

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1435/T	Imogen Ware	04/04/2021 20:09:22	OBJ	<p>We are vehemently opposed to the removal of the large plane tree (T1) in the rear of 28 Glenilla Road. We wrote in opposition to the same application in February 2020, and our position remains unchanged.</p> <p>There seems no legitimate basis for the proposal, given</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the trees are a major asset to this conservation area, integral to the area's wildlife and to residents' quality of life - there is minimal damage in 16 Belsize Park Gardens, classified as 'slight' in the applicant's report and with no damage perceived by the basement flat residents who have been in place for ten years; - it is unclear whether the cause of this damage even relates to any nearby vegetation. <p>Furthermore, we were dismayed recently to see the number of trees cut down as part of the major building project at 30 Glenilla Road. It would be a devastating environmental blow to see further trees removed in the vicinity. We urge you in the strongest possible terms to reject this application.</p>
2021/1435/T	Stephen Adshead	07/04/2021 21:23:37	PETITNOBJ E	<p>I am a resident of a neighbouring property and strongly object to the application to fell the tree on the basis that:</p> <p>(a) The large plane tree adds to the character of the conservation area. Felling the tree would damage the local environment generally and harm views across several gardens.</p> <p>(b) The mature trees at the rear of Belsize Park Gardens and Glenilla Road are home to bird life such as great spotted woodpeckers, tawny owls and jays which are relatively uncommon in central London. Felling the tree would harm this wildlife.</p> <p>(c) Several other mature trees have been lost from the area in recent years, including two sycamore. It is important to preserve the few mature trees that remain.</p> <p>(d) The evidence submitted as part of the application does not prove the link between the plane tree and the subsidence at 16 Belsize Park Gardens. The Richardson's Botanical Identifications report dated 27/9/2019 identified in TH1 the roots of a plane AND an elm (tentative) AND samples of two unidentified species. In borehole TH2 it found the roots of a plane AND an unidentified species. The MWA report also mentions an acer. Therefore, it is quite possible that the roots of the acer, elm and unidentified trees or vegetation, not the plane, are responsible for the subsidence.</p> <p>(e) The plans for 16 Belsize Park Gardens included in the Auger SI report dated 12/9/2019 show that concrete covers the part of the garden nearest the house. The role of this hard surface in preventing rainwater to enter the subsoil should be investigated as a potential factor contributing to the drying of the clay adjacent to the house. Surely a lack of rainwater entering the soil due to this surface could be as much a cause of drying as vegetation roots removing water from the soil.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/1435/T	Imogen Ware	04/04/2021 20:09:23	OBJ	<p>We are vehemently opposed to the removal of the large plane tree (T1) in the rear of 28 Glenilla Road. We wrote in opposition to the same application in February 2020, and our position remains unchanged.</p> <p>There seems no legitimate basis for the proposal, given</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the trees are a major asset to this conservation area, integral to the area's wildlife and to residents' quality of life - there is minimal damage in 16 Belsize Park Gardens, classified as 'slight' in the applicant's report and with no damage perceived by the basement flat residents who have been in place for ten years; - it is unclear whether the cause of this damage even relates to any nearby vegetation. <p>Furthermore, we were dismayed recently to see the number of trees cut down as part of the major building project at 30 Glenilla Road. It would be a devastating environmental blow to see further trees removed in the vicinity. We urge you in the strongest possible terms to reject this application.</p>
2021/1435/T	Stanislava Stoyanova	06/04/2021 09:08:40	PETITNOBJ E	<p>Dear Council,</p> <p>Two of these beautiful trees were already savagely cut down in relation to the development works on Glenilla Road. These trees are very old, healthy and beautiful, and there is no reason to kill them. The tree that we can still save is beautiful and not only cleans the air in the area, but it is not in the way of any construction work. It is on the border between properties and destroying it will be a savage act.</p> <p>Please kindly take care of the environment and don't allow one more old beautiful tree to be cut off.</p> <p>Best regards, Stanislava</p>
2021/1435/T	Mehmet Tez	06/04/2021 13:57:24	PETITNOBJ E	<p>Dear admin/manager/officer,</p> <p>I hope this message meets you well.</p> <p>I'd like to confirm by this message that we don't desire any tree being chopped down in the designated area which actually is our unofficial backyard. We are pleased to watch leaves and branches and all kind of wildlife living among them.</p> <p>King regards Berin and Mehmet Tez</p>