
From: Peres Da Costa, David
Sent: 07 April 2021 08:38
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning Application- 2020/4667/P.

Can this be logged as an objection on M3 and added to HPE RM

Thanks

David Peres da Costa
Senior Planning Officer

Tel.: 020 7974 5262
Visit camden.gov.uk for the latest council information and news

From: Sara Carroll-Parker [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 April 2021 07:00
To: Peres Da Costa, David <David.PeresDaCosta@Camden.gov.uk>
Cc: Rowlands, Jenny <Jenny.Rowlands@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: Planning Application- 2020/4667/P.

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear David Peres,

Regarding - PANNING APPLICATION
2020/ 4667/ P - 38 FROGNAL LANE
HAMPERED NW3

Thanks for your message regarding the planning application - 2020/4667/P. I own

one of the flats opposite in 30 Chesterford Gardens , part of the conservation area.

I am unsettled by the layout and density of the building design it looks like the proposed large building will compromise the garden , making the garden a lot smaller and out of proportion

I also remain concerned about the above new build attracting more cars and therefore increased noise to the area. Also the parking spaces in the new building are going to be reduced and the garage will be lost. As Camden must be aware parking is a real issue in the area. The building under question is located at the top of Frogmal Lane therefore if cars are are wanting to access the property it will attract increased car noise and the accompanying smell of car fumes. Furthermore, should fire or ambulances be required would there be enough room for them to gain access to the building. ?

I am also concerned by the proposal to add a subterranean level to the building, which will to be used for a gym and swimming pool I understand that this is in opposition to the Remington and Frognal plan 2020 because of possible negative effects on the water table. As I own a property opposite I do not want to be negatively affected.

Furthermore , It is reassuring to note that the trees in the site area have been considered. However it also looks like a tree will be felled and removed which concerns me as this is in opposition to the attractive ,natural , green environment of the area.

In conclusion, I believe that the destruction of this building will have a negative impact on the conservation area. Not to mention the highway issues which include traffic generation, vehicular access and highway safety and also the destructive impact on the water table.

Kind Regards,
Sara Carroll-Parker.