewer<sup>7</sup>. The nearest BGS borehole is located south-west of the site near the railways. The borehole data indicates made ground with an approximate thickness of 5.5m bgl with underlying London Clay of approximate thickness 45m.

Reported in both the Geotechnical Appraisal and Ramboll investigation, groundwater was encountered at various depths with perched groundwater found at depths of 3m - 5m bgl rising to a maximum elevation of 2.7m bgl 20 minutes after being struck. Groundwater was also found at depths of 22.5m - 23.6m in the London Clay Formation.

The application site is not located in a groundwater Source Protection Zone (SPZ); with the closest being a SPZ 2 located approximately 400m south-west of the Site. SPZs typically are centred on boreholes which abstract groundwater for potable uses.

### 2.5 Site Hydrology

The closest water course to the application site is the Grand Union Canal (Regent's Canal) which is located approximately 125m to the south and runs in an east-west direction. The River Thames is located approximately 4.2km south-east of the application site at its closest proximity.

Prepared for: Safeway Stores Limited and BDW Trading Limited J:\Wimbledon-Jobs\Barratt London Aldgate Office\60493836 Morrisons Camden\Dms\Reports\Uk11-23069\_1\_Fra\_Rev 6.Docx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> British Geological Survey, Geology of Britain Viewer (Source: http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html?)

The LBC Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) indicates that the application site lies within a Critical Drainage Area (CDA) and adjacent to the Local Flood Risk Zone (LFRZ) of Primrose Hill, which are shown in Appendix C.

CDAs are defined as "discrete geographic areas where multiple and interlinked courses of flood risk cause flooding in one or more Local Flood Risk Zones (LFRZ) during severe weather". A LFRZ is defined as "a discrete area of flooding that does not exceed the national criteria for a 'Flood Risk Area' but still affects property".

### 3. Planning Policy

### 3.1 National Policies

### 3.1.1 National Planning Policy Framework and accompanying Technical Guidance

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out what needs to be taken into account by developers to assess whether a proposed development is likely to be at risk of flooding or has the potential to increase flood risk elsewhere.

The overall objective of the policy is to reduce flood risk through development opportunities. The policy aims to ensure that flood risk has been taken into account and appropriate measures put in place to ensure that:

- The development is safe;
- Where possible, the flood risk overall is reduced;
- Increased flood risk does not occur elsewhere; and
- Appropriate mitigation measures are employed to deal with these effects and risks.

Paragraph 103 states that:

"A site-specific flood risk assessment is required for proposals of 1 hectare or greater in Flood Zone 1; all proposals for new development (including minor development and change of use) in Flood Zones 2 and 3, or in an area within Flood Zone 1 which has critical drainage problems (as notified to the local planning authority by the Environment Agency); and where proposed development or a change of use to a more vulnerable class may be subject to other sources of flooding."

The EA Flood Map shows the Proposed Development to be located within Flood Zone 1. As the site is larger than 1 hectare in area, a FRA is required to demonstrate that the Proposed Development is safe and that it will not increase flood risk in the surrounding area.

The NPPF considers the vulnerability of different forms of development to flooding; in this case, the Proposed Development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. Being in Flood Zone 1; under NPPF guidelines the Proposed Development is considered appropriate.

The Technical Guidance to the NPPF states:

"For development proposals on sites comprising one hectare or above the vulnerability to flooding from other sources as well as from river and sea flooding, and the potential to increase flood risk elsewhere through the addition of hard surfaces and the effect of the new development on surface water run-off, should be incorporated in a flood risk assessment. This need only be brief unless the factors above or other local considerations require particular attention."

"In this zone, developers and local authorities should seek opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area and beyond through the layout and form of the development, and the appropriate application of sustainable drainage systems."

### 3.1.2 Climate Change

The "Flood risk Assessments: Climate Change Allowances Guidance<sup>8</sup>" published in February 2016 by the EA indicates that climate change is currently expected to result in increased peak rainfall and rising sea levels. Table 3 shows anticipated changes in extreme rainfall intensity in small and urban catchments within England. One hundred years is an appropriate design life for residential developments which corresponds to the year 2115 in the Table below.

### Table 3: Peak Rainfall Intensity Allowance in Small and Urban Catchments.

Applies across all of England	Total potential change anticipated for the '2020s' (2015 to 2039)	Total potential change anticipated for the '2050s' (2040 to 2069)	Total potential change anticipated for the '2080s' (2070 to 2115)
Upper end	10%	20%	40%
Central	5%	10%	20%

### 3.2 Regional Policies

### 3.2.1 The London Plan

The London Plan is a strategic plan for London which sets out an integrated economic, environmental, transport and social framework for developments over the next 20-25 years<sup>9</sup>."

The London Plan, 2016<sup>1011</sup> contains the following relevant policies to flood risk:

### 3.2.1.1 Policy 5.11 – Green Roofs and Development Site Environs

### **Planning Decision**

"A Major development proposals should be designed to include roof, wall and site planting, especially green roofs and walls where feasible, to deliver as many of the following objectives as possible:

- a. Adaptation to climate change (i.e. aiding cooling);
- b. Sustainable urban drainage;
- c. Mitigation of climate change (i.e. aiding energy efficiency);
- d. Enhancement of biodiversity;
- e. Accessible roof space;
- f. Improvements to appearance and resilience of the building;
- g. Growing food."
- 3.2.1.2 Policy 5.12 Flood Risk Management

### Strategic

A. "The Mayor will work with all relevant agencies including the Environment Agency to address current and future flood issues and minimise risks in a sustainable and cost effective way"

Prepared for: Safeway Stores Limited and BDW Trading Limited J:\Wimbledon-Jobs\Barratt London Aldgate Office\60493836 Morrisons Camden\Dms\Reports\Uk11-23069\_1\_Fra\_Rev 6.Docx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances Guidance, 2016 (Source: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-riskassessments-climate-change-allowances)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> What is the London Plan (Source: https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/planning/london-plan/current-london-plan/londonplan-overview-and-introduction)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup> The London Plan: Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London, Greater London Authority (GLA), 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The London Plan: The Spatial Development Strategy for London Consolidated with Alternation since 2011, Greater London Authority (GLA), 2016

### **Planning Decision**

- B. "Development proposals must comply with the flood risk assessment and management requirements set out in the NPPF and the associated Technical Guidance on Flood Risk over the lifetime of the development and have regards to the measures proposed in the Thames Estuary 2100 and Catchment Flood Management Plans."
- C. "Developments which are required to pass the Exceptions Test set out in the NPPF and the Technical Guidance will need to address flood resilient design and emergency planning by demonstrating that:
  - a. The development will remain safe and operational under flood conditions
  - b. A strategy of either safe evacuation and/or safely remaining in the building is followed under flood conditions
  - Key services including electricity, water etc. will continue to be provided under flood conditions
  - d. Buildings are designed for quick recovery following a flood."
- D. "Development adjacent to flood defences will be required to protect the integrity of existing flood defences and wherever possible should aim to be set back from the banks of watercourses and those defences to allow their management, maintenance and upgrading to be undertaken in a sustainable and cost effective way."

### 3.2.1.3 Policy 5.13 - Sustainable Drainage

"Development should utilise SuDS [Sustainable Drainage Systems] unless there are practical reasons for not doing so and should aim to achieve Greenfield run-off rates and ensure that surface water runoff is managed as close to its source as possible in line with the following drainage hierarchy:

- Store rainwater for later use;
- Use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas;
- Attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release;
- Attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release;
- Discharge rainwater direct to a watercourse;
- Discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain; and
- Discharge rainwater to the combined sewer."
- 3.2.1.4 Policy 5.14 Water Quality and Wastewater Infrastructure

### Strategic

- A. "The Mayor will work in partnership with the boroughs, appropriate agencies within London and adjoining local planning authorities to:
  - a. Ensure that London has adequate and appropriate wastewater infrastructure to meet the requirements placed upon it by population growth and climate change
  - b. Protect and improve water quality having regard to the Thames River Basin Management Plan."

### Planning Decisions

B. "Development proposals must ensure that adequate wastewater infrastructure capacity is available in tandem with development. Proposals that would benefit water quality, the delivery of the policies in this Plan and of the Thames River Basin Management Plan should be supported while those with adverse impacts should be refused. C. Development proposals to upgrade London's sewage (including sludge) treatment capacity should be supported provided they utilise best available techniques and energy capture.

The development of the Thames Tideway Sewer Tunnels to address London's combined sewer overflows should be supported in principle".

### 3.2.2 The Mayor's Water Strategy

The Mayor's Water Strategy, 2011<sup>12</sup> details ways in which present water resources could be used more effectively in order to tackle problems such as water supply, wastewater generation and flood risk across London. 'Actions' of relevance to water resource and flood risk issues are:

- Action 5 aims to make properties more water efficient. The strategy aims to raise awareness of efficient commercial (non-domestic) water use and encourages commercial users to set internal targets and best practice benchmarks for water use reduction. Thames Water estimates that, overall, commercial demand will grow by 8% over the next 25 years. The policy recognises that a significant proportion of commercial water use is from the services sector (for example 16% from hotels, bars and restaurants) and it therefore holds significant potential to save water. A number of water saving technologies are outlined, such as the replacement of urinals with waterless varieties; and
- Action 18 encourages the use of green roofs, rainwater harvesting, grey water recycling and sustainable drainage to relieve pressure on drainage systems, thereby reducing flood risk and water demand.

### 3.2.3 Thames Catchment Flood Management Plan

The Thames Catchment Flood Management Plan (CFMP), 2009<sup>13</sup> provides an overview of the flood risk across the Thames catchment and provides relevant policies regarding flood management. As identified within the CFMP, the application site is located in Sub-area 9 'London catchments'. To be consistent with the visions of the CFMP, the proposed actions and policies for this sub-area relevant to the proposed development are:

- "We will continue to make sure the recommendations in Strategic Flood Risk Assessments and Local Development Framework policies create the potential to reduce flood risk through regeneration.
- We will play our part in adopting a strategic approach to planning so that wider community objectives as well as flood risk objectives can be met.
- We will develop our emergency response planning to deal with extreme floods, including raising public awareness and working with key partners to identify critical infrastructure at flood risk.
- We want to continue to maintain the existing flood defences and when redevelopment takes place, replace and improve them so that they are more effective against the impacts of climate change. We will be looking to remove culverts and other structures that cause significant conveyance problems. An example of this is our work in the Ravensbourne catchment.
- With our partners, we will look for opportunities to reduce flood risk by recreating river corridors in urban areas. We will influence people who shape the urban environment and harness these opportunities, allowing space for water, habitat, wildlife and recreation."

### 3.2.4 Sustainable Design and Construction, Supplementary Planning Guidance

The Greater London Authority has produced the Sustainable Design and Construction, Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG), 2014<sup>14</sup> which offers recommendations to developers and sets expectations to incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) as a means to reduce flood risk and mitigate increases in surface water run-off.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Securing London's Water Future - The Mayor's Water Strategy, Greater London Authority (GLA), 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Thames Catchment Management Plan, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 2009

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Supplementary Planning Guidance – Sustainable Design and Construction, Greater London Authority (GLA), 2014

The following clauses provide relevant information regarding flooding and surface water:

### Clause 3.4.2

"It is important to incorporate sustainable drainage in all developments to prevent the increasing volume of surface water runoff during heavy rainfall. Surface water flooding is the most likely form of flooding that development may be exposed to. Surface water flooding is likely to increase due to the anticipated increased intensity in rainfall events as well as the continuing urbanisation of London. For small developments, including those that do not require planning permissions, simple measures can include draining impervious surfaces to a landscaped area of the garden or to a soak away or installing a water butt to collect water from an existing or new impervious roof. It is essential to consider how SuDS measures will be incorporated at the initial design stage, especially when the National Standards for SuDS are introduced."

### Clause 3.4.12

"The capture and storage of rainwater for later use is always the priority in order to also meet the objective of making efficient use of water resources. Where there are no opportunities to collect and reuse rainwater, the site, where practical should drain to the ground to recharge groundwater resources. Where infiltration is not possible, surface water should be stored onsite in open water features such as ponds and wetlands and then released at a controlled rate. The final option is to store surface water in tanks or cellular storage before it is released at a controlled rate. This is the least preferable storage option as it does not provide wider sustainability benefits such as habitat provision or water quality improvements."

### Clause 3.4.13

"Development should utilise SuDS unless there are practical reasons for not doing so. The aspiration is to deliver SuDS schemes that provide multiple benefits, in addition to reducing flood risk. The most beneficial schemes will successfully contribute to the delivery of the Water Framework Directive by reducing water pollution and providing additional valuable habitat to improve the status of our water bodies. SuDS schemes should also aim to improve amenity, and therefore the quality of life of Londoners, as well as contribute to the wider goals relating to green infrastructure, biodiversity, water efficiency and recreation."

### Clause 3.4.18

"Drainage designs incorporating SuDS measures should include details of how each SuDS feature, and the scheme as a whole, will be managed and maintained throughout its lifetime. When published the National Standards for sustainable drainage systems should be followed with additional consideration given to the issues associated with the constrained nature and abundance of below ground services on London sites. These SuDS will be reviewed by, and require permission from SuDS Approval Bodies administered by the boroughs."

### 3.3 Local Policies

### 3.3.1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

LBC produced a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) in 2014 with the most up-to-date information regarding the risk the LBC faces from flood sources, including tidal and fluvial, surface water, sewer, groundwater and artificial.

According to the SFRA, the entirety of LBC is located within Flood Zone 1 which indicates the flood risk from tidal and fluvial sources is less than a 0.1%(1 in 1000) chance of occurring each year.

The SFRA states that for all proposed developments greater than 1 ha in size and located within Flood Zone 1, a site specific FRA is required to ensure that surface water generated by the proposed development is managed in a sustainable manner and does not increase the burden on the existing infrastructure and/or flood risk to neighbouring properties.

### 3.3.2 Camden Core Strategy

The LBC has produced a Core Strategy which helps define and plan the future of the borough by providing a vision and objective for developers as well as balancing the social and environmental needs of residents, businesses and future generations.

Policy 'CS13 – Tackling climate change through promoting higher environmental standards'<sup>15</sup> deals with 'Water and surface water flooding' and states:

"We will make Camden a water efficient borough and minimise the potential for surface water flooding by:

- 1. Protecting our existing drinking water and foul water infrastructure, including Barrow Hill Reservoir, Hampstead Heath Reservoir, Highgate Reservoir and Kidderpore Reservoir;
- 2. Making sure developments incorporate efficient water and foul water infrastructure;
- 3. Requiring development to avoid harm to the water environment, water quality or drainage system and prevent or mitigate local surface water and downstream flooding, especially in areas uphill from, and in, areas known to be at risk from surface water flooding such as South and West Hampstead, Gospel Oak and King's Cross."

### 3.3.3 Draft Camden Local Plan

The LBC have produced a draft Local Plan<sup>16</sup> which sets out the planning policies which will replace the current 2010 Core Strategy and Development Policies planning documents. The Local Plan is still a draft and is due to be adopted in the summer of 2017. The following policies within the plan are relevant to flood risk and drainage.

"Policy CC2 Adapting to climate change The Council will require development to be resilient to climate change. All development should adopt appropriate climate change adaptation measures such as:

- a. The protection of existing green spaces and promoting new appropriate green infrastructure;
- b. Not increasing, and wherever possible reducing, surface water runoff through increasing permeable surfaces and use of Sustainable Drainage Systems;
- c. Incorporating bio-diverse roofs, combination green and blue roofs and green walls where appropriate; and
- d. Measures to reduce the impact of urban and dwelling overheating, including application of the cooling hierarchy.

Any development involving 5 or more residential units or 500 sqm or more of any additional floorspace is required to demonstrate the above in a Sustainability Statement.

### Sustainable design and construction measures

The Council will promote and measure sustainable design and construction by:

- e. Ensuring development schemes demonstrate how adaptation measures and sustainable development principles have been incorporated into the design and proposed implementation;
- Encourage new build residential development to use the Home Quality Mark and Passivhaus design standards;
- g. Expecting developments (conversions/extensions) of 500 sqm of residential floorspace or above or five or more dwellings to achieve "excellent" in BREEAM domestic refurbishment; and
- h. Expecting non-domestic developments of 500 sqm of floorspace or above to achieve "excellent" in BREEAM assessments and encouraging zero carbon in new development from 2019."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Camden Core Strategy 2010-2025, Local Development Framework, 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Camden Local Plan, Submission Draft, 2016

### "Policy CC2 Water and flooding

The Council will seek to ensure that development does not increase flood risk and reduces the risk of flooding where possible.

We will require development to:

- a. Incorporate water efficiency measures;
- b. Avoid harm to the water environment and improve water quality;
- c. Consider the impact of development in areas at risk of flooding (including drainage);
- d. Incorporate flood resilient measures in areas prone to flooding;
- e. Utilise Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) in line with the drainage hierarchy, unless inappropriate, to achieve a greenfield run-off rate where feasible; and
- f. Not locate vulnerable development (such as basement dwellings) in flood-prone areas.

Where an assessment of flood risk is required, developments should consider surface water flooding in detail and groundwater flooding where applicable.

The Council will protect the borough's existing drinking water and foul water infrastructure, including the reservoirs at Barrow Hill, Hampstead Heath, Highgate and Kidderpore."

### 3.3.4 Draft Camden Goods Yard Planning Framework

The LBC has also produced a Draft Planning Framework, for the Camden Goods Yards, 2017<sup>17</sup>, which not only includes the existing Morrisons supermarket but also Gilbeys Yard, Juniper Crescent and the Network Rail land to the east of the application site. The draft planning framework is due to be adopted in the summer of 2017 and sets out the LBC council's visions and key objectives in this area with input from the public and local stakeholders.

It is stated in the draft report that new developments should use the SuDS hierarchy to try and achieve greenfield run-off rates and also to be designed to address the areas of flood risk identified in the LBC SFRA. Changes in level across the applications site should be designed to minimise the risk of increased surface water run-off.

It is also stated that the Council will support green infrastructure such as green roofs and drought resistant planting that mitigates flood risk, improves biodiversity and provides shade.

### 4. Existing Flood Risk

This section of the report identifies the potential sources of flooding at the application site.

### 4.1 Fluvial and Tidal Flooding

The SFRA states that the entirety of LBC is located within Flood Zone 1 which is defined as having an annual chance of flooding from tidal and fluvial sources as less than 0.1 % (1 in 1000).

The closest source of fluvial or tidal flooding to the application site is the River Thames, approximately 4.2km to the south of the application site, whose extents of flooding do not pose a threat to the application site.

According to the SFRA, the Environment Agency's (EA) Historic Flood Map shows no flooding has occurred in the LBC from fluvial or tidal sources. Therefore, the flood risk from fluvial and tidal sources to the application site is assessed as low.

### 4.2 Surface Water Flooding

Surface water flooding typically occurs after periods of intense rainfall that is not able to infiltrate to the ground or enter a drainage system which can result in localised flooding / pooling of rainwater.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Camden Goods Yard, Draft Planning Framework, 2017

Prepared for: Safeway Stores Limited and BDW Trading Limited J:\Wimbledon-Jobs\Barratt London Aldgate Office\60493836 Morrisons Camden\Dms\Reports\Uk11-23069\_1\_Fra\_Rev 6.Docx



### Figure 2. Map Showing Flood Risk from Surface Water

The EA flooding map (Figure 2) indicates the extents of potential surface water flooding at the application site as follows:

- High risk flooding as shown along Juniper Crescent access road and in the centre of the application site
  occurs as a result of rainfall with a greater than 1 in 30 chance in any given year (annual probability of
  flooding 3.3%);
- Medium risk flooding as shown along Juniper Crescent access road and to the south of the MS parcel
  occurs as a result of rainfall of between 1 in 100 (1%) and 1 in 30 (3.3%) chance in any given year; and
- Low risk flooding as shown in the centre of the MS parcel and PFS parcel occurs as a result of rainfall of between 1 in 1000 (0.1%) and 1 in 100 (1%) chance in any given year.

Figure 2 indicates a limited amount of surface water pooling in the existing MS parcel during medium and low risk events. The lower section of the access road underneath the overhead railway bridge floods during high risk (1 in 30 year) rainfall events.

The high risk areas of surface water flooding are linked to the highway underbridge and pooling affects both the access road to and areas on the PFS parcel.

The flood risk from surface water is assessed to be medium.

### 4.3 Sewer Flooding

The application site is currently served by combined sewers which run across the application site from the southwest and east which eventually merge and run below the access road towards Chalk Farm Road. This is a Thames Water Utilities Ltd (TWUL) sewer of ranging dimensions from 610 x 457mm to 1524 x 914mm. The TWUL Assets Plans are included in Appendix E.

The risk of sewer flooding is difficult to predict and is dependent on the localised conditions during storm events that that result in high intensity rainfall where sewer capacity is exceeded and when there are blockages within the sewer system. Sewer flooding can result in short term localised flooding.

The Water Services Regulation Authority (OFWAT) regulates water companies who operate sewerage systems in England and Wales. As part of the regulation process water companies report on specific aspects of their performance. One of these is the Director General of Water Services DG5 list which records internal and external flooding of property from sewers over the preceding decade.

The DG5 records, provided by Thames Water, shown in the LBC Surface Water Management Plan (SWMP)<sup>18</sup>, 2011, identify areas at the highest risk of sewer flooding. The records show a significant number of sewer flooding incidents in Camden Town and the Hampstead Area. The application sites postcode of NW1 8AA falls within an area at higher risk of sewer flooding with more than 51 recorded sewer flooding incidents and 190 individual properties affected within the NW1 8 area in the decade prior to 2011.

The DG5 External and Internal Sewer Flooding maps shown in Appendix F indicate that the application site does not have a recorded historic event of sewer flooding, either internally or externally.

Therefore the flood risk from sewers is assessed to be low.

### 4.4 Groundwater Flooding

Groundwater flooding typically occurs when the groundwater levels rise above the ground level due to prolonged rainfall events. Groundwater flooding mostly occurs in low lying areas with underlying permeable geology and the effects can be long lasting.

The application site strata consists of a layer of made ground (with no underlying superficial deposits) above the London Clay Formation which has low permeability and is typically associated with low risk of ground water flooding.

In the majority of groundwater flooding incidents, the soil strata is not uniform and may contain gravelly deposits which act as conduits for groundwater movement.

The SFRA indicates that there have been no historical groundwater flooding events near the application site. The Flood Risk Management Strategy (FRMS) states that the overall risk of groundwater flooding is low for the LBC. The groundwater flood risk map for the Borough from the FRMS is included as Appendix G.

The flooding risk from groundwater is assessed to be low.

### 4.5 Flooding from Artificial Sources

Artificial sources of flooding include raised channels such as canals, or storage features such as ponds and reservoirs. The closest artificial source to the application site is the Grand Union Canal (Regent's Canal) located approximately 50m to the south-east of the Site.

The water levels in the Regents Canal are controlled by the Canal and River Trust and for this reason they are considered to pose a minimal risk of flooding. The closest reservoirs to the application site are the interlinked ponds at Hampstead Heath approximately 2km to the north. No reservoir flood extents affect the application site.

Therefore the flood risk from artificial sources is assessed to be low.

### 4.6 Summary of Existing Flood Risk

The existing flood risk from all sources to the Proposed Development has been summarised in Table 4.

### Table 4: Summary of Existing Flood Risk to the Proposed Development

Type of Flooding	Source of Flooding	Existing Flood Risk
Tidal	River Thames	Low
Fluvial	Fluvial watercourses	Low
Surface water	Runoff from surrounding land	Medium
Sewers	Surrounding TWUL combined drainage systems	Low
Groundwater	Underlying geology and groundwater levels	Low
Reservoir	Grand Union Canal (Regent's Canal)	Low

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Surface Water Management Plan, London Borough of Camden, 2011

### 5. Future Flood Risk

This section of the FRA identifies the sources of flood risk both to and from the application site after the proposed development has been constructed and is operational.

The overall proposed development would comprise residential units, a supermarket, petrol filling station, business & retail uses and associated landscaping and public realm improvements.

The quantum of proposed land uses is as follows:

### MS parcel

- 573 residential units (ranging from studio to 4-bed units within private, affordable and accessible tenures) including 20 wheelchair accessible residential parking bays;
- 4,867 m<sup>2</sup> gross internal area (GEA) of office (B1) floorspace;
- 767 m<sup>2</sup> GEA workshop (B1c) floorspace;
- 565 m<sup>2</sup> GEA affordable workspace (B1c) floorspace;
- 19,963 m<sup>2</sup> GEA supermarket (A1) floorspace, including 300 parking bays and servicing space;
- 787 m<sup>2</sup> GEA retail (A1 and A3) floorspace;
- 86 m<sup>2</sup> GEA community centre (D2) floorspace;
- 1,298 m<sup>2</sup> GEA urban farm (sui generis) floorspace;
- 755 m<sup>2</sup> GEA of ancillary residential floorspace (gymnasium, concierge, community room, plant and parking); and
- 1,084 cycle parking bays.

### **PFS** parcel

- 1,118 m<sup>2</sup> GEA petrol filling station (sui generis) floorspace;
- 1,627 m<sup>2</sup> GEA retail (A1, A3 and A4) floorspace inclusive of petrol filling station kiosk;
- 8,114 m<sup>2</sup> GEA office (B1) floorspace; and
- 329 m<sup>2</sup> GEA winter garden (sui generis) floorspace.

### 5.1 Fluvial and Tidal Flooding

The application site is located within Flood Zone 1 as defined by the EA, which is equivalent to an annual flood risk from fluvial and tidal sources of less than 0.1% (1 in 1000).

The risk imposed by the proposed development will not affect the existing flood risk for fluvial and tidal sources in the surrounding area and therefore the flood risk from fluvial and tidal sources remains low.

### 5.2 Surface Water Flooding

In accordance with Policy 5.13 of the London Plan, development should provide betterment to potential flood risk deriving from surface water runoff by implementing the use of SuDS.

The Drainage Strategy (refer to Section 6 of this FRA) for the proposed development shows that surface water runoff from the application site would be restricted to the 3 times Greenfield runoff rate, providing betterment compared to the existing peak surface water runoff rate.

There would be a residual risk of surface water flooding at the application site if the surface water drainage network is not maintained correctly. The on-site drainage would be managed by a dedicated management company who will be responsible for maintaining all on-site services including drainage. To comply with the Flood

and Water Management Act 2011<sup>19</sup> any off-site drainage will be put forward for adoption by TWUL. A maintenance regime is presented in Appendix H.

Considering the appropriate surface water drainage measures to be implemented, the proposed development would provide betterment to the existing risk of flooding from surface water. The risk of surface water flooding once the proposed development is operational is assessed as low.

### 5.3 Sewer Flooding

Sewer flooding can occur as a result of blocked sewers or failed pumping stations. The Site is served by TWUL combined sewers for the discharge of both surface and foul water.

Although foul water production would increase once the proposed development is operational, this is compensated for by a greater reduction in the peak surface water run-off rate. Therefore there would be a significant reduction in the peak combined flow rate off the application site which offers a benefit to the receiving Thames Water combined sewers in the locality (including Juniper Crescent, Chalk Farm Road and within the application site itself).

It is recommended that the proposed development should utilise water efficient fixtures and fittings to reduce the effect on the TWUL combined sewer network by reducing the volume of discharged flow.

In the long-term, it is the responsibility of TWUL to extend and maintain the drainage network and sewage treatment works to cope with additional demand as a result of the proposed development. TWUL implements a five year development plan to maintain and enhance the sewer network and treatment process to incorporate any future development needs based upon the approved development plans presented by LBC.

Considering the appropriate drainage measures to be put in place, the risk of sewage flooding to the proposed development once operational is assessed to remain low.

### 5.4 Groundwater Flooding

Groundwater flooding occurs when groundwater levels rise above the ground level. The application site is underlain by made ground and the London Clay Formation which is classed as a non-aquifer and defined as unproductive strata with low permeability.

The site-specific ground investigation completed by Sirius Geological and Environmental states that the groundwater levels measured on the application site are approximately 20.8m bgl within the London Clay, with perched groundwater seepage measured at approximately 4.6m bgl within the made ground.

The 2016 Ramboll Environ Preliminary Risk Assessment established that groundwater was encountered at between 4.40m and 5.00m bgl within the Made Ground and between 22.50m and 23.60m bgl within the London Clay. Subsequent groundwater monitoring visits recorded groundwater levels of between 1.15m and 20.80m bgl.

The deep groundwater poses no future risk to the proposed development.

The Proposed Development would include basement levels 1 and 2, with the finished floor level of basement level 2 proposed to be +24m AOD, approximately 9m below existing ground level.

The existing Morrisons supermarket is at an elevation approximately 8m above the Regents Canal and 6m above the ground levels at the railway underbridge on Juniper Crescent. Therefore the shallow perched groundwater recorded on site is not in hydraulic connectivity with the wider catchment.

The proposed basement would be waterproofed to the appropriate standard specified within BS 8102:2009<sup>20</sup>. Any interaction between the proposed basement and the perched groundwater is assessed to be both minor and temporary resulting in a negligible effect.

Therefore, it is assessed that the proposed development would not affect the existing risk of groundwater flooding at the application site, which remains low.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Flood and Water Management Act 2010, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Ref 14. BS 8102:2009 Code of practice for protection of below ground structures against water from the ground

### 5.5 Flooding from Artificial Sources

The proposed development will not affect the existing flood risk from artificial sources which remains low due to the distance to the nearest recognised reservoir.

### 5.6 Summary of Future Flood Risk

Table 5 summarises the potential impact of the proposed development on the risk of flooding and indicates whether any mitigation is required.

### Table 5: Summary of Future Flood Risk.

Type of Flooding	Source of Flooding	Flood Risk as a Result of the Propose Development	Mitigation required?
Tidal	River Thames	None – located in Flood Zone 1	No
Fluvial	Fluvial watercourses	None – located in Flood Zone 1	No
Surface water	Runoff from the Site and surrounding land	Additional runoff due to climate change	Yes, surface water attenuation required
Sewers	TWUL combined drainage systems	Increase in flow to sewer system	Yes, peak combined flow is reduced. A maintenance regime for private sewers will be implemented
Groundwater	Underlying geology and groundwater levels	None	Basement waterproofing in accordance with BS 8102
Canal	Grand Union Canal (Regent's	None	No

This flood risk assessment identifies a low risk of flooding from all sources once the proposed development is complete and operational. This includes both the flood risk to other off site areas as a result of the proposed development as well as the risk to the completed development itself.

### 6. Drainage Strategy

### 6.1 Existing Drainage

The application site is served by a combined TWUL sewer network (refer to Appendix E for TWUL Asset Plan).

The TWUL Asset Plans show that a combined sewer with a diameter of 1143 x 762mm runs beneath the application site from the south-west, beneath the railway tracks, and onto the application site in a north-easterly direction which combines with lateral drains, before changing to a diameter of 1524 x 914mm.

A second TWUL combined sewer is located to the east of the application site which runs under the Gilgamesh restaurant and the railway track and has a diameter of 450mm. This sewer then continues to the west with a diameter of 1372 x 914mm and merges with the 1524 x 914mm sewer. This sewer also receives flow from a 610 x 457mm combined sewer which is believed to have formerly served the adjacent plot at Gilbeys Yard.

Once the two combined sewers have merged, the sewer then continues west beneath the access road and then runs north under Southampton Bridge to discharge to an 1855 x 1804mm combined sewer at Chalk Farm Road.

There are various manholes and existing connections along the run of TWUL sewers beneath the application site, as indicated by the numerous site drainage plans assessed.

### 6.1.1 Existing Surface Water Discharge

The proposed development has an approximate total area of 3.26ha. The existing application site consists mostly of an area of hardstanding with minimal areas of soft landscaping. Both of these areas contribute to the total amount of surface water run-off and are presented in Table 6.

Site	Area Type	Existing Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Runoff Coefficient	Equivalent Runoff Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
MS parcel	Impervious Roof & Hardstanding	24,502	0.95	23,277
	Green Roof	0	0.8	0
	Other Semi-Pervious Surfaces	0	0.8	0
	Soft Landscaping	2,722	0.25	681
	TOTAL	27,224	-	23,957
PFS parcel	Impervious Roof & Hardstanding	3,930	0.95	3,734
	Green Roof	0	0.8	0
	Other Semi-Pervious Surfaces	0	0.8	0
	Soft Landscaping	0	0.25	0
	TOTAL	3,930	-	3,734

### Table 6: Existing Equivalent Runoff Area

The current design standard set within the Building Regulations Part  $H^{21}$  is for no flooding during a 1 in 30 year rainfall event. This relates to a 50mm/hr rainfall event for the south-east of England, and approximately equates to 0.014l/s/m<sup>2</sup>.

For the MS parcel, with an equivalent runoff area of 23,957m<sup>2</sup>, the existing peak surface water run-off rate is approximately **335.4I/s**, and for the PFS Parcel, this equates to approximately **52.3I/s**. Therefore, the total existing approximate surface water run-off rate for the application site is **387.7I/s**.

### 6.1.2 Existing Foul Water Discharge

The application site is currently served by a TWUL combined sewer which predominantly runs underneath the carpark of the MS parcel to the north-east, where it then continues beneath the access road past the PFS parcel and onto Chalk Farm Road.

The existing Morrison supermarket has an area of approximately 7,225m<sup>2</sup> and the existing PFS store has an approximate footprint of 125m<sup>2</sup>. The CIRIA C657 Water Key Performance Indicators and Benchmarks for Offices and Hotels<sup>22</sup> provide a benchmark of 2.4l/m<sup>2</sup>/day of foul water that office/retail/commercial areas typically discharge. This value corresponds to a peak foul discharge rate of **0.9l/s** for the Morrison supermarket and **0.01l/s** for the PFS. This provides a total estimate of **0.9l/s** of existing foul discharge for the entire site.

### 6.2 Proposed Drainage Strategy

The proposed surface water drainage is based on the principles of integrated Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA) C753 SuDS<sup>23</sup> and source control methods.

### 6.2.1 Proposed Surface Water Drainage Strategy

The London Plan sets an ideal target to achieve Greenfield run-off rates. The Sustainable Design and Construction SPG to the London Plan indicates a minimum 'expectation' of a 50% reduction in the existing peak run-off rate. Following an assessment of the development proposals, it is proposed that the peak runoff rate for the proposed development will be limited to the 3 times Greenfield runoff rate, which provides notable betterment to the existing conditions on the application site.

The proposed drainage strategy will implement the use of SuDS to attenuate and reduce the surface water runoff through the use of underground attenuation tanks which have been determined as the most suitable SuDS for the

Prepared for: Safeway Stores Limited and BDW Trading Limited J:\Wimbledon-Jobs\Barratt London Aldgate Office\60493836 Morrisons Camden\Dms\Reports\Uk11-23069\_1\_Fra\_Rev 6.Docx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Approved Document Part H of the Building Regulations, Drainage and Waste Disposal, 2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Water Key Performance Indicators and Benchmarks for Offices and Hotels, CIRIA C657, 2006

<sup>23</sup> SUDS Manual C753, CIRIA, 2015

proposed development. There is a substantial amount of roofing space in the proposed development which will be utilised for green roofs that would provide a reduction in the peak rate of surface water runoff.

The proposed drainage strategy utilises the existing TWUL combined sewers which run beneath the application site. The 610 x 457mm sewer, which is believed to serve Gilbeys Yard, will be abandoned and replaced with a new sewer to serve Gilbeys Yard which will be located adjacent to the eastern Site boundary. The proposed drainage layout for both foul and surface water is included as Appendix I.

The drainage strategy for surface water has been completed in accordance with BS EN 752 (2008) 'Drain and Sewer Systems Outside Buildings'<sup>24</sup> and the NPPF which states that for surface water sewers:

- Drainage surcharge with no flooding for a 1 in 30 year rainfall event is permissible; and,
- Drainage can flood provided it does not flood any buildings or flow offsite for a 1 in 100 year rainfall event with a climate change factor.

The London Plan drainage hierarchy is assessed for the Proposed Development within Table 7.

### Table 7: Drainage Hierarchy Assessment for the Proposed Development

Rank	Discharge Type	Solution	Comments	
1 (most preferred)	Store rainwater for later use	Recommended	Reuse portions of stored rainwater, e.g. for irrigation	
2	Use infiltration techniques	Not Recommended	Due to underlying London Clay, infiltration is not viable	
3	Attenuate rainwater for gradual release	Recommended	No land footprint available for basins or ponds. Underground attenuations tanks recommended	
4	Discharge rainwater directly to a watercourse	Not Recommended	No watercourse within locality of the Site	
5	Discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain	Not Recommended	Surrounding sewers are all part of a combined network	
6 (least preferred)	Discharge rainwater to a combined sewer	Recommended	Discharge of flow to combined sewer on and adjacent to the Site	

Due the underlying London Clay and proposed basement, disposal of runoff via infiltration techniques is not a viable solution for the proposed development.

Discharging to a surface water sewer or to a watercourse is also not a viable option as there are no outfall locations within the vicinity of the application site.

The proposed surface water drainage strategy is to attenuate and limit surface water discharge rate by using underground attenuation tanks. There is no available space on-site for other attenuating features such as basins or ponds.

The Proposed Development aims to maximise the use of open green spaces on the application site for amenity and community uses. The use of permeable paving has been discounted due to maintenance concerns. Raised planters that are provided to terraces with resident access will be irrigated. Traditional green roofs provided with no resident access will not be irrigated with potable water (solely via rainfall).

The completed development site areas are detailed in Table 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> British Standard 'Drain and Sewer Systems Outside Buildings', BS EN 752: 2008

Site	Агеа Туре	Proposed Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Runoff Coefficient	Proposed Equivalent Runoff Area (m²)
MS parcel	Impervious Roof & Hardstanding	15,161	0.95	14403
	Green Roof	330	0.8	264
	Other Semi-Pervious Surfaces i.e. Roof Gardens, Amenity Spaces and Courtyards	6,231	0.8	4985
	Soft Landscaping	5,502	0.25	1376
	TOTAL	27,224	-	21027
PFS parcel	Impervious Roof & Hardstanding	3,211	0.95	3050
	Green Roof	596	0.8	477
	Other Semi-Pervious Surfaces i.e. Roof Gardens, Amenity Spaces and Courtyards	19	0.8	15
	Soft Landscaping	104	0.25	26
	TOTAL	3,930	-	3568

### **Table 8: Proposed Equivalent Runoff Area**

The Proposed Development will contribute a lower equivalent run-off area than the existing by approximately 9% and 4% for MS parcel and the PFS parcel respectively.

Applying the 3 times Greenfield runoff criteria, the surface water run-off rate will be limited to a maximum of **97.51/s** for the MS parcel and **14.11/s** for the PFS parcel which results in a total of **111.61/s** for the entire application site.

The reasons why Greenfield runoff rates have not been achieved are as follows:

- The amount of attenuation required to limit the surface water discharge to greenfield rates would limit the degree of development viable for the Site;
- For the MS parcel, the extent of the proposed basement limits the possible locations of underground attenuation tanks to the periphery of the application site;
- Green roofs have been maximised at 926m<sup>2</sup> with an additional 6,250m<sup>2</sup> of surfaces of similar permeability. Additional roof space is required for PV panels and the proposed chilli farm;
- The public realm appearance is to be that of an urban setting as discussed between the Landscape Architect and LBC; and
- For the PFS parcel, there is a lack of underground space available due to fuel tanks, pipework and other service ducts.

The volume of surface water attenuation required to limit the peak discharge to 3 times Greenfield rates is summarised in Table 9.

### **Table 9: Attenuation Volumes Required**

Return Period Event	Attenuation Required to limit to 3 times Greenfield rates (m <sup>3</sup> )		
	MS parcel	PFS parcel	
1 in 30 Year	347	63	
1 in 100 Year + Climate Change (Additional Storage)	497	60	
TOTAL	844	123	

The Proposed Drainage Plans included in Appendix I show that the surface water attenuation tanks would provide, as a minimum, the 1 in 100 Year + 40% Climate Change volumes quoted in Table 9. The attenuation calculations are included as Appendix J.

Flood water from exceedance events will remain on site with pooling upon the podium and within the kerbs of the estate roads.

The completed LBC SuDS Proforma is included in Appendix K of this report.

### 6.2.2 Proposed Foul Water Drainage Strategy

The foul water drainage strategy will adhere to the standards set in BS EN 752 (2008) 'Drainage and Sewer Systems Outside Buildings'.

The Proposed Development will consist of 573 mixed housing units as well as office, retail and communal areas.

Sewers for Adoption 7th Edition<sup>25</sup> indicates a peak foul water discharge rate of 4000l/day/unit for dwellings which, for the Proposed Development, would correspond to a peak design flow rate of **26.5l/s**.

The non-residential uses of the site include the following:

- 12,469m<sup>3</sup> retail (A1-A3) inclusive of 10,575m<sup>2</sup> for the Morrison supermarket;
- 5,147m<sup>2</sup> leisure (D1-D2); and
- 8,087m<sup>2</sup> business (B1) uses and workspace.

The CIRIA C657 Water Key Performance Indicators and Benchmarks for Offices and Hotels benchmark of 2.4I/m<sup>2</sup>/day. Applying an (average) 12 hour working day and a peak flow factor of 3, corresponds to a peak design flow rate of **4.3I/s** for the non-residential uses for the Proposed Development.

Therefore, the total proposed foul water to be discharged is initially estimated to be 30.81/s.

The existing foul water discharge from the Morrison supermarket equates to **0.9I/s**. This figure derives from an existing supermarket plan area of 7,225m<sup>2</sup>, a 16 hour working day and a peak flow factor of 3.

The Proposed Development would result in a notable increase in foul water discharge compared to the existing rate. The increase in foul water discharge would be compensated for through the reduction in surface water peak rate to a maximum value of **193.8***l*/**s** which would provide betterment to the overall discharge into the combined sewer network.

### 6.3 Drainage Strategy Summary

The proposed surface water drainage strategy for the proposed development is to provide underground attenuation tanks in Appendix I to limit the surface water discharge to a maximum of the 3 times Greenfield surface water runoff rate.

This restriction applies for all rainfall events up to the 1 in 100 year, plus climate change rainfall event. Flow will be discharged to the existing TWUL combined sewers located beneath and adjacent to the application site.

Additional benefits are to be provided in the form of:

- Irrigation of raised planters related to terraces with residents access using roof water;
- 926m<sup>2</sup> of green roofs; and
- 6,250m<sup>2</sup> of other semi-pervious areas such as roof gardens and courtyards.

The proposed drainage strategy will provide betterment to the existing surface water drainage by limiting the peak rate of surface water discharge rates in the TWUL sewers.

An increase in the peak foul water discharge rate will be mitigated by a greater reduction in the peak surface water rate discharged from the Site.

All necessary consents will be obtained from TWUL and meetings have already been held with regard to this aspect.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> WRc, Sewers for Adoption – A Design and Construction Guide for Developers, 7th Edition, 2012

### 7. Conclusions

The existing and future flood risks at the application site, both to and from the application site have been assessed from all sources.

The existing flood risk to the application site from surface water was assessed as medium and the flood risk from all other sources was assessed as low. The flood risk once the proposed development is completed has been assessed to reduce to low from all sources.

The Proposed Development would reduce the surface water runoff to a peak of 3 times Greenfield rates from the application site. This rate would be achievable whilst keeping the size of attenuation tanks within limits that do not compromise other aspects of the proposed development.

Underground attenuation tanks of a total capacity 967m<sup>3</sup> across the entire site would be provided to limit the surface water discharge rate. Extensive areas of green roofs and roof gardens would also provide surface water attenuation and help limit the peak surface water discharge rate.

The notable increase in foul water discharge from the Proposed Development would be compensated for by the reduction in the existing peak surface water rate discharged from the application site. This will provide minor betterment to the existing flow conditions.

An existing sewer, which is believed to serve Gilbeys Yard, is to be abandoned, removed and replaced with a new sewer that would also service the completed development.

### Appendix A Existing Site Plan



### **Appendix B Borehole Plan And Results**