
From: Elaine Chambers [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 April 2021 18:32
To: Planning; Chan, Mark; Edward.Davis@camden.gov.uk
Cc: McClue, Jonathan; Bello O'Shanahan, Nayra (Councillor); leo.cassarani@me.com; Pearson, Simon (Councillor)
Subject: UREGNT: planning application 202/441/P. email 1

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

10th April 2021. There is another email with data to follow

FAO: Camden planning department, Mark Chan, planning officer, Jonathan McClue, and consultees, Edward Davis Environmental Health/pollution team, Ms Eldred Evans Company Belsize CAAC 10A Elizabeth mews NW3 4TL (no email address shown on document)

I urgently request that the decision to grant 4x A/C Condenser units to the rear elevation and associated air vents to the terraced Victorian property and shared walls at 27/29/31/ Winchester Rd NW3 3NR be **reconsidered** on the ground that no consideration was properly given to the pollution levels that would be emitted from these A/Cs.

Consideration was only given to the effect on amenities. See your document: Amenities 5. section 5.2 & 5.3 "No undue harm to the amenities " This being the basis of your decision. It is to be noted that these 4 A/Cs are new to the building, therefore there is no record to fall back on with regard to levels of pollution with regard to an A/C unit, and no work was carried out to consider this aspect as the emphasis was directed only to amenities. The effect of pollution here has been irresponsibly ignored.

No consideration or calculation was given to the degree of pollution these four new A/Cs combined or individually would emit. I maintain that the decision was made in ignorance of Camden's responsibility to levels of pollution. I am surprised by what I can assume is a box ticked response from Edward Davis, internal of Camden said to be employed in an Environmental Health team!

There were two objections both rejected. I wish to draw your attention to objection no.1.

Winchester Rd is highly polluted. This road breached the legal limits for nitrogen dioxide (NO2). This fact comes from a year long survey whereby data was collected monthly in 2019 using diffusion test tubes. The research was conducted by Belsize Assoc. in conjunction with Tom Parks of Camden. I'm surprised that anyone from Camden's Environmental team is in ignorance of this research. What this means for those who live here as a living reality is that during the Summer months front windows left open in what are the living rooms smell like garage forecourts. Now to the rear of our flats here four A/C's are to be attached; already this rear area, facing the open

space is by the calculation of Imperial College way, way, way over the EU limit in pollution level. You can check this on the Imperial College website by putting in the post code. **To add any further pollution to this our environment here is to further impede our capacity to breathe. It is both reckless and irresponsible. Four newly attached A/Cs would add to our ill health. And the irony of this is that it would be caused by a Pharmacy company!**

I would also request to know why Ms Eldred Evan's company was not required to consider pollution, it is an important part of architecture today.

I have included factual information to follow this urgent request in email 2.

Yours sincerely , E Chambers