

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2021/1435/T	Simone Ingram	13/04/2021 14:05:06	OBJ	I do have some empathy in relation to subsidence claims where damage is caused by tree root, however with this particular case I do not see the strong connect between this tree and the property in question especially given the tree is located some distance away from 16 Belsize Park Gardens. I also fail to see the significant difference since when the council rejected the first application two years previously. The latest evidence appears to be self-serving. I would recommend close consultations with all residents at 16 Belsize Park Gardens and the other properties that could be affected by the tree. I am not in support of this application. Moreover if there is no support from these residents, the application should be denied.
2021/1435/T	James Laderman	13/04/2021 21:10:14	OBJ	Consent should be granted for the tree to be pruned but not fell to ground. This is excessive and unnecessary.
2021/1435/T	Reggie Dodge	13/04/2021 13:07:07	OBJ	I'm adding my objection to the many already posted with regard to the felling of this crucial tree. The trees which sit in the rear of the houses on Belsize Park Gardens/Grenilla Road serve an essential function of providing both visual privacy and sound absorption from neighbouring houses/flats. Such matters are all the more critical to a neighborhood as more people work from their residences. Additionally, the tree in question provides a much needed home to area wildlife. Without the tree, the rear view from our flats will become sparse and uncolourful. Surely, the tree is a sufficient distance from the flat in question, and less draconian compromises can be found.