Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 24/03/2021 09:10:06 Response:
2021/1348/L	Nik Shack	23/03/2021 08:16:41	COMMNT	There is a protected to Chestnut tree in this garden or the roots. Previously there was a development proposal for a house within the tree which did not go ahead. I believe this was because the tree was endangered. There is a problem with parking in the Mews, No spaces. If this is in order to allow somebody to park and cannot park because they live on a main road this will cause more difficulties in the Mews. There is a large development at the bottom of the Mews which will affect parking The planning does not explain reasoning for access.can this be explained- Is the next plan to develop something near the new gate? Is the garden space iseparate to the building on Fortess Road not connected as in the drawing?
2021/1348/L	mary carchrae	23/03/2021 07:56:14	OBJ	 The piece of land to the rear of 86 was sold separately to no 86 Fortess Road. There is confusion on the Application Form regarding the applicant- Why is the address given as Ruislip? The protected Chestnut Tree in the adjacent garden at the boundary with no 86 is not mentioned in the application. A previous application to develop this small patch of land was refused a few years ago, with objections based on threat to this tree. I object to this proposal Our concern as neighbours is that the tree may again be endangered. the congestion of already overloaded parking in the mews and adjacent streets may be increased by this proposal.