Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 04/05/2021 Response:	10:57:32
2021/0732/P	Robert Gatt o.b.o. Onesixteen Ltd	01/05/2021 22:00:36	OBJ	We would like to register our objections to the proposed building extension as follows: 1. the nature of the building works as proposed are likely to have an impact on the structural integrity of the Victorian Property and any future repair costs borne by all 7 flats of the Property. This will invariably have financial and longer term effects in terms of higher insurance premiums 2. the extensive building works require the movement of significant amount of building material to the back of the Property. This is only accessible via a secured side alley which is owned in common by all flats on the Property and only 85cm wide at its entrance. Besides the logistical issues of moving building materials, there are security concerns as the front gate will remain open and and possibly unattended, increasing the risk of break-ins and thefts. Additionally, there is a risk of damage to rainwater, drainage and gas fittings in the common alley and damage to the well-maintained common front garden. 3. the bedroom and kitchen windows of Flat 2 directly overlook the common alley, at eye level. The proposed extensive building works will create unacceptable level of noise, traffic, privacy concerns, risk of window breakage and pollution adjacent to Flat 2 living areas for many months. Windows have to remain closed and shuttered for the duration of the building works. 4. the erection of heat pump and bike storage adjacent to the common garden, potentially only accessible from common garden, would generate excessive noise, vibration and heat impacting the enjoyment of the common gardens by the other residents of the Property and surrounding neighbours. The installation of such unit will also impact the quality of life of residents facing the back garden. 5. The mixture of design of windows will impact the aesthetics of the Property from the back - impacting the view when residents enjoy the common garden.	
2021/0732/P	Susan Hadida	03/05/2021 16:01:48	COMMNT	I do wish to object to the above. Firstly, it is replacing a garden/patio area which should remain ecologically, and more importantly it imposes itself on my space coming practically right up to the party wall we share. The increased height of their extension wall denying my space of some sun and light. I would particularly like the heat pump moved as far away as possible from our party wall as I do not want to see it or hear it. The bicycle shed so far down their garden too is another blot on a pretty garden space. The new sloping roof will be much more imposing and should not be so high at its top. It certainly detracts from the outlook of Flats A, C, D and E, at 67 Greencroft Gardens and this leads to depreciation of the value of the properties.	