Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 13/04/2021 09:10:07 Response:
2021/0598/P	Peter	12/04/2021 20:14:47	OBJ	I object to this planning application. Crestview is situated in the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area and being at such a high point is one of the most visible - and lamentably inappropriate - buildings. Currently it is at least mercifully plain and minimises its intrusion on the roofline, a jagged assortment of antennae will draw more attention both to the equipment itself and to the building it tops. Crestview is also directly adjacent to the Grade II* listed and architecturally important St Mary Brookfield Church, the roof of which is at a comparable height. Additions to the roof of Crestview will seriously compromise this listed building, as both are widely visible from across Dartmouth Park, Gospel Oak and Hampstead Heath.
2021/0598/P	Anne O'Brien	12/04/2021 19:54:30	OBJ	I am adding this as the text of the objection I submitted is not complete as shown in your acknowledgement of receipt. The main thing is that I object to the proposal. What has been omitted from the objection I sent you is that this proposed development would also ruin the skyscape. It would introduce an incongruous space age element into a coherent traditional urban setting that has been considered worthy of conservation status.
2021/0598/P	Tom Basden	12/04/2021 16:40:05	OBJ	I strongly object to this proposal. These antennae will be impossible to hide or screen and therefore very prominent throughout the local area ¿ especially from much-loved viewpoints on Hampstead Heath. Not only is Crestview in one of north London¿s loveliest residential areas, it is a directly next to a grade II* listed church and within Dartmouth Park conservation area. Incongruous and unsightly visual clutter of this scale on the roof of this building will do enormous harm to the character of the neighbourhood and become an unavoidable and detrimental eyesore for both locals and visitors to the area. This is a residential block that houses many local families, and a wholly inappropriate site for enormous and ugly industrial equipment.

					Printed on: 13/04/2021
Α	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0598/P	021/0598/P	MARGARET SHANKS	12/04/2021 14:41:02	COMMNT	I object to application number 2021/0958/P.
					While the aim of the proposal might be to make general improvements to connectivity with the roll-out of 5G, such as in Camden's 'Knowledge Quarter' for businesses and academic institutions, the telecoms infrastructure would not provide any direct benefit to the residents on whose roof the telecoms equipment is intended to be installed.
					Crestview is a residential block of flats. Given the existing negative impact of 3G and 4G on terrestrial television reception, the impact of 5G installations on residents in Crestview and neighbouring residents would be even greater. If the planning application were approved, many local residents might be forced to incur the cost of changing to satellite reception.
					In the application, Site Specific Supplementary Information states 3900A Cabinet, H3G APM5930 Cabinet and EE APM5930 Cabinet, failing to state that these are manufactured by Huawei. The initial period for a telecoms agreement is usually 10 years. In December 2020, according to the UK government, such Huawei equipment would have to be removed by 2027. The applicant must have or should have been fully aware of this when the application was registered on 22 March 2021. This leads to the conclusion that application lacks transparency.
					Site Specific Supplementary Information correctly states that Crestview is "a six-storey building in residential use", whereas the design drawings show an inaccurate, larger number of storeys, balconies and garages, differing from those which what factually exist. This inaccuracy is misleading, thereby making our building look larger.
					Only one site elevation is submitted, although the top of the building with the potential apparatus protruding from the rooftop into the skyline would be clearly visible from all sides. No photomontage is provided.
					Site Specific Supplementary Information also states "An installation, comprising pole mounted antennas and equipment cabinets was housed on the building between approximately 1995 and 2007" and the applicant claims that "the fact that the building was previously considered appropriate to accommodate telecommunications equipment is relevant."
					Whether the applicant has full knowledge of the complicated history of how the one-time telecoms installation came about and was later removed after legal action, suffice it to say the following: In 2000, Planning Application PEX0000653 for full planning permission was submitted by ORANGE PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS (cell GLN0528) to install telecoms equipment on Crestview's roof. In December 2000, Camden Planning informed the applicant that "the installation of telecommunications equipment has recently become very contentious". By letter dated 22 January 2001 the applicant was informed that Camden Council had withdrawn the said Planning Application.
					The current applicant's justification for its larger telecoms development in 2021 on the grounds that "the

not a viable justification.

building was previously considered appropriate to accommodate telecommunications equipment" is, therefore,

Conservation Area:

As Crestview is within the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, the change to the roof-form by the telecoms installations would be unacceptable as they would be visible both from street level and public realm viewpoints. The installations would impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring buildings in terms of outlook.

Alterations to the roof-form are a matter of concern as they can be seen from a considerable distance within and beyond the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area.

Our block of flats is directly opposite the Church of Saint Mary Brookfield, a Grade II* listed building designed by William Butterfield and consecrated in 1875. The roof ridge line of the church is at an almost identical level with Crestview's. The impact of telecoms equipment on our roof would, therefore, cause visual harm, in particular, because the applicant stated that the proposed antennae "need to be located close to the edge of" Crestview's roof.

The proposed trunking running from pavement level all the way up the South Elevation to our roof would be unsightly.

Given their design and siting, their scale and height, the proposed incongruous antennae and accompanying fixtures would create dominant rooftop clutter. This would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area which is contiguous with St John's Grove, (Islington) Conservation Area. Other nearby Conservation Areas are the Holly Lodge Estate Conservation Area and the Camden Highgate Conservation Area. The proposed telecoms installations would have a detrimental effect on the visual amenity of these Conservation Areas.

As stated in The London Plan of March 2021, "London's heritage assets and historic environment are irreplaceable".

2021/0598/P	Helena Shanks	12/04/2021 16:49:57 OBJ	Objection:
			I object to this planning application.
			The ugly equipment would be seen from miles around. This would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area in which Crestview is situated.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0598/P	MARGARET SHANKS	12/04/2021 23:15:32	OBJ	I object to application number 2021/0958/P.
				While the aim of the proposal might be to make general improvements to connectivity with the roll-out of 5G, such as in Camden's 'Knowledge Quarter' for businesses and academic institutions, the telecoms infrastructure would not provide any direct benefit to the residents on whose roof the telecoms equipment is intended to be installed.
				Crestview is a residential block of flats. Given the existing negative impact of 3G and 4G on terrestrial television reception, the impact of 5G installations on residents in Crestview and neighbouring residents would be even greater. If the planning application were approved, many local residents might be forced to incur the cost of changing to satellite reception.
				In the application, Site Specific Supplementary Information states 3900A Cabinet, H3G APM5930 Cabinet and EE APM5930 Cabinet, failing to state that these are manufactured by Huawei. The initial period for a telecoms agreement is usually 10 years. In December 2020, according to the UK government, such Huawei equipment would have to be removed by 2027. The applicant must have or should have been fully aware of this when the application was registered on 22 March 2021. This leads to the conclusion that application lacks transparency.
				Site Specific Supplementary Information correctly states that Crestview is "a six-storey building in residential use", whereas the design drawings show an inaccurate, larger number of storeys, balconies and garages, differing from those which factually exist. This inaccuracy is misleading, thereby making our building look larger.
				Only one site elevation is submitted, although the top of the building with the potential apparatus protruding from the rooftop into the skyline would be clearly visible from all sides. No photomontage is provided.
				Site Specific Supplementary Information also states "An installation, comprising pole mounted antennas and equipment cabinets was housed on the building between approximately 1995 and 2007" and the applicant claims that "the fact that the building was previously considered appropriate to accommodate telecommunications equipment is relevant."
				Whether the applicant has full knowledge of the complicated history of how the one-time telecoms installation came about and was later removed after legal action, suffice it to say the following: In 2000, Planning Application PEX0000653 for full planning permission was submitted by ORANGE PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS (cell GLNO528) to install telecoms equipment on Crestview's roof. In December 2000, Camden Planning informed the applicant that "the installation of telecommunications equipment has recently become very contentious". By letter dated 22 January 2001 the applicant was informed that Camden Council had withdrawn the said Planning Application.
				The current applicant's justification for its larger telecoms development in 2021 on the grounds that "the building was previously considered appropriate to accommodate telecommunications equipment" is, therefore, not a viable justification.

Page 4 of 14

Conservation Area:

				As Crestview is within the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, the change to the roof-form by the telecoms installations would be unacceptable as they would be visible both from street level and public realm viewpoints. The installations would impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring buildings in terms of outlook.
				Alterations to the roof-form are a matter of concern as they can be seen from a considerable distance within and beyond the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area. Our block of flats is directly opposite the Church of Saint Mary Brookfield, a Grade II* listed building designed by William Butterfield and consecrated in 1875. The roof ridge line of the church is at an almost identical level with Crestview's. The impact of telecoms equipment on our roof would, therefore, cause visual harm, in particular, because the applicant stated that the proposed antennae "need to be located close to the edge of" Crestview's roof. The proposed trunking running from pavement level all the way up the South Elevation to our roof would be unsightly.
				Given their design and siting, their scale and height, the proposed incongruous antennae and accompanying fixtures would create dominant rooftop clutter. This would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area which is contiguous with St John's Grove, (Islington) Conservation Area. Other nearby Conservation Areas are the Holly Lodge Estate Conservation Area and the Camden Highgate Conservation Area. The proposed telecoms installations would have a detrimental effect on the visual amenity of these Conservation Areas.
				As stated in The London Plan of March 2021, "London's heritage assets and historic environment are irreplaceable".
2021/0598/P	Anne O'Brien	12/04/2021 19:38:57	OBJ	I object to this planning application. I visit Crestview frequently as my sister lives there and I regard this proposal as preposterous. It would be detrimental to the character of,and appearance within, the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area.
2021/0598/P	Angela Sinclair	12/04/2021 18:26:20	OBJ	Horrendous idea. It will make that residential block look like an alien invasion and will add over 2 storeys in height to a building in the conservation area. The church and surrounding residential streets will be completely dwarfed. Why do these masts have to be so tall and so many? Where is the health and environmental impact report as part of this application?
2021/0598/P	Sophie Trott	12/04/2021 22:26:54	OBJ	I object to this instillation which would cause considerable distress to residents of the building, and residents nearby. It is also in the conservation area and neighbours another conservation area. This visual pollution will alter the view of the church and other buildings on the skyline.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 13/04/2021 09:10 Response:):07
2021/0598/P	Stefan Wagstyl	12/04/2021 14:25:02	OBJNOT	This monster construction will blight the landscape in a conservation area, spoil views of the skyline from miles around, interfere with the architectural splendour of the Grade II*-listed church next door and overshadow my garden, which lies just below Crestview. What's the point of preserving the appearance of Dartmouth Park at street level if somebody is then allowed to build something the size of an oil derrick overlooking it at all?	
2021/0598/P	Megan Walsh	12/04/2021 10:28:06	OBJ	I am firmly against the proposed installation of telecoms dishes and ariels on top of Crestview, 47 Dartmouth Park Hill. The plans, which include six ugly tripod-elevated ariels at 5m each - that¿s adding roughly a quarter of the height of the building again - would irrevocably change the look and feel of the area. Given the block¿s position at the top of a hill alongside the grade 2* listed St Mary¿s Brookfield church, these industrial-looking structures would be incongruous and an eyesore. There is nothing to hide them from view for miles around. This is a quiet, low-rise residential block that will be appropriated by unsightly, commercial structures affecting those living beneath them, as well as anyone who can see them. Conservation areas seek ways to balance old and new. But these will stand out a mile. There is an uninterrupted view of the church and Crestview from many points in on Hampstead Heath and these ugly ariels will dominate and ruin the skyline. Up close, they will leave a permanent scar on the local area. They should not only be put in more discrete locations, but on commercial/industrial buildings/areas rather than imposed on top of people¿s homes in a quiet, residential block. I really hope that this does not pass.	