Roberta Henriques

From: Charlotte Meynell Sent: 21 April 2021 08:41

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Chester Road Hostel Consultation Response 2020/3461/P

Importance: High

Hello,

Please can the original email below be uploaded to M3 and Trim as an objection to the above app which is going to Committee tomorrow.

Thanks,

Charlotte Meynell Senior Planning Officer



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.

Subject: FW: Chester Road Hostel Proposal

Importance: High

Late objection from resident. You can either adjust the report now to include, it doesn't raise new issues or report on the SA, I'm easy either way.

Beth

Bethany Cullen Head of Development Management



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.

Subject: FW: Chester Road Hostel Proposal

Dear Dan and Beth

Please see objection to the planning application for the Chester Road hostel – grateful if this could be logged

Many thanks

Phoebe

Subject: Chester Road Hostel Proposal

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Georgia and Oliver,

The proposed Chester Road Hostel directly contravenes the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan DC1 policy on protected views. The proposal to the Council says in section 10.35 that it 'complies' but this is a fallacy which needs to be called out. I have included the actual policy wording below. I urge you to go to the site before the meeting, look at the protected view looking down Chester Road and judge for yourself whether the proposed four-story building is a contravention of the policy. Better still, take independent advice on it.

This is what Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan policy DC1 actually says:

"Enhance the sense of place and the characteristic relationship between the built environment and the open areas in Dartmouth Park, by:

(a) ensuring that any development within the foreground, middle ground or background of any of the local views described in Appendix 3 (which are integral to the unique character of the neighbourhood) does not harm and where possible makes a positive contribution to the characteristics and composition of such local view.

Any such development should:

- (i) be of a height that does not harm the view;
- (ii) fit in with the prevailing pattern of buildings and spaces; and
- (iii) not tightly define the edges of the viewing corridor;
- (b) maintaining and enhancing the green and leafy character of the Area, which contributes to the sense of place and semi-rural character, by ensuring that developments:
 - (i) maintain or replace existing green or open spaces, and
 - (ii) create additional green or open spaces in accordance with Camden's policies; and
- (c) ensuring that multi-unit developments in areas predominantly characterised by traditional terraced, semi-detached and detached housing will have scale and massing broadly similar to that of surrounding buildings.

The Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan Vision also says:

Our Neighbourhood Forum's vision has at its heart the need to ensure that "the area's village character, rich architectural heritage, attractive green streets, open spaces and natural environment are not only maintained but enhanced"; and that Dartmouth Park continues to be "characterised by a rich variety of architectural styles and excellent design" and "leafy with treasured green and open spaces and wide, tree-lined roads, giving a semi-rural or village feel

Thanks,

l Minasi