

40 CLIFTON ROAD
CROUCH END
LONDON
N8 8JA

30th December 2005

Development Control
Planning Services Environment Dept.
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall Extension
Argyle Street
London
WC1H 8ND

Dear Sirs

Please find enclosed an application for alteration of a condition of an existing planning permission ref: 2005/2984/P.

This is illustrated by a revision to our existing planning drawings 50126/7a [relating to planning drawings 50124/5A]

Currently the rear elevation mansard roof has permission for a slate elevation with 2 dormer doors opening onto a terrace with balustrade.

However we feel there are a number of compelling reasons to ask for a revision of this design. Not least that our neighbours, who are now aware of the revisions to the originally proposed plans, would much prefer this further revision

The proposed finish to the mansard roof would comprise of two sliding glass doors, in total they would represent some 50 % of the elevation. The rest would consist of single glass wall panels behind which the door units would be concealed.

This would essentially match the rear elevation at the same level on both 124 and 122 Leighton Road , continuing the existing pattern and bringing the terrace to a neat and appropriate conclusion

The guidance we have already been issued with demands that we match " as closely as possible " the existing neighbouring terrace, the proposed revision follows that principal to its logical conclusion.

As pertains to planning guidelines 2.8.3.a

"there is an established form of roof addition where continuing the pattern of development would help re-unite the group of buildings."

It also fits the guidelines on visual prominence, scale and bulk. It also utilises a sympathetic use of both volume and it's concordant relationship to the surroundings. Traditional materials are to be used throughout.

There is no other existing continuity of roofscape to match.

As pertains to planning guidelines 2.8.20

- a) there is minimal impact to the existing elevations
- b) the dimensions closely match those neighbouring
- c) it is set at the rear as per given permission
- d) there is no alteration to parapet height

The proposed alteration will be in scale and proportion with the existing terrace and maintain a sympathetic vocabulary with the existing roofscape.

We trust that this application will be acceptable to the planning committee under the current planning guidelines.

Please call us on [REDACTED] if you require any clarification or to discuss any aspect of this submission. We are happy to meet with a Planning Officer if required.

Yours Sincerely

[REDACTED]

Martin Morgan and Maureen Semple-Piggot

enc: 5 existing planing drawings
5 application forms
5 revised planning drawings
5 photographic details
