

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/5847/P	Lesley Stevas	22/04/2021 18:29:06	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this application</p> <p>These proposed masts of 3 antennas, 2 dishes, 3 cabinets and ancillary works at roof level would be an eyesore and would spoil the skyline in this conservation site. They would be seen from within the conservation area, and be harmful to the general appearance and character of this conservation area.</p> <p>National Planning policy Framework (NPPF 2019) Section 10 of the NPPF (2019) which refers to "Supporting high quality communications" states that advanced high quality and reliable communications infrastructure is essential for economic growth and social well-being. Paragraph 113 states that "the number of radio and electronic communications masts, and the sites for such installations, should be kept to a minimum consistent with the needs of consumers, the efficient operation the network and providing reasonable capacity the future expansion. Use of existing masts, building and other structures for new electronic communications capability (including wireless) should be encouraged. Where new sites are required (such a for 5G networks, or for connected transport and smart city applications), equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate"</p> <p>There is already a plethora of masts here, just a few yards along the road at No 12 Oval Road. Approval was given in August last year for a 7.5 high monopole and 6 antennae including an upgrade to facilitate 5G. Enough is enough! Masts are popping up like mushrooms in the area. It is clear that this will be just the start of this application and more masts will be added to this rooftop over time.</p> <p>There is no statement with the application on the planning website that cumulative exposure from this antenna with all the other antennae in the area will not exceed ICNIRP guidelines.</p> <p>The Pirate Club is very close by. Many children use the facility. There are serious concerns for their health if this application were to be granted. https://www.thepiratecastle.org/</p> <p>The area where these antennas are proposed to be installed is also a residential area. If I was buying an expensive apartment in Lock House, I certainly would not want these mobile masts on top of my home. Loss of amenity to residents:</p> <p>I fully accept that it is not the role of the planning officers or the councilors on the planning committees to consider the health risks, nor to consider the validity of health issues or their personal beliefs in those issues. However, it is their responsibility to consider the vast amounts of new research pointing to serious health implications affecting the lives of residents near to mobile phone masts. It is clear that worrying about the implications to one's health from mobile phone masts, especially if the mast is 5G which has caused many concerns lately and it is going to be situated on top of or close to one's home.</p> <p>There are residents for whom, just by reason of the proposal of a base station on their roof, will suffer from extreme anxiety. It is the perceived health risk which will cause loss of amenity irrespective of whether the danger is perceived or real. These ill effects will be intensified if the application was to be approved.</p> <p>Have all the residents been consulted and have these residents engaged with the consultation?</p> <p>The Stewart Report (March 2001) clearly does not rule out the possible harmful effects of exposure to low-level electromagnetic fields. Irrespective of ICNIRP guidelines.</p> <p>There are residents in Camden who are electro hypersensitive ("EHS") Camden has an obligation to safeguard their health.</p> <p>I visit this are when I walk along the canal path. I have friends whose children belong to the Pirate Club and I sometimes join my friends to keep them company. If these masts are installed it will cause me a loss of amenity because I won't be able to visit there anymore. I have a medical diagnosis of E.H.S. Please see this recent landmark case:</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>https://www.accesswire.com/viewarticle.aspx?id=637661&token=hzivngfkuma2h2xz6rhu</p> <p>I understand that Mobile UK has launched a 5GChecktheFacts Campaign being sent to all council planning departments, of course this is weighted on their side, they have a vested interest in promoting 5G. The ICNIRP guidelines, such as they are, pertain to a 'general population' and make no allowance for particular and vulnerable groups who will be found in many communities.</p> <p>Camden must refuse this application.</p>
